This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

+ *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.

+ *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.

+ *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.

+ *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at `http://books.google.com/`

Early Britain

Celtic Britain

J. Rhys M.A.







London : Published by the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.

EARLY BRITAIN.

CELTIC BRITAIN.

BY

J. RHYS, M.A.,

PROFESSOR OF CELTIC IN THE UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD;
FELLOW OF JESUS COLLEGE; AND LATE FELLOW OF MERTON COLLEGE.

WITH TWO MAPS, AND WOODCUTS OF COINS.

BIBLIOTHECA BODLEIANA

PUBLISHED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE TRACT COMMITTEE.

LONDON:
SOCIETY FOR PROMOTING CHRISTIAN KNOWLEDGE,
NORTHUMBERLAND AVENUE, CHARING CROSS, W.C.;
43, QUEEN VICTORIA STREET, E.C.; 48, PICCADILLY, W.; AND
135, NORTH STREET, BRIGHTON.

NEW YORK: E. & J. B. YOUNG & CO.
1882.

PREFACE.

THESE are the days of little books and when the author was asked to add one to their number he accepted the invitation with the fancy simplicity of an inexperienced hand, thinking that it would not give him much trouble to expand or otherwise modify the account given of early Britain in larger works but closer acquaintance with them soon convinced him of the folly of such a plan—he had to study the subject for himself or leave it alone. In trying it for the former he probably read enough to have enabled him to write a larger work than this, but he would be ashamed to confess how long it has occupied him.

As a student of language he is well aware that no more severe judgment could be passed on his essay in writing history than, that it should be found to be as bad as the etymologies made by historians are wont to be; but so essential is the study of Celtic

WYMAN AND SONS, PRINTERS,
GREAT QUEEN STREET, LINCOLN'S-INN FIELDS,
LONDON, W.C.

PREFACE.

THESE are the days of little books, and when the author was asked to add one to their number, he accepted the invitation with the jaunty simplicity of an inexperienced hand, thinking that it could not give him much trouble to expand or otherwise modify the account given of early Britain in larger works; but closer acquaintance with them soon convinced him of the folly of such a plan—he had to study the subject for himself or leave it alone. In trying to do the former he probably read enough to have enabled him to write a larger work than this; but he would be ashamed to confess how long it has occupied him.

As a student of language, he is well aware that no more severe judgment could be passed on his essay in writing history than, that it should be found to be as bad as the etymologies made by historians are wont to be; but so essential is the study of Celtic

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

BRITAIN IN THE TIME OF JULIUS CÆSAR.

Preliminary Remarks... ... ... *Page* 1
Names of the Celts and their classification... 2
Pytheas and his visits to Britain 5
The visit hither of Posidonius 7
Cæsar's first expedition to Britain 8
His second expedition 13
Britain after Cæsar's departure. Early coins: copper: iron 18
Inscribed coins and the tribes of southern Britain. Commios and his Sons 21
Coins of Tasciovant, Cunobelinos, and others. Policy of the Catuvelauni... 26
The Eceni: the situation of some of the tribes: Commios and the Catuvelauni 28

CHAPTER II.

BRITAIN PREVIOUS TO THE CLAUDIAN CONQUEST.

Allusions to Britain in the works of ancient authors ... 32
Coins of the Dobunni and other Western Tribes 35

The policy of the Eceni ... ... *Page* 36
The Cornavii, the Coritani, the Brigantes, the Parisi and their coins 38
The tribes that used coins, and those that did not. Trade routes: the exportation of tin 41
No direct trade between Cornwall and the Continent. The Carthaginians never here. The Veneti and their marine 47
The population of Britain, the growing of corn, tattooing, polyandry 53
Political state of the Brythons mostly like that of the Gauls 57
Kings of the old type disappearing among the Brythons ... 61
Kings by divine right among the Goidels compared with those of Greece in the Heroic Age 63
Aryan polytheism of the Celts in Britain. Druidism derived from the Aborigines 67
The Druidic tonsure 74

CHAPTER III.

THE ROMANS IN BRITAIN, AND HOW THEY LEFT IT.

The conquest of the island by Aulus Plautius 75
Ostorius operating against the Eceni, Decangi, and Brigantes 79
Ostorius's engagements with the Silures, and the defeat of Caratacos 80
Paulinus reaching Mona, and Tacitus's account of the Druids 82
The revolt of the Eceni 83
Britain under Vespasian's great generals, Petilius Cerealis, Julius Frontinus, and Julius Agricola 84

Britain down to the death of Severus in 211 ... *Page* 88
Britain independent under Carausius and Allectus. Rejoined to the empire by Constantius Chlorus in 296, cleared of invaders by Theodosius in 369 92
Maximus taking the troops from Britain in 387. Constantine doing the same in 407. The Roman officials driven out in 410 94
Britain, Upper and Lower. Administration of the province by the Romans 96
Christianity and Latin in Britain, military character of the province 100
The province, after the Romans left, governed by native successors of the Dux Britanniarum and Comes Litoris Saxonici 101
Lower Britain under Aurelius Ambrosius 103
The rise of English States in Lower Britain 104
Summary of the dealings of the West Saxons with the Celtic inhabitants down to the time of Æthelstán ... 105
What became of the Celts of Lower Britain 108

CHAPTER IV.

THE KYMRY.

The peoples of Upper Britain, the rise of English states in the same 110
The jurisdiction of the Dux Britanniarum marking the limits of the State of the Kymry 115
The Latin *Dux* becoming the Welsh *Gwledig:* Cunedda the first Gwledig... 116
The rule of Cunedda and his Sons 118

The princes of Upper Britain in the time of Gildas *Page* 119
The legend how Maelgwn acquired his power 122
Maelgwn's successors, and the battle of Chester 123
Wars of the Kymry with Eadwine and his successors ... 126
The Gwledig, sometimes called *dragon*, and suggesting the English term Bretwalda... 133
The contest with the Angles as pictured in Welsh literature 136
The land of the Kymry severed into Wales and Cumbria: English encroachments on the former 138
Summary of the history of Wales down to 1090 139
Cambria and *Cumbria*, forms of one name. Some dates in Cumbrian history 141
Summary of the later history of Cumbria 143

CHAPTER V.

THE PICTS AND THE SCOTS.

The Picts of Galloway 147
The Picts of Lothian 148
Remarks on *Manaw* and *Galloway* 152
The Dalriad Scots in Britain 153
The Picts beyond the Forth 157
The Pictish kingdom not Celtic in its origin. The relative position of the chief peoples of Alban 159
The growth of the power of the Mæatæ and other Picts ... 163
The Picts from the time of Brude mac Maelchon to the ecclesiastical revolution under Nechtan 168
Nechtan and his competitors for power: the Brython Ungust overcoming the rest 172

The Brythons of Fortrenn mostly in power till their defeat by the Danes in 839 ... ... *Page* 177
Kenneth mac Alpin establishing the power of the Goidels... 179
Kenneth's successors down to the year 900: the kingdom beginning to be called Alban 181
Summary of the history of Alban till the death of Kenneth's last male descendant, in 1034 183
Duncan and Macbeth 186
Macbeth not so much a usurper as the head of the Picts in their effort to reassert their power 189
The spread of the Goidelic Language in Alban under the Kenneth dynasty 193
The rise of Feudalism in the North 196
The capacity of the Goidel and of the Pict for politica organization 198

CHAPTER VI.

THE ETHNOLOGY OF EARLY BRITAIN.

The name Albion 200
The spelling and history of the names, Britain, Britannia, &c.... 203
Original meaning of *Britanni*, *Brittones*, &c. 207
Classification of the Celtic nations based on Celtic phonology 211
The parts of Britain which were Brythonic and Goidelic respectively 214
The same enumeration continued, with indications of traces of the earlier inhabitants 217
The situation of the various tribes of the North 220

Ptolemy's account of Britain: the position of the Caledonian Forest ... ... *Page* 221
Celtic proper names with *p* in Early Britain, either Brythonic or non-Celtic 223
Other distinctions of a similar nature 227
The treatment of *cs* or *x* supplying another probable test... 228
British topography affected by the legends about Arthur and Finn respectively 231

CHAPTER VII.

THE ETHNOLOGY OF BRITAIN CONTINUED.

Probable history of the term *Picti* 235
The name *Scotti* 237
The Goidels of Britain wrongly supposed to be invaders from Ireland: the lessons taught by the old sepulchral monuments of Wales 242
The distribution of early Goidelic inscriptions in Wales ... 249
The Brythons burying in barrows 255
Some Goidelic names which are non-Aryan in point of origin or of formula 257
The language of the non-Celtic Aborigines spoken in historical times in Britain and Ireland 265
Race more persistent than language 272

APPENDIX.

Additional notes on some of the names in the text ... *Page* 273

INDEX 309

MAPS, &c.

A coloured map of Britain, showing the relative positions of its chief peoples during the Roman occupation.
Ptolemy's "British Isles."
Strabo's "Western Europe."
Wales in deaneries of the time of Henry VIII.
A plate, with engravings of five coins, accompanied by a brief letterpress description of each.

THE COINS.

No. 1 represents a gold stater of Philip II. of Macedon, with the wreathed bust of Apollo on the obverse, and a charioteer in a biga on the reverse: underneath is the name of Philip, ΦΙΛΙΠΠΟΥ. Page 19.

No. 2 is an early British imitation of the stater; the coin is in Mr. Evans's collection, but the place of finding is unknown. Among other things it will be noticed that it has been attempted to make the charioteer into a winged figure of Victory, and that the two horses have been converted into one horse with eight legs. Page 19.

No. 3 is also in Mr. Evans's collection, and was found at Leighton Buzzard in 1849. Besides that the faces look the other way, it will be noticed that the place occupied by Philip's name on the original is in this instance devoted to a kind of ornamentation, which at a distance has somewhat the appearance of letters. Page 19.

No. 4 is a coin of Addedomaros, a part of whose name is to be read AΘΘIID on the reverse. On the obverse the face has disappeared, while the coiffure has developed into a sort of cross. Page 36.

No. 5 is a coin of the Parisi, and it is to be seen at the York Museum. The obverse is taken up by the coiffure, and has on it no part of the face. The reverse shows a very peculiar horse, and the legend VEP CORF. Page 41.

1

2

3

4



5





London: Published by the Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.

CELTIC BRITAIN.

CHAPTER I.

BRITAIN IN THE TIME OF JULIUS CÆSAR.

THE Celts form a branch of the great family of nations which has been variously called Aryan, Indo-European, Indo-Germanic, Indo-Celtic, and Japhetic, its other branches being represented by the Italians, the Greeks, the Teutons, the Litu-Slaves, the Armenians, the Persians, and the chief peoples of Hindustan. The respective places of these nations in the geography of the Old World give, roughly speaking, a very fair idea of their relative nearness to one another in point of speech. Thus the gulf is widest between the Celtic languages and Sanskrit or Zend, and narrowest between Celtic and Latin, while it is comparatively narrow between the Celtic and Teutonic languages, among which is included English, the speech destined in time to supersede the idioms of the insular Celt which are still living. The Celts of antiquity who appeared first and oftenest in history were those of Gallia, which, having been made by the French into Gaule, we term Gaul. It included the France and Switzerland of the present day, and much

territory besides. This people had various names. One of them was *Galli*, which in their language meant warriors or brave men, and seems to have been always used by the Romans to designate them; but the Gauls themselves in Cæsar's time appear to have preferred the name which he wrote *Celtæ*. This was synonymous with the other, and appears to have meant warriors, the origin of the word being probably the same as that of the Old Norse *hildr*, war, battle. Some archæologists are of opinion that the terms Galli and Celtæ argue a distinction of race, but most historians treat them, and rightly we think, as synonymous. From the name of the ancient Celtæ modern writers have derived the terms Celt and Celtic, which are employed in speaking of the family in its widest sense. This is an extension of the meaning of the old word, as Britain was considered to be outside the Celtic world. It was an island beyond Celtica, or over against it, as the ancients were wont to say.

It is a long time ago since the first Celts crossed the sea to settle in Britain. Nobody knows how long, and the guesses which have been made as to the date are hardly worth recording. And when they did come the immigration was not all over in one year or even in one century. The invasions may, however, be grouped into two, and looked at as made by peoples of both groups of the Celtic family. For as the Teutonic nations divide themselves into High Dutch, Nether Dutch, and Scandinavians, so the Celtic family, so far back as we can trace it into the darkness of anti-

quity, consisted of two groups or branches with linguistic features of their own which marked them off from one another. To the one belonged the ancestors of the people who speak Gaelic in Ireland, the Isle of Man, and the Highlands of the North, a language which existed also in Wales and Devon in the sixth century, and probably later. The national name which the members of this group have always given themselves, so far as one knows, is that of Gaidhel, pronounced and spelt in English Gael, but formerly written by themselves Goidel. So, as there is a tendency in this country now to understand by the word Gael the Gael of the North alone, we shall speak of the group generally as Goidels and Goidelic. The other group is represented in point of speech by the people of Wales and the Bretons; formerly, one might have added the Welsh of Cumbria, and till the last century some of those of Cornwall. The national name of those speaking these dialects was that of Briton; but, since that word has now no precise meaning, we take the Welsh form of it, which is *Brython*, and call this group Brythons and Brythonic, whenever it is needful to be exact. The ancient Gauls must also be classified with them, since the Brythons may be regarded as Gauls who came over to settle in Britain. Moreover, the language of most of the inhabitants south of the Forth, where English now prevails, differed probably but slightly at the time of the Roman conquest from that of the Gauls of the Continent. This form of Celtic afterwards spread itself in time among the Goidels

in the west of the island; so that the later Brythons there cannot be regarded as wholly such in point of blood, a very considerable proportion of them being probably Goidels using the language of the other Celts. Roughly speaking, however, one may say that the whole Celtic family was made up of two branches or groups, the Goidelic group and the Gallo-Brythonic one; and as Gaulish is long since dead, every Celt of the United Kingdom is, so far as language is concerned, either a Goidel or a Brython. The Goidels were undoubtedly the first Celts to come to Britain, as their geographical position to the west and north of the others would indicate, as well as the fact that no trace of them on the Continent can now be identified. They had probably been here for centuries when the Brythons, or Gauls, came and drove them westward. The Goidels, it is right to say, had done the same with another people, for when they came here they did not find the country without inhabitants. Thus we get at least three peoples to deal with—two Celtic and one pre-Celtic; and one of the great difficulties in writing the history of early Britain arises from the circumstance that the ancient authors on whom we have to rely for our information, seldom troubled themselves to make nice distinctions between these races, though they were probably in different stages of civilization from one another. We shall, therefore, proceed at once to give the substance of what they have put on record respecting this country, and make what use we can of ancient coins or other relics of the

past to supplement that information about the island, seizing as we go on every opportunity of distinguishing between the different races peopling it. When the reader has thus become acquainted with the leading facts, something will be added by way of a more detailed account of our ethnology.

No such islands as Britain and Ireland were known to Herodotus in the fifth century before the Christian era; but some time afterwards one of the Scipios of Rome visited Marseilles[1] and Narbonne to find out whether trade could not be established with the region beyond southern Gaul, so as to injure the Carthaginians, whose sailors used to bring tin, not only from Spain and the Cassiterides or the tin islands on the north-west of that peninsula, but also from Gaul. The Roman could not, however, get any information about the north, but the idea of a voyage of discovery took form among the merchants of Marseilles, and the result was, that they fitted out an expedition accompanied by an eminent mathematician of that city, with whose name the reader should be familiar as that of one of the most intrepid explorers the world has seen. This was Pytheas,[2] who lived in the time of Alexander the Great and Aristotle, the latter of whom died in the year 322 B.C., while the year 330 is guessed as the date of the *floruit* of Pytheas. The publication of the history

[1] Strabo, Δ, 2, 1 (C. 190).

[2] For a fuller account of Pytheas see Elton's "Origins of Eng. Hist." (London, 1882), pp. 13, &c., and the extracts at the end of that volume.

of his travels is supposed to have taken place soon after the death of Aristotle; and fragments of the diary of his voyage have been preserved to us in the works of various ancient authors. He sailed round Spain to Brittany, and thence to Kent and other parts of Britain; next he set out from the Thames to the mouth of the Rhine, and thence he rounded Jutland, proceeding east so far as the mouth of the Vistula: he turned back from there and coasted Norway until he reached the arctic circle, whence he made for the Shetlands and the north of Scotland. Then he returned to Brittany, whence he reached the mouth of the Garonne, where he found an overland route home to Marseilles. Thus Pytheas was in Britain twice, and paid more attention to it than any of the other countries he visited; but he does not seem to have been so far as the tin districts in the west, and it is remarkable that he gives no hint which would lead one to suppose that there was any communication between them and the Continent. That intercourse, it would seem, was confined to the south-east of the island, where the Channel was narrowest. Pytheas took a great many observations in Britain; but, owing to the nature of the instruments which were then in use, they are of no value. It is quite otherwise with regard to what he says of the inhabitants: he saw plenty of corn in the fields in the south-east, and he noticed that the farmers gathered the sheaves into large barns, in which the threshing was done. They had so little sun that the open threshing-floors of the sunnier south would not

have done in a land of clouds and rain like Britain. He likewise found that they made a drink[1] by mixing wheat and honey, which is the mead still known in certain parts of Wales; and he is supposed to have been the authority for their use of another drink, which Greek writers[2] speak of as made of barley, and drank instead of wine. The name by which it was known to them is still the Celtic word for beer: it was formerly *curmi*, and it now makes *cuirm* in Irish, and *cwrw* in Welsh. Thus we have ample evidence that in the fourth century before our era the Aryan farmer had made himself thoroughly at home in Britain. Now the expedition of Pytheas had been got up for practical purposes by his fellow-citizens, the Greeks of Marseilles, and it resulted undoubtedly in the extension across Gaul of their trade, directly or indirectly, to the corner of Britain nearest to the Continent. Some light, it may be added, is shed on this by the fact, that the first coins supposed to have been struck in the island, long as that happened after Pytheas's time, were all modelled after Greek coins made during his time. This points to a trade then opened with the north.[3]

Some two centuries later another Greek of note extended his travels to the island and visited Belerion,[4] as he called the district in Cornwall where

[1] Strabo, Δ, 5, 5 (C. 201).

[2] Among others, Athenæus and Dioscorides: see Diefenbach's "Origines Europææ," s. v. *cervesia*.

[3] See Evans's "Coins of the Ancient Britons," p. 24.

[4] Diod. Siculus's "Bibliotheca Historica," v. 21, 22.

tin was found. This was Posidonius, with whom Cicero studied at Rhodes. Besides his description of the people and their method of working the tin, Posidonius is supposed to have been the authority of Diodorus Siculus[1] for stating, that the inhabitants of Britain lived in mean dwellings made for the most part of reeds or wood, and that harvest with them meant the cutting off the ears of corn and storing them in pits underground, whence were fetched day by day those that had been longest in keeping to be dressed for food. This appears to have been a way of preparing the cereal for food, which was well understood in the last century in the Western Islands of Scotland, where one proceeded so skilfully to prepare the corn with the aid of a flame, that it might be dressed, winnowed, ground, and baked within an hour after reaping.[2] Posidonius would seem to have been speaking of a part of the country more remote than the south-east corner, to which the words of Pytheas probably applied. But we have now come down to the time when the Romans began to acquaint themselves with the island in a very tangible fashion.

Late in the summer of the year 55 B.C., Julius Cæsar resolved to cross over to Britain,[3] from which he understood the Gauls to have had repeated help in

[1] "Bibl. Hist.," v. 21, 22.

[2] See Elton's "Origins of Eng. Hist.," p. 33, where he quotes from Martin's "Description of the Western Islands of Scotland," published in 1703, a passage illustrative of this practice. See also, with regard to Ireland, Tylor's "Primitive Culture," (2nd ed.) i. p. 44.

[3] Cæsar, "De Bello Gallico," iv. 20–38.

their wars with him. The season for waging war was, it is true, nearly over for that year, but he thought it desirable to visit the island, to see the people, and ascertain, so to say, how the land lay before him. So he tried first to extract information from traders about the size of the island, and the kind of people that lived there, together with their mode of warfare and manner of life; also as to what harbours they had for a number of ships of the larger size; but it was all in vain, and he says that no one but merchants readily crossed over, and that they only knew the coast and the districts opposite Gaul. He therefore sent Volusenus, one of his officers, out in a war-ship, to get as much information as possible respecting the coast of Britain, whence he was to return as soon as he could. In the meantime Cæsar collected vessels from all parts, together with the fleet which had been engaged the summer before against the Veneti, to a port in the country of the Morini, from which the passage to Britain could be most readily made. News of this had been at once carried across, and ambassadors from many of the states in the island came to Cæsar, which shows that there was a much readier and more intimate communication between it and Gaul than Cæsar's words would have led one to anticipate. The ambassadors promised him hostages, and that their states would obey the Roman people. Cæsar, after making liberal promises and exhorting them to continue of that mind, sent them home, accompanied by Commios. This man was one of the Atrebates, whom Cæsar had made king over that Belgic people when

they were conquered by the legions, and whose rule he afterwards extended to the Morini. Commios was chosen for his supposed fidelity to Roman interests, and because he had great influence in the south of Britain, where a portion of the people of the Atrebates had settlements. He had also, in Cæsar's opinion, proved himself a man of valour and prudence. His orders were to visit as many states as possible, and to exhort them to embrace the alliance of the Roman people; but no sooner had Commios landed, and his business become known, than he was placed in bonds. On the return of Volusenus with such information as a man who had not ventured to land was able to procure, Cæsar, at midnight on the 24th of August or one of the two succeeding days, embarked with two legions or about 12,000 men, in about eighty ships, together with a number of galleys, leaving eighteen ships detained at a neighbouring port by a contrary wind: these were to follow with the cavalry as soon as they could. Cæsar reached the British shore betimes in the morning, but, finding the point touched not a favourable place to land in the face of the enemy that mustered in force on the cliffs around, he coasted about seven Roman miles to a spot where there was an open beach and a level strand. The native cavalry and charioteers, closely followed by the rest of the British forces, were there in time to contest the landing of the legions. A severe engagement followed, in which the Roman soldiers showed considerable hesitation, and were thrown into much confusion by the British charioteers, with whose movements they were not

familiar. Gradually, however, as the Roman soldiers got a firm footing, they forced the natives to retreat; and Cæsar bewails the absence of his cavalry, which he required to complete his victory. After that ambassadors came to him to sue for peace, with Commios, released from bonds, at their head. They laid the war to the charge of the multitude, and begged Cæsar to forgive those who knew no better: he met this with the truly Roman complaint, that, after they had sent ambassadors of their own free will to him on the Continent, they had attacked him without cause; but he granted their request with a demand for hostages. Some were given on the spot, and others were to come from a distance in a few days, while the leading men surrendered themselves and began to send their troops home.

While this was going on, the eighteen ships bringing the cavalry across appeared, when such a storm arose that they were all forced in the face of night to turn back to the harbour they had left, after a narrow escape for some of them from being wrecked on the coast west of Cæsar's camp. Moreover, as it was full moon, there followed such a tide that the tempest filled with water the war-galleys which had been drawn up on shore, and dashed together the transport ships that lay at anchor, so that many were wrecked or made unfit for immediate use. By dint of hard work and with the aid of the timber and the bronze of the vessels that had been wrecked, Cæsar was able to get all but twelve passably refitted, while he sent to Gaul for the things that were wanting. As soon as the

British chiefs saw what had happened to the Roman cavalry and to the ships, and when they had reckoned from the size of the camp how few soldiers it contained, they began to combine and secretly to muster their forces again, as well as to stop sending in hostages, hoping as Cæsar thought, that they could prolong the war into the winter, and thereby cut off his whole army, as a caution to all future invaders. Their first move was to post cavalry and chariots in good positions near the spot where alone there was corn still standing, to which the Romans must come. In due time one of the legions came, and as soon as the men had set to work in the fields, a well-directed onslaught was made on them, and it would have gone hard with the legion, as it was attacked on all sides, had not Cæsar, who was on the alert, brought them aid. The attack then ceased, but he was only able to conduct a retreat. Then bad weather is said by him to have kept both sides quiet for several days, during which the British forces seem to have received reinforcements. They now advanced to Cæsar's camp, which was by this time provided with thirty horses that Commios had brought over: a battle ensued, in which the Romans prevailed and slew a considerable number of the enemy in their retreat. After the soldiers had duly laid waste the country around, and destroyed everything they could, ambassadors came the same day to sue again for peace, which was readily granted, with a demand for twice the number of hostages asked for the

time before; for the general was getting impatient to return to Gaul, the reason assigned being the lateness of the season and the frail nature of his ships. He had probably seen that he could not do much in the island without a larger force, especially of cavalry. He left shortly before the equinox, so that he had been here nearly a month according to some calculations, or a little over a fortnight according to others, but without having been able to advance a mile from the place of landing. The hostages were to be sent after him, and those of two states reached him, but no more. Nevertheless, the Roman senate, on learning by letter from him what he had achieved, thought right to decree twenty days of public thanksgiving.

Cæsar[1] gave orders that more ships and of a more suitable kind should be got ready for the ensuing summer for a second campaign in Britain; and such was the eagerness with which the soldiers went to work, that by the time he returned in June from Illyricum and Italy, they had got nearly 600, new and old, almost ready to be launched. Thus it would appear, that what they had seen of the island had filled them with thoughts of valuable plunder: the same feeling is proved also by the privateers, which those who were able fitted out to accompany the army across the Channel. Among other things it was thought that British waters would be found to produce abundance of precious pearls, an idea got rid of, no doubt, in time, though we read of British

[1] "Bell. Gall.," v. 1–23.

pearls adorning a corselet which Cæsar was pleased to dedicate in the temple of the Goddess of Victory at Rome. When all was ready he embarked at Portus Itius, which was probably the harbour whence he had sailed before, with five legions and 2,000 cavalry: the number of vessels of all kinds was over 800, though 60 built on the Marne, in the country of the Meldi above the Parisii, had failed to join the expedition, owing to a storm which drove them back. This year Cæsar was resolved to begin in season: accordingly he set sail, it is supposed, late on the 18th of July or, according to others, a day or two later, but by daybreak he found his fleet carried by the current past the South Foreland, and it was with great labour that he got back to the spot which the summer before had been ascertained to be the best place for landing: this, together with the choice of a site for the camp, took up the rest of the day. During the night, however, he set out with the army, except the force he thought needful to leave in charge of the camp and the ships moored near it, in quest of the enemy that had not this time thought it of use to contest the landing of such a force, but rather to take up an advantageous position inland. Cæsar, after marching about twelve miles in the night, came in sight of the Britons, and soon found them advancing to attack his men from a higher ground. On being repulsed by the Roman cavalry they withdrew into a place excellently fortified by nature and art, with all its entrances blocked up with felled trees: it appeared to have been made

during one of their civil wars. The legions made themselves in due time masters of it, but Cæsar would not venture to pursue the enemy far that day. Next morning, as he was sending cavalry and infantry after the retreating Britons, news arrived from the coast that nearly all his ships had been dashed to pieces on the shore during the night. He called back his men and marched to the coast, where he found that about forty ships had been wrecked, but that the rest might be repaired with great labour: this is done, and they are all hauled up on shore to be included within the lines of the camp. About ten days are taken up by this work, during which word is sent to Cæsar's lieutenant in Gaul to have as many ships as possible got ready and sent over. When at length the general returns to seek the enemy he finds him mustering in much greater force under the command now of a single leader named Cassivelaunos, whose country lay north of the Thames, being in all probability that of the people called Catuvelauni. This prince, though he had in previous years been at constant war with the other states, had now the sole command given him by the consent of all, whence it would seem that they acknowledged him to have been their ablest and most tried general. What gave Cæsar most trouble would seem to have been the quick and sudden movements of the British cavalry and charioteers, who fought bravely with the Roman cavalry; they were as dangerous when retreating as when advancing, for when they got the cavalry of the Roman army away from it, the combat-

ants alighted and fought like foot soldiers. On one occasion the charioteers rushed upon the Roman soldiers, when they were engaged in fortifying their position, and fought so strenuously with the outposts before the camp, that two of the first cohorts from different legions had to be called out; but when they had taken their places with small spaces between them they were terrified by the enemy's charioteers, who dashed through their midst in safety and with the utmost boldness. It was only after one of the military tribunes had been killed, and more cohorts had come forth, that the enemy retreated. They never fought in close order, but they arranged outlying detachments that harassed the legions in relays. So the next day no less than three legions are sent out together for the purpose of foraging; but, owing to the Roman cavalry being then better backed than before by the infantry, severe losses were inflicted on the skirmishers; and the British auxiliaries, who had mustered in great number, straightway withdrew; nor did Cassivelaunos after that hazard a battle on a large scale. Consequently Cæsar marched towards his territory and crossed the Thames, somewhere above London, with great difficulty, but with much alacrity on the part of the soldiers, who had had as yet little chance of getting much booty. Cassivelaunos sent away most of his forces, but retained about 4,000 charioteers to harass Cæsar's march and to clear the country where he was likely to come: his tactics greatly narrowed the Roman area of devastation, and made the business

of burning and destroying much more laborious than the soldiers could have wished; so their general speaks almost pathetically of their being only able to do it in the midst of the toils of marching.

This was, however, not to last long; for the powerful people of the Trinovantes, who inhabited the modern county of Essex and a part of Middlesex, from beyond the Lea to the Stour, sent in the meantime to Cæsar to ask for peace, a course which they were led to take partly, no doubt, to escape the ravages of the Roman army, and partly perhaps to avenge themselves on Cassivelaunos, who had killed their king. The son of the latter, who was called Mandubratios, had succeeded in making his way to Cæsar in Gaul, and in securing his protection; the Trinovantes, therefore, not only asked Cæsar to accept their submission, but also to send Mandubratios to rule over them, and to save that prince from Cassivelaunos. Cæsar complies, and demands forty hostages from them, together with corn for the army: they bring both, and their territory is protected from the soldiery. The work of conquest was now easy; for the example of the Trinovantes was followed by other tribes, namely, the Cenimagni, Segontiaci, Ancalites, Bibroci, and Cassi, while the invader was told that the stronghold of Cassivelaunos was not far off, where he would find a large number of men and cattle brought together. This he discovered to have been admirably fortified by nature and art, the latter in Britain consisting of a defence of wood, with a rampart and trench drawn round it. But it was not long before the

Roman soldiers got possession of the place, together with the large number of cattle it contained, while many of the men were cut down in their flight. But Cassivelaunos was also active, and while these things were going on in his own territory, he ordered the kings of Cantion or Kent, of whom there were four, to storm Cæsar's camp by the sea, which they at once proceeded to do; but they were driven back with a considerable loss, one of the leaders being captured by the Roman soldiers. At the news of this failure, and especially of the defection of the Trinovantes and the other states that followed them, Cassivelaunos decided to sue for peace through Commios, the Atrebat. Rumours from Gaul, not to his liking, had reached Cæsar, and because he had his former views as to the lateness of the season, he seized the opportunity of bringing the war to a close at once, by demanding hostages, and fixing the sum which Britain was to pay as a yearly tribute. He also gave Cassivelaunos strict orders, that cost the giver little, to keep his hands off Mandubratios and the Trinovantes. Since it was near the equinox when Cæsar left, his stay here must have been about two months. Of course he did not depart empty-handed, for he took with him not only the hostages, but also a great number of captives, the sale of whom was to fill Roman coffers with gold.

From Cæsar's departure in the year 54 B.C. down to the invasion of the island under Claudius in A.D. 43, that is to say, for pretty nearly a century, we have very little of its history, except what may be made

out by means of the coins, which began to be stamped with letters soon after Cæsar's conquest of Gaul. The coinage of Britain had been modelled in the first instance after that of Gaul, which in its turn can be traced to the Phocæan Greeks of Massilia or Marseilles, through whom the continental Gauls became acquainted in the latter part of the fourth century before Christ with the gold stater of Philip II. of Macedon. This was a fine coin, weighing about 133 grains and having on one side the head of Apollo wreathed with laurel, while the other showed a charioteer in a biga, with Philip's name underneath. It was imitated by the Gauls fairly well at first, but as it got further removed from the original in time and place, the figures degenerated into very curious and fantastic forms. It has been calculated by John Evans, the greatest authority on the subject, that the inhabitants of the south of Britain must have begun to coin gold pieces of this kind from 200 to 150 B.C.,[1] and the information he has collected makes it probable that this took place first in Kent; next follows the coinage of the other tribes inhabiting the south of England, as far as the borders of Dorsetshire. It is also worthy of remark that coins of several types are found to have been current on the south coast, concerning which it is hard to decide whether they should be regarded as belonging to Gaul or to Britain. Money appears to have circulated as far as Cornwall, though there is no satisfactory evidence that any tribe west

[1] Evans's "Coins of the Ancient Britons" (London, 1864), p. 26.

of the Durotriges of Dorset had a coinage of its own. Between Dorsetshire and the Worcestershire Avon, there were probably more than one tribe that had an early coinage. So had the Catuvelauni, whose territory stretched in a north-easterly direction from the Thames to the neighbourhood of the Wash, and also the Trinovantes, who lived between them and the North Sea in Essex and Middlesex. But there is no satisfactory evidence that any tribes north of these, not even the Eceni, who occupied what is now Norfolk and Suffolk, had a coinage of their own when Cæsar landed in this country; nor does it appear that any British tribe whatever had then begun to have its coins lettered. It is not certain that silver or bronze coins had as yet been struck; but it is probable that in any case they came into use much later than the gold ones. Cæsar, however, is usually made to say that no money was current in Britain, but only bronze or pieces of iron of a fixed weight to supply its place. The passage,[1] however, is hopelessly corrupt, and the manuscripts differ greatly, some of them ascribing to the Britons the use of coins of gold, and some of bronze. Whatever Cæsar wrote there can be little doubt about the gold currency: he probably mentioned it, though he saw little of it, as may be gathered from the correspondence[2] of one of his officers; and it is by no means improbable that ingots of bronze or bars of iron may have been used for money among the tribes who had

[1] "Bell. Gall.," v. 12.

[2] That of Cicero's brother to Cicero; see "Monumenta Hist. *Brit.*," pp. lxxxvii., lxxxviii.

no coinage, and that Cæsar was aware of that fact. When he goes on to say that iron was found on the sea-coast of Britain, but that the supply was small, he probably alludes to the iron-mining in the weald of Kent and Sussex, which Prof. Boyd Dawkins believes to have been carried on before Cæsar's landing, as it certainly was during the Roman occupation, and for many centuries afterwards.[1] There is no reason, however, to suppose that the great wealth of the country in iron ore had been discovered by Cæsar's time, and the little already found had possibly been pointed out by some one who had seen iron worked on the Continent. Cæsar tells us that the bronze used in the island was imported, which is a pretty good proof that copper was not yet worked here, bronze being a compound of copper and tin. The importation of bronze, and the exportation of tin must have formed at this time the most important items in the trade of Britain.

We have now come to an age when the coins of Britain began to appear with letters on them. This is found to have taken place first in Kent, or else a little to the west on the southern shore, where the Belgic tribes kept up an active communication with Gaul. Here we find one or two coins of Commios, and a great many of three princes who

[1] The last forge appears to have been blown out only in the year 1825, though the growing scarcity of fuel had driven several of the ironmasters to South Wales so early as the time of Henry VIII. See a paper by Prof. Boyd Dawkins in the Transactions of the "Internat. Congress of Prehist. Arch." for 1868, p. 188.

called themselves sons of Commios. Who this Commios was is not known, but he and his sons seem to have held sway in much the same part of Britain in which the Commios of whom Cæsar speaks had so much influence; and, on the whole, it is not improbable that the latter is also the Commios of the coins. He appears to have gone back with Cæsar to Gaul, as we find him left with some cavalry to keep watch the year after over the Menapii, who seem to have lived on the coast between the Morini and the Rhine, while the general sets out against the Treveri. But in 52 B.C. so strong was the desire of the Gauls to drive out the Romans, that Commios became one of the leaders against the latter; and so dangerous was he considered, that Labienus, Cæsar's lieutenant, tried to have him killed by treachery; but he got away, though severely wounded. He is said some time afterwards to have had a very narrow escape from Cæsar himself, which he effected by betaking himself to his ship, and having its sails spread as though he had it already afloat, which it was not: the pursuit was given up, and he had time to get away to Britain. He figures, however, in 51 B.C. again, as one of the chief organizers of opposition to the Roman rule in Gaul, and when the other chiefs had given in their submission, he still held out. It was again attempted to murder him, and that by means of the same officer as before, but the latter had the worst of it, and Commios escaped, when he sent in his submission to Antony, then acting under Cæsar in Gaul, and made it a condition that he

should be allowed to go where he should not set eyes on another Roman. He seems to have been an active man in the prime of life, and since we hear no more of him it is not unlikely that he came over to Britain, and that his hatred of the Romans had been sufficiently proved to his kindred here to make them forget his having once been one of Cæsar's tools, if, indeed, they ever took an unfavourable view of that part of his history. But we need not suppose that his influence here had to be acquired all anew, as the Atrebates and the other Belgic tribes of Britain had probably been induced by him to join the league he and others had organized of their kinsfolk, the Continental Atrebates, the Bellovaci, and other powerful peoples. As far as can be gathered from the places of finding the coins in question, the rule of the Commian family did not extend beyond the district represented by Kent, Surrey, Sussex, Hants, and, perhaps, a part of Wilts. According to Mr. Evans the lettered coinage of this part of the island may be supposed to have appeared some time before 30 B.C.[1] At his death his territory seems to have fallen to his three sons, Tincommios, Verica, and Eppillos, who are supposed to have exercised a sort of joint rulership over it, at the same time that each had probably a district which was more completely under his own control; that is to say, Eppillos ruled over the Cantii in Kent, Tincommios over the Regni, whose territory may, roughly speaking, be supposed

[1] Evans's "Coins of the Ancient Britons," pp. 154, 155.

to have been that which became the Saxon kingdom of Sussex, and Verica over the eastern portion of the country of the Atrebates, who appear to have in all possessed what is now Surrey, Berkshire, and a part of Oxfordshire reaching so far north as Aldchester and Bicester. The names of the three brothers are found together on one coin, but Tincommios, who seems to have been the eldest, appears to have died before the others, as some coins occur with their joint names without his. There are reasons for supposing Eppillos to have survived Verica, of whose territory, together most likely with that of Tincommios, he may have become sole ruler: at any rate, he appears, so far as one can judge from the abbreviated forms used on some coins, to call himself king of Calleva, which has been supposed to be Silchester in Hampshire, but this identification is not certain, as there seems to have been another Calleva, situated at Haslemere in Surrey. There is no indication that Commios or Tincommios called himself king of any people in Britain, but Eppillos and Verica certainly take the title on some of their coins, whence it would seem that Commios had placed himself in a position of authority in South Britain as the head of a league organized for a special purpose, and that he so far consolidated his power as to be able to pass it on to his sons, while Eppillos and Verica appear to have thought themselves safe in taking the title of kings. That was probably not done without opposition, and it is not impossible that Eppillos's position among the Cantii was altogether acquired by

conquest, either in his father's time or soon after, as it seems doubtful whether Kent came within the circle of the original influence of Commios, whose direct connection would rather seem to have been with the Atrebates and the other Belgic tribes west of Kent. We appear to fall in with one of the princes who got the worst of it in the struggle with the Commian family, in either of the British refugees who are said on the monuments[1] recounting the events of the reign of Augustus to have sought his protection. The coins of Commios, and some of the earlier ones of Tincommios, continued the degenerate imitations of the Macedonian stater without showing any Roman influence; but it was not long after Augustus became emperor, in the year 30, that Tincommios copied the Latin formula, in which the former styled himself *Augustus Divi Filius* or the son of his adoptive father, Julius Cæsar, who had now got to be officially called *Divus* or the god. So Tincommios had inscribed on his money the legend—*Tinc. Commi F.*, or even shorter abbreviations, meaning Tincommios, son of Commios; and the grotesque traits derived from the stater soon disappear in favour of classical designs of various kinds, proving very distinctly that the influence of Roman art was beginning to make itself felt in the south of Britain. With the sons of Commios the coinage of the western portion of their territory seems to have ceased, whereas in Kent it would appear to have continued later. This is

[1] That of Ancyra in Asia Minor; see the Berlin "Corpus Inscr. Lat.," iii. pp. 784, 785, 798, 799.

supposed to be accounted for by the influence of the trade with Gaul, where everything was fast being Romanized under Augustus; but it would hardly explain why a native coinage should continue longer in Kent, which was after all the nearest part of Britain to Gaul. It is rather to be supposed that the western part of Eppillos's kingdom fell after his day under the power of the encroaching Catuvelauni, and that we have to look for the coins representing it later among those of that people.

Now most of the latter are found to have been issued by a prince, whose name occurs Latinized as Tasciovanus, Tasciovanius, and Tasciovans (genitive Tasciovantis), the Tenuantius of Geoffrey of Monmouth, and by his sons Cunobelinos and Epaticcos. The father's capital was Verlamion or Verulam, near St. Albans, and the name of the town appears on many of his coins, as does that of Camulodunon or Colchester, which was Cunobelinos's capital, on his. The great variety of Tasciovant's coins seem to show that he must have had a long reign, and some of them at any rate were struck so late as the year 13 B.C., as they are found to have been modelled after coins of Augustus, which were not current till then;[1] but it has been supposed that he lived a good many years later, and only died after the beginning of our era. Others of his coins show that he reigned for some time during the life of Eppillos, but at what date he began we have no means of finding out, though it has been supposed to have been so

[1] Evans's "Coins of the Anc. Brit.," p. 223.

early as the year 30, when Augustus was made emperor, and some of the coins would seem to point even to an earlier date. This would bring Tasciovant sufficiently near Cassivelaunos in point of time for him to have been his son or a brother's son; but possibly we should rather say a grandson. In either case, there is no reason to suppose that there had in the meantime been a revolution or a change of dynasty, especially as we find Cunobelinos, the Cymbeline of Shakspere, styling himself on some coins *rex* or king; and we seem to be at liberty to assume in the absence of evidence to the contrary, that the people of the Catuvelauni had been guided by the more or less uniform policy of one dynasty in their treatment of neighbouring states. This appears on looking into the scanty data at our disposal to have been one of conquest and aggression. Thus Cæsar mentions how the king of the Trinovantes had been slain by Cassivelaunos, when his son Mandubratios fled to him. How long the losses which Cassivelaunos and his people suffered during Cæsar's campaign inclined them to leave the Trinovantes alone cannot be made out, but we learn from the coins that Cunobelinos ruled there and had made Camulodunon in the heart of their country his capital, which probably happened during Tasciovant's life and with his help. Possibly Mandubratios was left unassailed so long as he lived; but the coinage of the country of the Trinovantes bears evidence to the rule for a time of a prince whose name was Dubnovelaunos, and who is mentioned on the Augustan monument, already referred to, as one of the two British princes who sought the

emperor's protection. But his history is rendered somewhat difficult by the fact that his coins are also found in Kent (and those so far as can be guessed his earlier ones) whence it would seem that he ruled over a certain extent of territory on both sides of the Thames. From his southern position he may have been driven by Eppillos, with whom he appears to have been contemporary, and from the northern one some time later by Cunobelinos. It is not impossible that the territory of the Trinovantes originally comprised a part of the southern coast of the estuary of the Thames, and certain it is that both the Isle of Thanet and that of Sheppey are placed opposite the Trinovantes by Ptolemy, who may, perhaps, have regarded them as belonging to that people.

Between the Catuvelauni and the North Sea there were, besides the Trinovantes, the people of the Eceni, occupying the country between them and the Wash. When the former had been reduced by the Catuvelauni, the turn of the latter, in case it had not gone before, could not be very far off: so it may be that we have them heading Cæsar's list of the states which, after the example of the Trinovantes, deserted Cassivelaunos in the hour of need. But that is by no means certain, since the name appears in the manuscripts of Cæsar as Cenimagni, Cenomagni and Cenomanni, which may possibly be considered mutilated forms of some such longer title of the nation of the Eceni as Ecenimagni or the like. The others mentioned were the Segontiaci, Ancalites, Bibroci, and Cassi, all probably Belgic tribes, living near the Thames

or between its basin and the Severn, and included as it may be supposed under the more general name of Atrebates. Such the Bibroci appear to have been, whose name reminds one of the town of the Remi, called Bibrax, and of Cæsar's statement, that almost all the Belgic peoples of Britain bore the name of the Continental state they had come from; but the exact locality of neither the Bibroci nor of the Ancalites can be said to be known, though nothing serious stands in the way of the guess which identifies the name of the former with the Berroc, whence the modern name of the county of Berks is derived. The Segontiaci are identified with the neighbourhood of the Silchester Calleva by the finding there of a Roman inscription in honour of a divinity styled the Segontiac Hercules, and as some of Tasciovant's coins bear the name of this people, or of one of its towns, we may conclude that they had been forced into an alliance with the Catuvellauni. This does not stand alone; for the coins of Epaticcos seem to prove that he held sway south of the Thames, in what is now the county of Surrey. The name of the Cassi would be lisped in Gaulish and then spelt CAÐÐI or CAΘΘI, which less accurately written in Latin letters may be detected in the *Catti* of coins found in Gloucestershire and the neighbouring county of Monmouth. They were either a branch of the Atrebates, or else, perhaps, of the people of the Dobunni, to whom they were near neighbours. The latter occupied most of the tract between the two Avons and between the Severn and the states of the Atrebates and the Catu-

velauni, while Dion Cassius in speaking of Aulus Plautius operating in that district in the year 43 gives one to understand that either the whole or a portion of the people of the Dobunni was subject to the Catuvelauni at that time. The inland tract between the Catuvelauni and the Dobunni on the one hand, and the Brigantes of the north beyond the Humber and the Mersey on the other, was inhabited by two peoples, that of the Coritani in the lower part of the valley of the Trent and the district between it and the North Sea, and the Cornavii to the west of the Coritani, and reaching from about the Worcestershire Avon to the mouths of the Dee and the Mersey. Of these peoples exceedingly little is known, and they play no appreciable part in the resistance offered to the Roman arms in the time of Claudius, whence we may, perhaps, infer that they were virtually conquered with the Catuvelauni, as having been for some time the allies or subjects of that state. In that case the Catuvelauni may be regarded as the Mercians of those days, which would be aptly illustrated by the fact that they chose to call themselves by a name meaning battle-rulers or war-kings, like that of the Caturiges of Gaul; and it is in their aggressiveness that we have probably to look for the secret in the first instance of the influence of Commios in Britain, which Cæsar has left unexplained. The Belgic tribes of the Thames Valley were, we may take it, hard pressed by the Catuvelauni; they send to ask their kindred in Gaul for help, and Commios comes over to aid them with his council, and possibly with armed

men; but whether that was so or not, for there is no evidence, there would be nothing very surprising in a man of his ability having organized such resistance as would stay for a time the advance of the Catuvelauni. It may, indeed, be that he was not the first to come over for that purpose, but that something of the kind had happened already in the time of Diviciacos, who, as Cæsar was informed, had been king of the Belgic people of the Suessiones within his time, and not only possessed more power than any other man in Gaul, but it also extended to Britain.[1] However that may be, Commios may have seen that the advance of the Catuvelauni could not be long stayed, and that it was his reason, or at least one of his reasons, for taking an active part in Cæsar's invasion. If so, it may be that the losses which Cæsar inflicted on the Cantii and the Catuvelauni, resulted in their relieving the Belgic states of all immediate fear of their neighbours, and in adding to the popularity of Commios. In that case he had little or no trouble in making himself the head of a league directed against the Catuvelauni, when he was forced to leave Gaul, whence he brought with him also the credit of intense hatred of the Romans. All this would agree well enough with the fact that it was probably among the people of Kent that he was detained in bonds, when he landed as Cæsar's envoy; and it has already been suggested that it was possibly by force of arms that his son Eppillos asserted his power there some time afterwards.

[1] "Bell. Gall.," ii. 4.

CHAPTER II.

BRITAIN DOWN TO THE CLAUDIAN CONQUEST.

FOR a good many years preceding the Claudian invasion in the year 43, Cunobelinos was the most conspicuous figure in Britain, and Suetonius, who wrote his history of the Cæsars some seventy or eighty years later, speaks of him as Rex Britannorum [1] or king of the Britons. From this, together with other indications, it would seem that his power reached to the southern coast, though it is hardly probable that he had removed all the princes of the states south of the Thames. It is more probable that he was satisfied with forcing them to an alliance with him, and allowing some of them to rule in their own states subject to some kind of supremacy on his part. Whether the fugitives who sought the aid of Augustus were able to induce him to assist them we are not told, but historians state that Augustus once meditated an expedition against Britain, and it may be that it was their representations which led him thereto. This never came to anything, for the princes of Britain hastened to send ambassadors to him to prevent war, and some of them, we are told, gained his friendship. We may take it that Cunobelinos, the

[1] Suetonius, "De Vita Cæsarum," Caligula, 44.

most wealthy and powerful of them, was the most successful in winning the emperor's good graces, and if the exiles ever returned it was probably subject to certain conditions he thought right to indicate. Strabo, who wrote not many years after the death in 14 B.C. of Augustus, goes on to say that the British princes who were on friendly terms with the emperor, dedicated their offerings in the Capitol at Rome, and brought the island well-nigh to a state of close connection with the Roman power. This is quite in harmony with what we learn from Cunobelinos's coins. His father's, which were much on a level with those of Eppillos, show far less of the influence of Rome, while it is unmistakable on those of Cunobelinos, with the exception of some few of his early ones, which are purely British, and belong to the series derived from the Macedonian stater. The workmanship improves, and a variety of classical figures, such as Jupiter Ammon, Apollo playing on the lyre, Hercules with his club or with the trophies of some of his labours, Janus, Diana, Cybele on a lion, Victory in several attitudes, and many other mythical personages of the same class, together with sphinxes, griffins, and the like monsters of southern mythology, take the place of the clumsier forms on the more purely British money. The coinage of Gaul was now becoming Roman, and the improvement in that of Britain was no longer perhaps so much a matter of taste as of commercial expediency, on which some light is thrown by the fact that Augustus thought proper to commute the yearly

tribute for a light export and import duty on the trade between it and Gaul. This, so far as it goes, would indicate that the trade was not inconsiderable. In any case, we are not to suppose the emperor capable of despising any source of income which could be made to bring money into his coffers.

Augustus was succeeded by Tiberius, who died in the year 37 without having troubled himself in any way, so far as we know, with the affairs of Britain. He was followed by Caligula, who was emperor until his death in the year 41. In his time Britain appears again in history, as follows:—In the year 40 a son of Cunobelinos, called Adminius by Suetonius, who gives the account, surrendered himself with a small number of followers to Caligula in Gaul, having been banished for some reason or other by his own father. Thereupon the emperor sent a letter to Rome describing in fine language how the island of Britain had been added to the Roman power, the messengers being charged to deliver his message to the senate only in full assembly in the Temple of Mars. This freak of imperial madness corroborates the view that Cunobelinos was at that time, in the opinion of the Romans, the only British king who was worth considering, and explains why Suetonius calls him king of the Britons. But as to his son, who made this cheap surrender of his father's kingdom to Caligula, nothing further is known, excepting that he was possibly the same person whose name is written Amminus on some coins of this time, the finding-place of which tends

to connect him with some part of Kent. Cunobelinos had other sons, but the only ones known to history were Togodumnos and Caratâcos, who ruled over their deceased father's kingdom when Claudius sent Aulus Plautius here. So he must have died between the years 40 and 43, at a very advanced age, and after having carried into effect with considerable success the family policy of reducing the neighbouring states under the rule of the Catuvelauni.

A variety of coins, of which neither the exact date or place of issue nor the sequence has been satisfactorily made out, are assigned to the country of the Dobunni; but, on the whole, none of them are thought to date before the Christian era, while some appear to be as late as the time of Claudius, whose reign dates from the year 41. They tend to show that some of the Dobunni were so far independent of the Catuvelauni as to have a coinage of their own. It may be, however, that the latest of them were struck after the death of Togodumnos in 43 and the conquest of his people by the Romans, that is, in the interval before the reduction of the country in the neighbourhood of the Bristol Avon by Ostorius Scapula in the year 50. They all belong to the series of imitations of the Macedonian stater, and show hardly a trace of the influence of Rome, excepting that two or three of the names are given in a Latin rather than in a Gaulish spelling. One group, that of the Catti, a word already mentioned as being probably the name of a people, the Cassi of Cæsar's

Commentaries, is remarkable as showing no trace of the name of any prince or king.

The next region distinctly indicated by the peculiarities of its coinage is the country of the Eceni, consisting approximately of the modern counties of Norfolk and Suffolk. Trade and the east wind travelled westwards, leaving the Eceni on their peninsula to defy a little longer the Roman influence to which Cunobelinos and his people had been giving way, and which now reached the land of the Dobunni on the banks of the Severn. The Eceni seem, from what we read of them afterwards, to have been a remarkably hardy and warlike race, but, just as they may have been among those who deserted Cassivelaunos in order to make their own terms with Cæsar, so their old jealousy and fear of the power of the Catuvelauni when Cunobelinos had succeeded in combining with it that of the Trinovantes, were partly, no doubt, the cause which led them in 43 to make an alliance with the Romans, which they soon began to regret. The earliest group of coins which has been supposed to belong to them bears the name of Addedomaros; but it is by no means certain, that the prince so named ruled over the Eceni rather than some neighbouring tribe, among whom Cunobelinos found the means of supplanting or succeeding him. His coins would be the only gold ones of the Eceni with any reading on them, and, had they really been theirs, it is hardly probable that they would have reverted to uninscribed ones, for such they certainly seem to have had after his time, both

in gold and silver. But along with their uninscribed silver coins they used inscribed ones, some of which are remarkable as showing the name of the people in the abbreviated form of ECEN without a trace of that of any prince or king accompanying it, which calls to mind the coins of the Catti. It would seem, then, that at this time the Eceni had no kings. Their latest coins, however, show the name of one Anted̆rigus, who may have been king or else chief magistrate of a state where there was no king—there are no means of deciding. But if the following facts be put together, to wit, that Anted̆rigus, the name of this man, appears a little later, sometimes in its Celtic spelling and sometimes Latinized, on coins in the land of the Dobunni; that Dion Cassius[1] mentions one Bericos, who, driven out of Britain by an insurrection, went to persuade Claudius to send out an expedition against it, which was done in 43; and lastly that, when the Romans came, the Eceni entered into an alliance without fighting, though they were by no means a likely people to have shrunk from the horrors of war, their history may be guessed, though no more than guessed, and summarised thus:—The Eceni had had a revolution, which put an end to the kingly power among them; the state became the prey of two factions, headed by Bericos and Anted̆rigus respectively; their dispute may have been of the same nature as that which Julius Cæsar was called upon to decide

[1] "Roman History," lx. 19, 23.

among the Ædui,[1] between two nobles, each of whom insisted that he was the duly elected king for the year; Anted̆rigus prevailed, and issued coins with his name on them; and Bericos fled to Claudius to ask him to invade the island, promising him the aid of his friends and of the state of the Eceni if he placed him in the position occupied by his rival. When the Roman forces arrived, Bericos and his friends made a handle of the Eceni's jealousy of the power of the Catuvelauni to induce them to enter into an alliance with the Roman power; he obtained his desire, and Anted̆rigus had to flee, but was hospitably received by the Dobunni, among whom he organized resistance to the Romans for some years afterwards, much in the same way as Caratacos did among the Silures after having to leave his own people in the power of the conqueror. All this, though only a conjecture, would agree best with the view that the Ecęni never were reduced by Cunobelinos; and he certainly can have had no hand in regulating their coinage, which betrays no trace of the influence of Roman art or of the Latin language, except in so far as the Gaulish orthography used in this country at that time was a sort of mixture of Greek and Latin letters.

Nothing can be said to be known as yet of the coinage of the Cornavii and the Coritani, though it is not improbable that both peoples may have had for a short time coins of their own make. In fact, it

[1] "Bell. Gall.," vii. 32, 33.

is thought that it was through the latter that acquaintance with money was first made by the people on the northern shore of the Humber, whose coinage is the rudest of all, and the one most like that of the Eceni, though it is impossible to trace it directly to the latter. This coinage, moreover, appears to have been the latest, being apparently of the time of Claudius or in part later; it may be supposed to have come to an end about the time when the Brigantes, whose sway extended over much of the country, from the Humber and Mersey so far perhaps as the Caledonian Forest, submitted to the Roman yoke soon after the year 69. In vain, however, one scans the coins in question for any of the historical names of that people, such as Cartismandua, Venutios, or Vellocatus; in fact, there is no reason for supposing them to have belonged to the Brigantes so much as to a people inhabiting the districts now known as Holderness and the Yorkshire Wolds—possibly the whole coast from the Humber to the Tees. In Ptolemy's Geography, a great work published about the year 120, they are called Parîsi, which makes it probable that they were a branch of the Parisii on the Seine, who have left their name to the city of Paris. Their town, called Petuaria, appears to have been at Hedon, close to where Kingston-upon-Hull now stands, and he places them around what he calls the Fair-havened Bay, referring probably to the once important harbour of Hornsea. We find other towns of theirs besides Petuaria in the Delgovicia of the ancients, which was probably Market Weighton, and in Derventio, some-

where on the Derwent, probably Kexby or Elvington. Some of the barrows of this people, containing the remains of war-chariots and other things of the Iron Age, also connect them with Market Weighton, Beverley, Pocklington, and other localities in the East Riding. But when did the Parisi arrive in this country? was it before the Brigantes, so that the latter had to land on the coast north of this district? or did they come after the Brigantes, and succeed in seizing a corner of their territory by main force? and, if so, how late did they make their appearance in the Humber? These are questions one has at present no means of answering; but it is clear that at the time in question the Parisi were sufficiently independent of their powerful neighbours to have had a coinage of their own. Some of the pieces extant are, moreover, interesting as giving the title granted to the person in whose name they were issued. Thus one Volisios styles himself sometimes Domnocoveros and sometimes Domnoveros, which may possibly have meant the guardian of the state, or the man of the people. At any rate it has been observed that the same term occurs on a coin of Dumnorix, the Æduan, whose great popularity[1] with the common people Cæsar dwells upon more than once. This, in fact, was one of the reasons why he was distrusted and ordered to be cut down when he refused to follow Cæsar on his second expedition to Britain. On another of these northern coins the

[1] "Bell. Gall.," i. 3, 9, 18, 20.

person who issued it gives himself a title, which, if correctly read Senotigirnos, would literally mean the old lord or old monarch, whatever the exact official signification of that may have been among the Parisi. Unfortunately, the relation of these two kinds of coins to one another in point of time is not known; should they turn out to be of the same date, they might be taken to prove the state to have been divided into two parties, the one clinging to the representative of a dynasty, and the other rallying round one who gave himself out as the friend of the people. If we do not misunderstand their coins, the Parisi may briefly be said to have been in the condition of a people who were either struggling to cast away the kingly yoke, or who had succeeded in doing so, and were threatened with a tyranny of a different kind—that of the adventurer who seeks power by hoodwinking the crowd. A very doubtful exception is to be made as to the language being Gaulish, in the case of a group of coins with the letters VEP CORF, which are possibly to be treated as Latin, standing for VEP. COR.F., meaning "Vepotalos son of Correos," or the like. In that case they might reasonably be regarded as the last native money coined in early Britain.

Pomponius Mela, a Spanish writer of the first century, states that the further a British people was from the Continent, the less it knew of any other wealth than flocks and land; but some of them probably made use of ingots of bronze, of bars of iron, such as Cæsar alludes to, and also, perhaps, of rings or pellets of gold, as a medium of exchange. Nor did

the coined money of the southern states fail to get admission to others far away from them. Thus there is an instance on record of a coin of the Dobunni being found so far north as Dumfries, while several of theirs have been discovered in Monmouthshire, that is to say, in the land of the Silures, who would seem to have been the people meant by Solinus, when he states that the inhabitants of what he calls the Island of Silura would have nothing to do with money[1] at a time not before the first century, but possibly a good deal later. A study of the early money of Britain also throws some light on the paths of intercourse between it and the Continent. The shortest of these, and probably the earliest in use for trade, was between Kent and the neighbouring coast of Gaul: it always continued, no doubt, to be the route along which the trade with the south-east of Britain was carried on. Nay, according to Pliny[2] quoting from Timæus, who wrote about the middle of the fourth century before our era, and got his information probably from Pytheas, Thanet would seem to have been the island at high tide, to which the tin of the west was brought in coracles by the natives for sale to the merchants who came for it from Gaul. The coasting voyage seems to have taken the former six days to make. But there was another line of communication, the use of which was probably never discontinued from the time when Belgic tribes first settled in the island. The Belgæ

[1] "Solinus," edited by Mommsen, p. 114.
[2] "Hist. Nat.," iv. 16 (30).

advanced westwards into Gaul, being pressed forward probably by the Teutonic peoples in their rear. When they got familiar enough with the sea to cross the Channel, some of them continued the westward course of their race, and may be supposed to have landed in the harbours between Dungeness and the mouth of the Dorsetshire Stour. There is no evidence that either the Cantii on the one side, or the Durotriges and the peninsular tribes behind them on the other, should be considered Belgic. From the intervening line of coast they spread to the Thames and pressed westwards to the Severn Sea; while the territory of those who retained the name of Belgæ in Britain lay between that of the Regni, the Atrebates and the Dobunni on the one hand, and the line on the other of the Dorsetshire Stour and the Mendip Hills, beyond which were the Durotriges and the Dumnonii. The early coins on the Belgic seaboards of Britain and Gaul are far from easy to distinguish, and bear ample evidence to the truth of the tradition reported by Cæsar that Belgic tribes had made themselves a home in the south of the island. How far their line of communication became also the route for trade it is hard to say: possibly some of the tin of Cornwall was brought into their territory, and then conveyed to some place near the mouth of the Seine. There is also numismatic evidence of a connection between the British coast and the Channel Islands, which probably extended itself to the opposite coast of Gaul: the coins in question point to the time of Claudius, but the intercourse they indicate may have begun

much earlier. No inscribed money seems to have been coined by the tribes west of the Belgæ, but it is possible that the Durotriges may have had an uninscribed coinage; and they seem to have had the coins of other tribes in circulation among them, both inscribed and uninscribed ones at the same time, so that they were in that respect somewhat more backward than the Eceni. Whether the Durotriges belonged to the earlier or to the later Celtic invasion is not quite certain, but on the whole they may be classified with their neighbours, the Dumnonii, the remains of whose language in Devon and Cornwall leave us in no kind of doubt that they were of the earlier Celts or Goidels, not Brythons. Nor is it improbable that, in point of civilization, they were behind the inhabitants of the south-east of the island, with the exception of the people of the tin districts, which in ancient times were chiefly Dartmoor, with the country around Tavistock, and that around St. Austell, including several valleys looking towards the southern coast of Cornwall. In most of the other districts where tin existed it is supposed to have lain too deep to have been worked in early times. In the Scilly Isles, which have been sometimes erroneously identified with the Cassiterides of ancient authors, neither is tin worked now, nor are the old workings there either numerous or deep. The information which we have about this part of the country is scanty: some uninscribed coins that were current among the Durotriges and on the Belgic coast of Britain have been found in Corn-

wall, which would again suggest a trade in tin along the southern coast in the direction of Thanet. Then we come to a contemporary of Cicero's, the Greek geographer Posidonius, to whom we have already alluded as having extended his travels to Cornwall, which he called Belerion, a name given afterwards by Ptolemy to the Land's End: his account of the countries he saw has been preserved to us by Diodorus, who wrote a little later. That author tells[1] us, among other things, that the inhabitants of that promontory of Britain called Belerion, were very fond of strangers, and that from their intercourse with foreign merchants they were civilized in their manner of life. According to him, they prepared the tin by working very skilfully the earth in which it was found: the ground was rocky, but it contained earthy veins, the produce of which was ground down, smelted, and purified. The metal, we are further told, was made into slabs shaped like knuckle-bones, and carried to a certain island lying off the coast of Britain, called Ictis. During the ebb of the tide the intervening space was left dry, and to that place they carried over abundance of tin in their waggons. And, after a few words about such islands at high water, he goes on to say, that in one of them the merchants bought the tin from the natives and carried it over to Gaul; and that, after travelling overland for about thirty days, they finally brought their loads on pack-horses to the outlet of the Rhone, that is to say, to the meeting of

[1] See "Bibl. Hist.," v. 22, and Elton, p. 36.

other such ornamental rubbish as a barbarous people is wont to delight in. But this must not be understood to prove that there was no communication between the Dumnonii and the nearest part of Gaul during the Venetic period: in fact, Dumnonia was probably the part of Britain in which the Gaulish students of druidism mentioned by Cæsar usually landed: possibly, however, this communication is not to be regarded as being then of very old standing. The Carthaginians had extended their trade in tin from Spain to Gaul, and some stream-works of the Bronze Age are known to have been carried out in localities, among others, in the Morbihan[1] or the country of the Veneti. It is to this contact with the Carthaginians we are, no doubt, to trace the beginning of the naval power of the Veneti, who, at the end of the second Punic War and the downfall of the Carthaginian power in Spain, succeeded so completely to their trade in tin, that there is no record of any interruption in the supply to the markets of the Mediterranean. They landed the metal at the mouth of the Loire and of the Garonne, some of which they brought from the Cassiterides or tin-islands in Vigo Bay, on the coast of Spain. The trade with the latter had been kept in such mystery that no Roman could find out anything respecting it until Publius Crassus,[2] one of Cæsar's lieutenants, succeeded in personally ascertaining all about it, after the conquest of the Veneti and of Aquitania had been effected. Had they been in the habit of

[1] Boyd Dawkins's "Early Man in Britain," p. 403.

[2] Strabo, Γ, 5, 11 (C. 176).

carrying the tin of Britain directly from where it was found to the mouth of the Loire, it is probable that Cæsar, or some one of those who acted under his command, would have got wind of it. Cæsar's account[1] of the marine of the Veneti shows that it had made a deep impression on his mind: their ships, he says, were of a large size, and stood so high out of the water that the Romans could not well attack them with their missiles, and even when they raised turrets on their galleys they were not so high as the poops of the Venetian ships. They were made of solid oak, with decks a foot thick, fastened with bolts of iron as thick as a man's thumb, while the metal used in making the ships in which Cæsar passed into Britain is mentioned as being bronze. The former vessels had sails of hides, and their anchors were fastened by means of iron chains instead of ropes, and the beaks of the Roman galleys could do their hulls no harm. When, however, they had to manœuvre within a small space, they had the worst of it as soon as the Romans bethought themselves of sharp hooks with long handles to cut their ropes and render the sails, on which they depended, useless. Up to their unsuccessful contest with Cæsar in 56, the Veneti not only carried on most of the trade with Britain, or levied a tax on all others who took part in it, but they counted among their allies all the maritime tribes from the Loire to the country of the Morini and Menapii, and they drew help also from

[1] "Bell. Gall.," iii. 13.

Britain, whence it may be gathered, as they mainly relied on what they could do at sea, that the ships of all the members of this Armoric or maritime league, were much of the same make, whether in Gaul or in Britain; and some idea of their number may be formed from the fact that the Veneti managed to get together on their own coast south of Brittany about 220 vessels fully manned to oppose Cæsar's fleet, as soon as it sailed out of the Loire. They were, as already suggested, not fitted for war, but for trading on a sea which Cæsar ever and anon dwells upon as vast and exposed, where the difficulty of navigation seemed to him, who never knew exactly what to make of the ebb and flow of the tide, to be extreme, and where the harbours were few and far between, or hardly existed at all; in other words, he seems to have regarded them as eminently qualified for long voyages. But how far those ancient sailors of the Armoric league, who had not the mariner's compass, ventured out in the open sea where they had no coast-line to guide them, we have no means of ascertaining, but, as a rule, they may be supposed to have hugged the shore. The most important elements in the Veneti's trade with Britain in Cæsar's time were, as already mentioned, the exportation of tin and the importation of bronze, together, doubtless, with a variety of articles to be worn as ornaments and amulets. But, when Strabo wrote some seventy or more years later, the imports included the following things, in the order he gives them:—pottery, salt, and articles of brass or, more correctly speaking,

bronze; and then he groups contemptuously together ivory rings, necklaces, red amber, glass-ware, and suchlike trumpery. But still more interesting is Strabo's account of the things produced in the island, namely, corn, cattle, gold, silver, and iron: these, he writes, were all exported to the Continent, together with skins, slaves, and dogs fitted for the chase and for war as carried on by the Gauls. The exportation of corn and cattle would seem to imply that the country had enjoyed a period of considerable prosperity after Cæsar's departure, and the mention of gold and silver is interesting, but not so much so as the fact that by this time iron, which was very scarce when Cæsar was here, was now found in sufficient quantities to become an article of export. Where the slaves chiefly came from is not indicated, but it was probably from the more remote parts of the island, and possibly also from Ireland; a still more important question about them must likewise be left unanswered, and that is whether they were wholly or mostly captives taken in war.

But, by way of summarising these remarks, one may say that there is no reason to think that the conquest of the Veneti and the Armoric league by Cæsar caused the art of ship-building, such as they had learnt it from the Carthaginians of Spain, to be lost on the shores of Gaul and Britain; indeed, his breaking down their monopoly may have had quite the contrary effect, and it is not improbable that the ships of the Veneti became the pattern for all vessels used afterwards by the Romans in British

waters, so that our marine of the present day may be regarded as, in a manner, deriving its descent through the shipping of the Veneti from that of the Carthaginians and the proud merchants of Tyre and Sidon. In other respects, the connection with the Roman world into which Cæsar brought Britain gave a powerful impetus to the trade between them, and opened a door for the Roman influence evidenced here by the way some of the coins, to which attention has been called, were got up, as well as by the beginning, to which they testify, of the use of Latin as the official language of this country. Looking, then, at its inhabitants from this point of view, and as they were just before the Claudian invasion, one may say that those of the south-east were the most civilized, and that some of those of Brythonic or Gaulish descent, occupying the tract from the Severn Sea to the Isle of Thanet, and from the Channel to the Tees, had progressed so far as to have money of their own coining. Of the Goidelic branch, it appears that the Durotriges may have had a letterless coinage, that the Dumnonii, without actually excluding coins from their country, showed probably a marked preference for the nick-nacks of Mediterranean workshops, while barter was the only way of doing business understood by the Silures and the other Goidelic tribes of the remoter parts of the island.

Let us now leave the coins and commerce of the early Britons, to take a somewhat more comprehensive view of their habits. Cæsar, who penetrated

north of the Thames, had ample opportunities of observing the appearance of the country, and of learning much about the inhabitants, but there is no reason to suppose that he saw any representatives of the older Celtic settlers, or of the non-Celtic aborigines, excepting possibly as slaves. He considered the country very thickly inhabited, and the abundance of cattle to have been great. The buildings he saw resembled those of Gaul, and were very numerous, but according to him the British idea of a town or fortress was a place with a tangled wood round it, and fortified with a rampart and ditch; inside this they would, as Strabo tells us, build their huts and collect their cattle, but not with a view of remaining there long. Cæsar regarded the people of Kent, whom he thought by far the most civilized, as only slightly differing from those of Gaul; and Diodorus draws a contrast between the simple and frugal habits of the Britons and the luxurious way of living, consequent on riches, with which he was familiar. The thickness of the population in the south-east, and the habit of harvesting the corn in spacious barns, would naturally lead one to suppose that it was largely and successfully grown there even then; but the population was probably more sparse and corn less extensively grown in the districts where the ears reaped were stowed away in holes underground until wanted to meet the needs of the day; and when Cæsar goes on to say, that most of those in the interior sowed no corn, but lived on flesh and milk, and wore skins for their clothing, we have, doubtless, to do

with statements which were in the main true, though one has no means of fixing to a nicety on the tribes to which they applied. But, in making them, he had probably nothing to go upon but the vague hearsay reports, which may have been current among the more civilized people of the south-eastern part of the island, with regard to the backward state of some of the inhabitants of the remoter regions of the west and the north. The same remark applies to Strabo, when he states that some knew nothing about gardening and other things relating to the farmer's life; but when he mentions that, with abundance of milk at their disposal, there were some who were too ignorant to make cheese, his statement is at least illustrated by the negative evidence of the Welsh word for cheese, *caws*, which, like its English equivalent, is nothing but the Latin *caseus* borrowed. It is somewhat otherwise when Cæsar says that all the Britons painted themselves with woad: one could hardly have expected this to have been in vogue among the inhabitants of the south-east; but he wrote probably from the evidence of his own eyes, so it must be accepted as true even of them. After all, it may have meant no more than painting the face for battle or certain religious rites, a habit not to be confounded with the much more serious one of tattooing, which prevailed in parts of the north of the island down to a comparatively late period. The poet Ovid, of a later date, sings of the painted Britons. The custom may be regarded as one which once prevailed very widely. Some

authors allude to the Agathyrsi and Geloni as practising it, others in the same way to Sarmatians and Dacians, and Herodotus to the Thracians, while Sidonius, Bishop of Clermont in the fifth century, graphically describes how some Saxons he had seen daubed their faces with blue paint, and pushed their hair back to the crown, to make the forehead look larger.[1] Cæsar further tells us that the Britons shaved all except the upper lip; and the hair of the head was allowed to grow long. But no statement of his has attracted more attention than what he says about the morals of the people, to the effect that ten or twelve men living together, and consisting especially of brothers, or fathers and their sons, would have their wives in common, the children being reckoned those of the man to whom the maid was first given in marriage in each case. So far from this having been the custom of the Celts of Britain, it is not certain that it can have been to any great extent that of any Aryan people whatsoever. If one could be sure that this singular statement was not a passage from some Greek book of imaginary travels among imaginary barbarians, which Cæsar had in his mind, it would be possible to point out the facts to which it bore a kind of relation. In the first place, one might suppose that he had heard and misunderstood some description of the families of the Britons to the effect, that it was usual for ten or twelve men, together

[1] See his letter to Lampridius, in the 8th book of his "Epistles."

with their wives and children, to live together under the *patria potestas* or power of one father and head, a kind of undivided family well known to the student of early institutions, and marking a particular stage in the social development of most Aryan nations. And in the next place it is probable that the Britons of the south-east of the island, and some of the Gauls of the Continent, were acquainted with a report that there were tribes in the remoter parts of Britain, whose view of matrimony was not the one usual among Aryan nations. A statement, similar to that made by Cæsar, is mentioned and doubted by Strabo,[1] but by his time this manner of living had to be sought in Ireland, and Dion Cassius,[2] who wrote at the beginning of the third century, makes it of the people of Scotland. Later still, it entered into the picture drawn, but in a far less hideous form, of the pauper king of the Hebrides, by the interpolators of Solinus,[3] and it is repeated of the grass-eating folks of Thule, where it might have been appropriately allowed to drop, but that St. Jerome and others thought they had reasons to associate it with the name of the Scotti and the Atecotti, which suggests that both Britain and Ireland contained down to a comparatively late date non-Celtic peoples, who

[1] Strabo, 5, 4 (C. 201).

[2] See his Roman History (abridged by Xiphilinus), book lxxvi. 12; also lxxvi. 16, for Argentocoxos' wife's well-known reply to Julia Augusta, when she found fault with British morals.

[3] Mommsen's Edition, pp. 234–5.

were not altogether Celtic or Aryan in their family arrangements.[1]

The political condition of the people of Brythonic Britain towards the end of the early Iron Age and the close of their independence, is best studied in connection with that of Gaul as described by Cæsar. The Celts, like all other Aryan nations, were once under the rule of kings resembling those of early Rome, or those of Greece in the Heroic Age, as depicted in Homer's Iliad. But this kind of personal rule came to an end among various Aryan nations at different times, owing to the action of the chiefs subordinate to the king seizing his power and making it temporary and elective in their own class This led the same man to govern and obey in turns, and thereby formed, no doubt, a very distinct step in advance. In this way the kings had been superseded in the 7th century before our era, nearly throughout the whole of Greece and the Greek Colonies; and in every instance it was an oligarchy or the rule of a class that rose on the ruins of the kingly power; so also at Rome when the Tarquins were driven out, practically all power was seized by the patricians. A similar revolution, though no Gaulish Herodotus or Livy was found to commit it to the pages of history, had taken place in Gaul before Cæsar came there, though not very long before, since he appears to have found almost everywhere the sulking and plotting representatives of the fallen dynasties,

[1] See also Stokes's note in the "Revue Celtique," v. p. 232.

and to have readily turned them into use, either in bringing him information about what was going on in the senates of the peoples who had expelled their ancestors from the office of king, or in keeping their states in subjection by appointing them kings in the room of their fathers and under Roman protection.[1] This was notoriously the policy of Rome at all times, and it was exceedingly distasteful to the people of Gaul, for their detestation of kings was, perhaps, not a whit less intense than that of the Romans themselves; thus we find[2] that the intriguing Æduan, Dumnorix, knew of no readier way of filling the Æduan senate with hatred for Cæsar, than by quietly suggesting to them that he had been given the office of king over them. The punishment fixed by law among the Helvetii for trying to secure supreme power was that of being burnt alive, and to escape it Orgetorix was believed to have committed suicide before his trial came on.[3] But, though the oligarchical form of government was an advance on the old monarchy, it could not, as a rule, last long, for the very important reason, that it was wont to do nothing for the bulk of the people. At Rome, the difficulty was solved in a peculiar way, by unwilling concessions on the part of the patricians, but in Greece the immediate outcome was a plentiful crop of despots, who prevailed for a time. The same thing had begun to take place in Gaul, although it

[1] See, among other passages in point, "Bell. Gall.," i. 3; iv. 12; v. 25, 54.

[2] Ib., v. 6.

[3] Ib., i. 4.

had been foreseen, probably, in every state, and stringently legislated against. The Ædui,[1] for instance, had enacted that neither of the chief magistrates, elected to discharge the office of a king for the space of a year, could be of the same family as one who had previously held the office, in case the latter was still alive: they could not even be members of the senate at the same time. We said *neither* of them, because Cæsar's narrative, supported by the evidence of Gaulish coins, proves that the Ædui had not one chief magistrate whose office they called *vergobretos* or the administrator of justice, but two,[2] whose position may be supposed to have been analogous to that of the consuls at Rome. This dual office, which does not seem to have been confined to the Ædui, survived as the duumvirate for administering the law in the cities of conquered Gaul, and helped the Gauls, doubtless, to accommodate themselves to the municipal customs of Rome. But the common people in Cæsar's time continued to occupy individually a position which appeared to him to have been

[1] "Bell. Gall.," vii. 33.

[2] Cæsar's words occur at i. 16, and read in the manuscripts: "Divitiaco et Lisco qui summo magistratui præerant quem vergobretum appellant Ædui." The editors, however, always print *præerat*, as they find a difficulty, probably, in reconciling this passage with Cæsar's account of the quarrel mentioned by him in vii. 32, 33, where he must, we think, be supposed to have been speaking of the election to only one of the two offices —possibly the two were not filled at the same time of the year. The question is one recently and admirably started by M. Mowat in the "Revue Celtique," v. pp. 121-4.

hardly better than that of slaves, and in order to protect themselves against the tyranny of the more powerful members of the community they had to become the clients of some influential nobleman, and to add themselves to the number of those who were tied to him hand and foot by the bonds of debt. The condition of these men reminds one of that of the bankrupt plebeians of Rome before the secession to the Mons Sacer. The great man, however, lost his influence over his clients the moment he failed to protect them. This being so, one is prepared for Cæsar's further asserting that every state was torn asunder by factions. Some of the leaders succeeded in making themselves masters of their states, and the designs of a good many more were cut short by the advance of Roman arms. The despots, as we may call them, for the sake of distinguishing them from the old kings, appear to have belonged to somewhat different stages of political development, the lowest being those who adopted the simple plan of hiring troops[1] to overpower the senate of the oligarchy; but there were others who were more wary and showed more outward regard for law: these thought it needful to enlist the populace on their side, which they proceeded to do by eloquence and bribes. In fact, there is every reason to suppose that the common people were collectively beginning to acquire influence, and already, here and there, to understand their own power, though they had not as yet taken the initiative. But their temper was the

[1] "Bell. Gall.," ii. 1.

first thing to be considered by any adventurous nobleman who desired his own advancement; and Ambiorix, one of the most powerful Gaulish leaders at the head of a formidable alliance opposed to Cæsar, once excused himself by saying that the multitude had no less power over him than he had over them.[1] Without trying to define the capacity of the ancient Gauls for political development, we may say that they are seen only as it were for a moment, and that in one of the most critical periods in a nation's history. Indeed the flippant generalities formulated about them, from the days of Cæsar to our own, seldom do them more justice than if the independence of Greece had closed with the rise of the tyrants, and we based our estimate of the Greek character on the little that happens to be known of the struggles between the tyrants and the oligarchies. Nor is it quite an accident that the nation descended mainly from the Gauls forms at the present moment a great and prosperous community, consisting neither of the grumbling tenantry of an aristocracy nor of the unwillingly drilled liverymen of a Cæsarism.

The state of things, politically speaking, which existed in Gaul, existed also most likely among the Belgic tribes in Britain, when Commios (supposing the Atrebat of that name to have been the same man as the Commios of the British coins) was enabled to procure sovereignty for himself in the island and to transmit it to his sons. So much may also be gathered from the excuse, which the ambassadors,

[1] "Bell. Gall.," v. 27.

who came to ask Cæsar for peace soon after his first landing in Britain, made for having put Commios in bonds, namely, that it was the act of the multitude that knew no better. Now, whether it was the real cause or not, it is clear that it was a possible cause, which might be pleaded by those among whom Commios had landed, but not with any show of dignity, if we supposed them to have been under the rule of a king of the old type, who brooked no meddling on the part of the common people. Here we have apparently to do with a people to the east of the Belgic tribes, namely, the inhabitants of Cantion or Kent, among whom Commios and the ambassadors returning from Gaul had probably landed. These according to Cæsar's account of his second expedition had no less than four kings acting in obedience to Cassivelaunos as commander of the organization against the Romans: the probability is that not one of the four in a country so near Gaul was a king of the old description. The same conclusion is likewise indicated by the coins of the Parisi, which have already been alluded to, and by those of the Catti, which seem to show only the name of the state, as do also some of those of the Eceni. But as the most advanced people of Britain were old-fashioned enough to paint themselves and to rely so much in war on their chariots, it is not surprising to find that kings, and those probably of the old sort, were not extinct by any means among them. One of the most powerful states was that of the Catuvelauni, who may have been too much occupied in war with

their neighbours to have paid so much attention to the form of government under which they lived as they might otherwise have done. The Trinovantes also had been living under kings, until the last of them before Cæsar's raids had been slain by Cassivelaunos. The remaining Brythonic peoples of Britain have left the historian no means of making out anything definite about their form of government so far as we know; but it is not improbable that the kings and queens of the Brigantes were of the old description.

As to the earlier Celtic inhabitants of the island we have no evidence that any of them had got beyond the rule of kings of the older kind. The series of the old kingships may be supposed to have been completely interrupted or profoundly modified in Britain by the Roman occupation; consequently little is to be learned as to their nature from that of the kingships which rose after the Roman legions left for good. The earlier ones, however, may be presumed to have been most likely of the same type as those of Ireland, where the series were never broken by Roman rule. As among the Greeks the king of the ancient Irish legends may be said to have reigned by divine right and by divine favour, so he must not be disfigured by any blemish[1] or have lost a limb. The mythical Irish King (in reality the Celtic sea-god) Nuada, said to have had his hand cut off in battle, was, we are told, compelled to resign

[1] See the "Senchus Mór," i. 73.

his office until a western Æsculapius provided him with a wonderful hand of silver with motion in every finger. Moreover, as the man who criticised the kings before Troy was found to be the ugly Thersites, so the usurper of the power of the rightful king in Irish legend is sometimes described as a cat-headed monster with the displeasure of Heaven attending on his footsteps; for the land in his time yields no corn, the trees no fruit, the rivers no fish, the cows no milk. When, however, the rightful king, that is to say, the king of the right stock, recovers his power, the seasons become tranquil, the cows give milk in abundance, the earth is fruitful, the rivers teem with fish, and the trees bend heavy-laden under their crop of fruit.[1] The Goidels of Britain entertained the same opinion; for we catch a glimpse of it among the descendants of the ancient Silures so late as the 12th century in the belief recorded by Giraldus, that the birds of the Lake of Savaddon, near Brecon, would all begin to sing at the bidding of the rightful prince of Wales—the story relates how Griffith son of Rhys got them to warble and to beat the water with their wings for sheer loyalty after refusing to obey the Norman barons, who were then masters both of Griffith's person and of his land.[2] A king of the old sort was responsible to nobody, but he

[1] See "The Four Masters' Annals of the Kingdom of Ireland," A.M. 3303, 3310, 3311; A.D. 14, 15, 76; also the "Senchus Mór," iii. 24, 25.

[2] Giraldus's "Itinerarium Kambriæ," I. ii. (p. 34 of the Rolls Edition).

usually consulted the chiefs beneath him (who, in the case of Gaul, survived the kingship to form the senate under the oligarchy in each state), and when he had discussed his views with them he declared his plans to a larger assembly and published his decrees by means of it. "In this government," says Mr. Grote,[1] speaking of the Greek king as described in epic poetry, "the authority, which pervades the whole society, all resides in the king. But on important occasions it is exercised through the forms of publicity; he consults, and even discusses, with the council of chiefs or elders—he communicates after such consultation with the assembled Agora,—who hear and approve, perhaps hear and murmur, but are not understood to exercise an option or to reject." This would all apply to ancient Ireland if only for the Greek Agora or the market place we substitute the Irish Aenach or the fair; and presumably also to the Goidelic portions of Britain generally about the time we are speaking of. The old idea of kings and gods probably placed them on somewhat parallel lines, and, as there were gods and goddesses, so there were royal persons of both sexes. In the case of the ancient Gauls this is indicated by the fact that the Gaulish word *rix* entered into the composition of names not only of men as Orgetorix and Dumnorix, but also of women as Visurix and Biturix. It is etymologically the same vocable as the Latin rex, king, which may possibly have also once been epicene,

[1] "History of Greece" (the ed. of 1862), ii. 223; see also i. 457, 461.

custom having afterwards ruled in favour of calling a king's wife a *regina* or royal person of the other sex. The old Irish *rí*, genitive *rig*, king, and *rígan*, queen, would be analogous, while the Welsh *rhian*, the equivalent of the Irish *rígan*, is mostly a poetic term for a lady, and conveys no sense of royalty. Whether any of the king-ruled Brythons of Britain were so far rid of the patriarchal idea of monarchy as to let a woman exercise the power of king, seems doubtful; and the history of Boudicca, queen of the Eceni and widow of a king given them probably by the Romans, does not prove it: still less decisive is the case of Cartismandua, queen of the Brigantes, who is described as married or marrying. There is no reason to think that among the older Celtic peoples of Britain a woman could hold supreme power either in the state or in the family. But as the old kingly rule was above all things mainly a large type edition of the power of a father over his household, and as the wife probably occupied a place of authority and respect by his side, so the queen may be supposed to have been similarly placed with regard to the king: the system would have been regarded as incomplete without her, whether we have in view Britain or the sister island. We have an illustration of this in a very curious Irish tale which relates how a king of ancient Érinn was compelled to marry because the magnates of his realm with one accord flatly refused to hold the great periodical feast of Tara under the presidency of a king who had no wife, so that he was obliged to

marry.[1] And as to personal rule altogether, nothing, perhaps, illustrates more compendiously and clearly the difference, between the Brythons and the Goidels than the history of an early Celtic word meaning power or authority, which has yielded the Welsh their *gwlad* in the sense of the state or the country, while in Irish it has taken the form of *flaith*, which means a lord or prince: the signification had begun to set strongly in that direction so early at least as the tenth century.

Exceedingly little is said by ancient authors about the religion of the people of Britain. There is, however, no reason to suppose that, in so far as they were Celts, they had not the same sort of religion as the Gauls, and as the Italians, or the Greeks, and other Aryan nations. Cæsar found the Gauls given to the worship of gods, whom he roughly identified with those of Rome, namely, Jove and Minerva, Apollo and Mars, and, above all, Mercury, whom they honoured more than the others. Much the same gods were probably worshipped by the Celts in Britain; and among them must have been the sea-god Nodens, who was of sufficient importance to have, during the Roman occupation, a temple built for him at Lydney on the western side of the Severn, while the Irish formerly called the divinity of the Boyne his wife.[2] Every locality had its divinity, and the rivers were specially identified

[1] See Windisch's "Irische Texte," pp. 118, &c.

[2] See O'Curry's "Manners and Cust. of the Anc. Irish," iii. p. 156, and a remarkable passage in the Book of Leinster, fol. 186 *b*.

with certain divine beings, as witness the streams that still bear the name of Dee and kindred ones. The Dee or *Deva* of North Wales had another name, which appears in Welsh literature as Aerven or the genius of war; and so late as the time of Giraldus it retained some of its ancient prestige: it was still supposed to indicate beforehand the event of the frequent wars between the Welsh and the English by eating away its banks on the Welsh or the English side, as the case might be. The name of another river marks it out as one that was formerly considered divine, the Belisama probably, our Ribble: the name occurs in inscriptions found in Gaul as that of a goddess equated with the Minerva of Italy.[1] This was an elastic system of polytheism, or perhaps, more strictly speaking, not a system at all; and possibly the priesthood it implied did not form a class distinctly marked off from other men; but we have no data, and must pass on to the non-Celtic natives, who had another religion, namely, druidism, which may be surmised to have had its origin among that race. Druidism possessed certain characteristics which enabled it to make terms with the Celtic conqueror, both in Gaul and in the British Islands:[2] in the latter this applies probably to the Goidelic Celts alone, for there is no

[1] See Orelli, Nos. 1431, 1969, and de Belloguet's "Ethnogenie Gauloise," i. p. 375: she is to be traced also at Carleon on the Usk. See Lee's "Isca Silurum," p. 19.

[2] A somewhat analogous case may be cited from Scandinavia in the fact, that the word for a Finn or Lapp is synonymous in O. Norse with sorcerer: see Vigfusson's "Icelandic Dict." s. v. *Finnar;* also Milton's "Par. Lost," ii. 665.

evidence that druidism was ever the religion of any Brythonic people. Thus the men of Britain might perhaps be classified, so far as regards religion, into three groups: the Brythonic Celts, who were polytheists of the Aryan type; the non-Celtic natives under the sway of druidism; and the Goidelic Celts, devotees of a religion which combined Aryan polytheism with druidism: here again our data are wanting, and one is at a loss to know what people Pliny[1] had in view when he wrote that the wives and daughters-in-law of the Britons attended certain religious rites without their clothing, and with their bodies painted as if in imitation of Ethiopians. Nor have ancient authors told us much about their most influential order of men, the druids, excepting those of Mona, who witnessed the landing in their island of Suetonius and his troops: these, Tacitus gives one to understand,[2] stained their altars with the blood of human beings, sought auguries in the entrails of their victims, and practised some, at least, of their cruel rites in groves which the Romans took to cutting down. Something is also to be learnt from the use made of the Celtic words for druid in the Celtic literatures of later times. Among the oldest instances in Welsh poetry[3] of the use of the word *derwyddon*, druids, is one where it is applied to the Magi or Wise Men, who came with presents to the infant

[1] "Hist. Nat.," xxii. 1 (2).

[2] "Ann.," xiv. 29, 30.

[3] See an obscure poem in the Book of Taliesin in Skene's "Ancient Books of Wales," vol. ii. p. 174.

Jesus, and its Irish cognate *drui* is not only used in the same manner, but is usually rendered into Latin by *magus*, a magician. Now and then also, point is given to this term by making the druid into Simon Magus, whose appearance on Celtic ground is otherwise inexplicable. The Goidelic druids accordingly appear at times under the name of the School of Simon Druid:[1] they were soothsayers, priests, and medicine men, but their principal character was, perhaps, that of magicians. Thus the lives of St. Patrick describe the druids of the king of Ireland striving to surpass that saint in working miracles: among other things, one of them causes snow to fall so thickly that men quickly find themselves neck-deep; and at another time he brings over the plain darkness that might be felt, so that all trembled with fear. But, like Moses with Pharaoh's magicians, Patrick always has the best of it. Indeed, so completely did the Irish recognize the similarity between their magicians and those of the Nile, that a writer of glosses on a ninth century manuscript of St. Paul's Epistles explains to the Irish reader that Jannes and Jambres were the names of two Egyptian druids.[2] The same was probably the character of the druids of Britain: it certainly was that of those at the non-Celtic court of the Pictish king in the sixth century. A life of St.

[1] A curious passage about *Simon drui* and his School, kindly pointed out to the writer by Mr. Stokes, occurs in O'Mulcorry's Glossary in MS. H. 2, 16 (col. 116) in Trin. Coll. Library, Dublin.

[2] "Glossæ Hibernicæ, ed. Zimmer," p. 183.

Columba, written in the seventh century, mentions the saint's contests with one of those wizards of the North, who is described as bringing on thick darkness and a great fury of the elements just at the time when he found the saint setting sail on Loch Ness.[1] Nor is it quite certain that the notion of a druid as magician was not the one uppermost in the mind of the fervent writer of an ancient hymn ascribed to St. Columba, who is therein made to say: Christ the son of God is my druid.[2] Such being the character of the druids in the north of the island in the sixth century, we may suppose that in the more southern parts they were much the same about the time of Cæsar's invasions, namely, a powerful class of men monopolizing the influence of soothsayers, magicians, and priests. But in Gaul, under the faint rays of the civilization of Marseilles and other Mediterranean centres, they seem to have added to their other characters that of philosophers discoursing to the youths whose education was entrusted to them, on the stars and their movements, on the world and its countries, on the nature of things and the power of the gods. The same influence had also probably been operating to soften and moderate the pristine grimness of their practices, and this may be supposed to have been the reason why Gaulish students came to this country to perfect themselves in the druidic system. Here in the western parts of the island it still retained perhaps its most rugged and

[1] Reeve's "Adamnan's Life of St. Columba," p. 149.

[2] *Ibid.*, p. 74.

horrible features, unmodified by the Aryan ideas which may have been telling on it in Gaul. It is hard, however, to accept the belief, recorded by Cæsar, that druidism originated here, and was only imported into Gaul: the probability rather is, that the Celts found it both there and here to have been the common religion of the aboriginal inhabitants from the Baltic to Gibraltar. Some of the customs of the pagans of these islands may be detected in the observances of their Christian descendants: thus among many nations a mild form of mutilation is found to have been the symbol of slavery, and the minimum consisted not unfrequently in cutting off some of the hair of the head. Among the Brythons we find in the Welsh romances called the Mabinogion a youth, who wished to become one of Arthur's knights, having his hair cut[1] off by the king with his own hand, but this practice is now best known in the Roman church, where the priest, literally regarded as a *servus dei* or God's slave, has his crown shaven. The Celtic languages bear ample evidence to the same idea among the Celts: thus, the Welsh word for a hermit, which is *meudwy*, means God's slave, and such an Irish name as *Maelphadraic* signifies the bald or tonsured slave of Patrick, and is found Latinized into *Calvus Patricii*[2] in the ninth century. The tonsure usual in Britain and Ireland was the same, and it was merely a druidic survival; so, when the Church of Rome insisted on the Christians

[1] Guest's "Mabinogion," ii. 204: the mistranslation comes at p. 258.

[2] Nigra's "Reliquie Celtiche," p. 19.

of these islands conforming more completely with its practices, the druidic tonsure was one of the differences which it wished to be rid of. The Irish Church began to conform in this matter of hair-cutting in the year 630, but the British Church held out till 768. There is an exceedingly curious, though somewhat confused passage in the Second Epistle of Gildas, possibly not a part of the original, but written, at any rate, before the druidic tonsure had disappeared, and it is to the following effect:[1]—"The Britons, contrary to all the world, and hostile to Roman customs not only in the mass but also in the tonsure, are, with the Jews, slaves to the shadow of things to come rather than to the truth. The Romans say that the tonsure of the Britons is reported to have originated with Simon Magus, whose tonsure embraced merely the whole front part of the head from ear to ear, in order to exclude the genuine tonsure of the Magi, whereby the front part alone was wont to be covered. But the originator of this tonsure in Ireland is proved by Patrick's discourse to have been the swineherd of King Loigaire macNéill, from whom the Irish nearly all adopted it." The man meant by Loigaire's swineherd (a mis-translation of *maccu*) was Dubthach maccu Lugir, who was chief poet of Ireland, at the head of a large number of pupils; and the legend relating how Patrick sought the "materials of a bishop" among his pupils, throws some light on the meaning

[1] Haddan and Stubbs's "Councils and Eccl. Doc.," i. pp. 112–3: see also Reeves's notes to his "Columba," p. 350, and above all Bæda's "Hist. Eccl.," v. 21.

of the tonsure among the Celts:—"Find for me," said Patrick, "a man of rank, of good family, and good morals, one who has one wife and only one son."—"Why," said Dubthach, "askest thou that, for a man of that sort?"—"To put orders on him," said Patrick.—"Fiacc is the man," said Dubthach: "he is gone on a circuit in Connaught." But just while this conversation is going on Fiacc returns from his circuit, and Dubthach says, "Here is he of whom we spoke."—"Be it so," said Patrick; "but suppose that what we said were not pleasing to him."—"Let preparation be made," said Dubthach, "for tonsuring me, while Fiacc is looking on." Now, as soon as Fiacc saw that, he asked what they were preparing to do. "To tonsure Dubthach," said they.—"That is idle," said Fiacc, "for there is no poet equal to him in Érinn."—"Thou wouldst be accepted in his stead?" said Patrick—"The loss of me to Érinn," said Fiacc, "is less than that of Dubthach." So Patrick shore his beard then from Fiacc, and great grace came upon him thereafter . . . so that a bishop's rank was conferred on him, and so that he is archbishop of Leinster thenceforward, and his successor after him."[1] A great deal more might be said on this subject of early Celtic religion; but, as it is a matter of inference rather than of history, it would take up too much of our space to speak of it at length; some points, however, connected with it will again come under the reader's notice as we go on.

[1] The original, of which this is a free rendering, will be found in Stokes's "Goidelica," p. 126, and a shorter version at p. 86.

CHAPTER III.

THE ROMANS IN BRITAIN, AND HOW THEY LEFT IT.

THE first part of this chapter will be devoted to the successive steps taken by the Romans to bring the island into subjection, as well as to the principal events of the Roman occupation; but only so far as it tends to throw light on the position of the Celtic peoples inhabiting it and their relations to one another, since the Roman administration of the government of Britain is to be treated of at length in another volume of this series.

For nearly a century after Cæsar's last invasion no attempt was made to bring Britain under real subjection to Rome, but his expeditions had the effect of bringing it into a sort of connection with the Roman world, the influence of which we have already pointed out. In the year 43 Claudius Cæsar resolved to send Aulus Plautius with an army to conquer the island. The same political changes seem to have been going on then in Britain, which Julius Cæsar found at work in Gaul years before, and it is hinted that the nominal connection between Britain and Rome was in danger, owing to exiles from the former being sheltered and protected by Claudius Cæsar. To these we may suppose the Bericos, mentioned

in the previous chapter, to have belonged. He used all his influence to induce Claudius to invade the island, a course which seems to have readily recommended itself to the emperor, who happened to be anxious to find an excuse for enjoying a triumph at Rome. The Roman general is supposed to have landed without opposition, but where it is hard to say. The last view published on the subject is that of Dr. Hübner,[1] who would bring him along the path of the Belgæ to land in the neighbourhood of Southampton, and make him then march northwards to Winchester and Silchester in quest of the enemy. The first mention of an engagement is that of one in which Dion Cassius[2] tells us that Plautius defeated Caratacos and Togodumnos, the sons of Cunobelinos, who had died not long before. Togodumnos was probably king in the place of his father, with Caratacos ruling over the western portion of the territory over which the Catuvelauni held sway: it was in this district probably that they were defeated; and their flight resulted in bringing the Dobunni who were subject to the Catuvelauni into submission: a Roman force was left among them. Then we read of a series of engagements extending over two days, in which the Britons offered stout resistance to the advance of the Romans, but owing greatly to the skill and bravery of Vespasian, who had been sent over to be the general's lieutenant,

[1] See his elaborate article entitled "Das Römische Heer in Britannien," *Hermes*, xvi. p. 527.

[2] "Roman Hist.," lx. 20, 21.

the invaders ultimately got the best of it, though the British charioteers had selected positions of great strength near a deep river, which the Gaulish auxiliaries were the first to cross : they succeeded in wounding the chariot horses of the enemy and in otherwise giving much trouble. Whether that river was the Thames or not, we next read of the native army south of the tidal portion of that river, and escaping from the Roman legions by crossing it. The Gauls as readily swam across as their insular kinsmen had done, while the Romans crossed higher up the stream by means of a bridge. They gain some advantages over the native forces, but pressing forward too rashly they lose many of their men, while the fall of Togodumnos has the effect of combining his people to avenge his death. Plautius now takes steps to secure the part of the country he had conquered, and advances no further, but sends word to the emperor in accordance with the instructions he had received. The latter accordingly comes in person, and finds the Roman legions awaiting him near the Thames. He crosses the river, and takes Camulodunon, which had been the capital of Cunobelinos. Then followed the submission of several tribes, and Claudius, after spending sixteen days in the island, hastened to Rome to enjoy his triumph, and to amuse the Romans with spectacles in which Britain was represented. The operations in Britain up to the time of the emperor's departure resulted in bringing the Catuvelauni and the states that had been dependent on them under

Roman rule, together with the district between the Thames and the coast, from the mouth of that river to the neighbourhood of the Isle of Wight. Within this area Rome shortly found a princely tool called king Cogidumnos, to whom certain cities were given, and who, Tacitus[1] tells us, continued faithful to his imperial masters for many years afterwards. It is not merely an accidental coincidence, perhaps, that an inscription[2] has been found at Chichester, mentioning a king of that name. This may have been he or a descendant of his, and the existence of his *regnum* or kingdom is possibly the key to the name of the Regni, who inhabited what is now Sussex, and may be supposed to have been his subjects. Plautius was left in Britain with orders to carry on the work of conquest, but he appears at Rome in the year 47, to receive an ovation for having managed the war with ability. Several historians also dwell on the deeds of Vespasian, who they assert engaged the enemy no less than thirty times both under Plautius and the emperor. He also reduced the two most powerful peoples of Britain, together with more than twenty towns and the Isle of Wight.[3] His son Titus also served here, and is mentioned as having once rescued his father when hemmed in by the enemy on all sides. Who the two most powerful peoples

[1] "Agricola," 14.
[2] The Berlin "Corpus Insc. Lat.," vol. vii. no. 11.
[3] Suetonius, "Vespasian," chap. 4.

of Britain subdued by Vespasian may have been we are not told, but they were most likely the Belgæ and the Dumnonii, who occupied nearly the whole of the south-west of the island, including the tin districts, which cannot have escaped the attention of the Romans, whose operations here, up to the time of the departure of Plautius, are spoken of as having emphatically made Britain a part of the Roman empire.

According to Tacitus, the principal authority on the later Roman conquests in Britain, the command of the legions here was given to Ostorius in the year 50. He at once adopted active measures against the tribes who were openly defiant, and disarmed those whom he suspected of being disaffected. Among the consequences of his policy may be reckoned the revolt of the powerful people of the Eceni, who had hitherto accepted the alliance of the Romans and escaped the bitter experiences of war. They now succeeded in persuading neighbouring states to join them, and chose a strong position, which they fortified in a skilful fashion and afterwards defended with great valour, but in vain. After humbling the Eceni, Ostorius leads his men across the island until they reach a point not far from the sea which looks towards Ireland, in the territory of a people called, according to the best conjecture, the Decangi [1]: these may have inhabited Cheshire, or more probably the part of North Wales between the Dee and the

[1] Tacitus, "Ann.," xii. 32.

Clwyd. The Decangi did not face the legions in the open field, but they harassed their plundering parties, and were at length rid of them, because the news of discord among the Brigantes induced the general to lead his men in that direction. The relation in which the Brigantes stood to the Romans at this time is a matter of uncertainty, but they were possibly a kind of allies. With a view both to overawe the conquered tribes in the east of the island, and to have a reserve to fall back upon, Ostorius establishes a strong colony of veterans at Camulodunon.

The Silures now come to the foreground as a people whom neither severity nor clemency could induce to put up with Roman rule. They occupied the eastern half of the country between the lower course of the Severn and Cardigan Bay, the rest of it being the land of the Demetæ. The middle of Wales, north of these peoples, was occupied by the powerful state of the Ordovices, who probably belonged to the later Celtic settlers, or Brythons, while the Silures and Demetæ were undoubtedly of the earlier Celts, and also represented by assimilation and absorption whatever non-Celtic tribes had managed to remain in that part of the country. The Silures were less civilized than the Brythons to the east of them, but they were also more intrepid and indomitable; their territory probably bordered on that portion of the country which had been under the rule of Cunobelinos's son, Caratacos; so we find him, after resisting the Roman arms for nearly nine years

with various results, which gave him pre-eminence over all other native leaders, actively engaged among the Silures, to whom he may be supposed to have brought superior skill in the operations of war, and in whom he found braver warriors than in his own land. The sequel is so well known that we need not give Tacitus's account[1] in detail, as to how he led his forces into the country of the Ordovices, how he chose an advantageous position and fought bravely but unsuccessfully against Ostorius, how he escaped to the Brigantes, and was given up to the Romans by their queen, Cartismandua, and how his manly bearing struck the Romans and obtained for him and his family the emperor's pardon, guilty as he was of the crime of fighting for his own. But while the idlers of Rome crowded to behold the man who had defied the legions for so many years, and the senators compared Ostorius's victory to the most remarkable successes of Roman generals in previous ages, Ostorius found that he had by no means done with the Silures; for we read of them very soon afterwards inflicting severe losses on the forces left in their country. So persistent did they prove in their opposition to Roman rule, that there was once a talk that they were all to be cut off; but in the middle of this Ostorius died, and his enemies boasted that, though he was not slain in battle, still it was the worry of the war that carried him away. His successor, Aulus Didius, personally took no very active part

[1] Tacitus, "Ann.," xii. 33-7.

in the operations; but he had to deal not only with the Silures, but also with the Brigantes, whose king, Venutios, was the most able native leader since Caratacos had been taken. He had been faithful to the Romans for some time, but a disagreement with the queen, Cartismandua, who was his wife[1] but preferred his armour-bearer, brought him into collision with the Romans, who interfered successfully to save the queen from Venutios; but their victory led to nothing further. Didius was followed in command by Veranius in 57, but he died the next year without having effected anything except ravaging the land of the Silures.

Nothing had been done since Ostorius's death to extend the Roman conquests, but Suetonius Paulinus, whom Nero sent here in 58, led the legions into Mona or Anglesey, which is described as being a receptacle for fugitives. He ordered flat-bottomed boats to be got ready, in which the foot soldiers were carried across the Menai, and the following is the account which Tacitus[2] gives of the scene:—"On the shore stood the forces of the enemy, a dense array of arms and men, with women dashing through the ranks like furies; their dress funereal, their hair dishevelled, and carrying torches in their hands. The druids around the host, pouring forth dire imprecations, with their hands uplifted towards the heavens, struck terror into the soldiers by the strangeness of the sight; insomuch that, as if their limbs

[1] "Ann.," xii. 36, 40; "Hist.," iii. 45.
[2] "Ann.," xiv. 29, 30.

were paralysed, they exposed their bodies to the weapons of the enemy without attempting to move. Afterwards, at the earnest exhortations of the general, and from the effect of their own mutual importunities that they would not be scared by a rabble of women and fanatics, they bore down upon them, smote all that opposed them to the earth, and wrapped them in the flames themselves had kindled. A garrison was then established to overawe the vanquished, and the groves dedicated to sanguinary superstitions destroyed; for they deemed it acceptable to their deities to make their altars fume with the blood of captives, and to seek the will of the gods in the entrails of men."

While Suetonius was thus occupied in Mona,[1] news reached him that the rest of the province, left denuded of troops, was in revolt. This was headed by Boudicca, the widow-queen of the Eceni, whose husband, Prasutagos, had probably been set over that people after their unsuccessful rebellion some eight years previously. Prasutagos, who was known for his opulence, had thought it prudent for the safety of his family to make the emperor joint heir with his own daughters to his wealth. The Roman officials, however, regarded this as an excuse to treat his goods as the spoils of war: the queen was flogged, her daughters were ravished, and the chief Ecenians were treated as slaves. Boudicca, who would not quietly suffer, organized a revolt, which was joined by other tribes,

[1] "Annals," xiv. 31, &c.

and especially by the Trinovantes, who were robbed of their land by the colony established at Camulodunon. The result is well known: some 70,000 Romans were killed by the enraged Britons, and Suetonius is supposed to have retaliated by killing 80,000 of the natives, when he returned.

There is not much then to record about Britain till Vespasian, who was well acquainted with it, seized on the Roman empire in the year 69; he sent here successively at least three great generals, the first being Petilius Cerealis, who effected the reduction of the Brigantes in the years 69 and 70: they were reputed, Tacitus[1] tells us, to have formed the most populous state in the island (or the province, as the Romans were now in the habit of calling it), and their subjection was brought about only after many battles had been fought, some of which were attended with great bloodshed. His successor was Julius Frontinus, who undertook the task of subduing the Silures: this, in spite of the bravery of that people, and the difficult nature of their country, he accomplished not long probably before the advent in 78 of Vespasian's third great general, Julius Agricola, into Britain. It is, however, very remarkable that this people should have been able to resist the Roman arms with more or less success for so many years, and it may be regarded as certain that a very considerable force was left to occupy their country; for afterwards the second Augustan legion is found

[1] "Agricola," ch. 17, &c.

permanently posted at *Isca Silurum*, called later Caerleon (or the Camp of the Legion) on the Usk, a little above the present town of Newport, a site well known on account of its Roman remains, among them being a goodly number of inscriptions. It was the middle of the summer of 78 when Agricola arrived, and the soldiers were already thinking of their winter quarters, although a considerable body of Roman cavalry had not long before been cut off by the Ordovices, on whose frontiers they were stationed, and a great many of the natives were halting between peace and war. But Agricola quickly set out into the territory of the Ordovices, and inflicted on them such losses in this short war, that according to Tacitus[1] it resulted almost in the total extirpation of that people; but that is proved to be an exaggeration, both by their subsequent history and the extent of the wild country they occupied. This included the district north of the Silures and the Demetæ, a portion of the adjacent counties of England, together with North Wales excepting, roughly speaking, the north-west corner within the basins of the Clwyd and the Mawddach, which, with Mona, still belonged, it may be supposed, to the earlier Celtic settlers of the Goidelic branch, for there are reasons to think that the Ordovices who had thus reached the sea on the west formed the vanguard of the later Celtic invasion. But, as regards the Romans, the Ordovices and the Goidels in their rear usually

[1] "Agricola," ch. 18, &c.

acted together against them, and when the legions attacked the Ordovices they seem to have considered Mona their goal, and so this time. For Agricola, after crushing the Ordovices, pushed on until he came to the shore of the Menai; but the islanders, seeing that he had no vessels, thought they were safe. They were, however, soon convinced of their mistake; for the auxiliary troops, who were probably Gauls or natives of the low country near the mouth of the Rhine, suddenly plunged into the channel and safely swam across. The surrender of the island followed, and Agricola now turned his attention to suppressing the abuses which made Roman rule so unbearable to the Britons, a policy attended with such success that the natives began to adopt Roman habits and customs and eventually set themselves to learn Latin.

The army had been employed in the summer of 79 in harassing the natives who still held out, and it was not till the summer of the year 80 that Agricola undertook to extend the province towards the north. The lands of some of the Brigantian tribes were now overrun and fortresses erected among them, where the Roman troops, having been provided with a year's provisions, passed the winter. This, or the year after, was probably the time when a legion was first settled at Eburacon, or York.[1] Agricola's fourth summer in command, or that of 81, was spent in securing the possession of these northern acquisi-

[1] See Hübner, "Hermes," xvi. p. 543.

tions, which were now to be bounded by the Forth and the Clyde, the neck of land between the estuaries of those rivers being defended by a chain of forts. In his fifth campaign Agricola directed his operations to the parts opposite Ireland, whereby Galloway was possibly meant. This he did, not because he had any fear from that quarter, but because he had a wish to conquer Ireland, for which a single legion with a few auxiliaries would have, he thought, sufficed. With that view he kept in readiness an Irish king who had been obliged to flee his own country. His sixth campaign, the year after, was directed against the tribes beyond the Forth, and the fleet sent out to explore the harbours of the north, acting in concert with the army, is said to have struck fear into the northern populations, lest they should now be cut off from the last refuge of the vanquished, the secret retreats of their seas. This was learned from captives and shows that even then the natives of the north knew how to turn their numerous lochs and creeks to use. They gathered courage enough to act on the offensive, but in the general engagement which ensued they were worsted, and the Roman soldiers now wanted to advance into the heart of the country which Tacitus calls Caledonia. The Caledonians, however, far from being cowed, determined, by sinking their mutual jealousies, to oppose a united front to the invader the summer following—that is, in 85. Agricola sent his fleet to create fear and alarm along the coast, and marched his army so far as the Tay, at the meeting of which

with the Isla, he is supposed to have found the Caledonians encamped to the number of 30,000 men. Their leader was one Calgacos, whom Tacitus describes as haranguing his countrymen in the most eloquent terms: Agricola is made to do the same with the legions, and then a terrible battle begins, in which the historian asserts that the Caledonians lost one-third of their number. Among other things, he tells us that the natives were provided with short targets and long, pointless swords, which were useless in the thick of the fight, and that the chariots helped to increase the confusion into which they fell. This battle is known as that of *Mons Granpius* or *Graupius;* and when it had been won Agricola led his troops into the country of the Boresti, situated somewhere between the Tay and the Forth. He took hostages from the Boresti, and proceeded to winter quarters, probably south of the estuaries of the Forth and the Clyde, while the fleet was ordered to coast round the north of the island, which it did after passing the winter at a port which Tacitus terms *Trucculensis* or *Trutulensis.*[1] The Caledonians were molested no further, for the Roman general was now recalled by Domitian, who had been emperor since 81, and was getting jealous of Agricola's reputation.

Under Agricola's successor the northern part of the province became independent again, and when Hadrian came here, in the year 120, to quell an

[1] "Agricola," ch. 38.

incipient insurrection, he found it best to draw a line from the Solway Firth to the mouth of the Tyne, and to defend it with a ditch, a stone wall, and an earthen rampart, together with castles and watch-towers. Antoninus, who succeeded Hadrian, found it necessary to send here Lollius Urbicus in the year 139 to subdue the Brigantes between Hadrian's Wall and the Firth of Forth: he then restored to the province the boundary fixed by Agricola, and made an earthen rampart between the Forth and the Clyde. Most of the country of the Brigantes had now been brought under Roman rule, but not the whole; for there were Brigantes or kindred peoples beyond the two great rivers, though they usually appear under other names, leaving that of *Brigantes* to be identified chiefly with their kinsmen between the Forth and the Tees, where in a later age they yielded to an Anglian kingdom its name of Bernicia. Our authority on this war is Pausanias,[1] a Greek author who flourished about this time, but his words have seldom been fully understood. He states that the Romans attacked the Brigantes because of their having invaded a people that was tributary to Rome, and called by him ἡ Γενουνία μοῖρα, the Genunian Division or Cohort. This word Genunia seems to betray itself as of Pictish origin, and of the same class as the name of a people of the Western Highlands opposite Skye, termed by a writer of the 7th century Geona Cohors,[2] or the Geonian Cohort. Such a singular use of μοῖρα and

[1] Didot's Pausanias's "Description of Greece," viii. 43.

[2] Reeves's "Adamnan's Life of St. Columba," p. 62.

cohors is only to be explained by the Goidelic word it was meant to render, and the latter can have been no other than *dál*, a division or part, which was frequently used in forming ethnic names, like Dál-Riada, Dál-Cairbre, and Dál-Cais. The Genunians, then, cannot have been Brythons, and, if that be correct, they can hardly have been any other people than the dwellers between the Solway Esk and Loch Ryan. They would, in fact, seem to be the same people that appear later as Atecotti,[1] and later still as the Picts of Galloway. They were a highly indomitable race, and seldom on good terms with their Brythonic neighbours; so it is by no means probable that they had as yet fought it out with the Romans. Their tributary condition, which may have lasted until the time when the Atecotti appear among the fiercest enemies of the province, was most likely of the nature of an alliance. This would agree well enough with the fact that their country lay beyond the southern wall, and with the usual policy of Rome, which offered the Genunians ready means of checkmating their hereditary foes, the encroaching Brigantes of Brythonic stock. Later irruptions into the province by the independent tribes beyond the two Firths are recorded as taking place in 162 and 182. Not long afterwards they appear again in a threatening attitude, though they had been bribed to be quiet for some years. This time they are spoken of as Caledonians and Mæatæ,[2] the latter

[1] Ammian. Marcell., xxvi. 4; xxvii. 8.

[2] Dion Cassius, lxxv. 5; lxxvi. 12, 13.

being in all probability the peoples that lived beyond the Caledonians of the Tay district. Still from Dion Cassius's account they would seem to have by his time got possession of the country adjoining the Northern Wall; possibly they had even then gained a footing on the southern coast of the Firth of Forth. These were the two names under which the independent tribes of the North had now made their appearance in history, and the state of things which they had produced was considered serious enough by Severus to demand his presence in the province. He undertook an expedition against them in 208 at the head of a larger force than had ever before threatened their home. The northern confederates sent to sue for peace, which they did not get as they had been hitherto accustomed, since the emperor had resolved to open up the country and make it passable for troops. So he set to work making roads, throwing up bridges, and clearing the country of jungles. Severus appears to have advanced as far as the Moray Firth, and to have returned through the heart of the Highlands, without having to fight a battle, though the continuous skirmishing carried on by the natives cost him the life of a very large number of his men. When he came back he reconstructed the wall between the Clyde and the Forth, but he had not long been at York when the Mæatæ were again in arms, and the Caledonii joining them.[1] Severus died in 211, and his son Antoninus patched up a peace with the northern enemy.[2]

[1] Dion Cassius, lxxvi. 15. [2] Zonaras, xii. 12 (612).

Little is known of Britain from that time to the usurpation of power by Carausius in 287, who severed the island for a while from the Roman empire. He had risen to be the head of a fleet intended to repress the Saxons and other German tribes who now ravaged the coasts of Britain and Gaul. He was at length suspected of conniving at their doings; and when Maximus, one of the emperors, resolved to be rid of him, he revolted with his fleet and got possession of Britain, which enjoyed considerable prosperity till his death in 294 at the hand of Allectus, one of his followers. Allectus enjoyed power for three years, when he was slain in 296 in a battle with the army of Constantius Chlorus, who joined Britain again to the Roman empire after ten years of independence. In 306 he seems to have marched an army beyond the wall into the country of the Caledonians and the other Picts, supposed to be the Mæatæ of previous historians. For it is to be remarked, here, that by this time the habit of tattooing the body had so far disappeared in Britain that the word *pictus*, or painted, was now used as synonymous mostly with a native from beyond the Wall of Severus. But in the year 360 the Picts were joined in their ravages by the Scotti, or Scots, from Ireland. They set out most probably from the north-east of the island. When the Romans had left the northern part of the province these invaders had their bands swelled in 364 by the Atecotti, a people inhabiting a part of the country between the walls. At the same time the coast was ravaged by the Saxons,

whose piratical descents were directed mostly to various points between the Wash and the Isle of Wight. Theodosius was sent against them in 369, when the Saxons retreated to the Orkneys, the Scots to Ireland, and the Picts to the country north of the Wall of Severus, which was then repaired, while the territory up to it was garrisoned and made into a province called Valentia or Valentiniana, in honour of Valentinian, who was then emperor. And as to the Atecotti, who had been more ferocious in their inroads than the others, they were enrolled in the Roman army, and stationed on the Continent, so that some of them were seen by St. Jerome, who has left on record the report that they were a British people of cannibals. The Picts, or the independent natives of the north of the island, are again mentioned[1] as two distinct nations called respectively Dicalidonæ and Verturiones. Under the former name, which seems to mean the people of the two Caledonias, we appear to have to do with the Caledonians proper and the Mæatæ combined, while in later times the word Verturiones yielded in Goidelic the well-known name of the Brythons of the kingdom of *Fortrenn:* they were possibly the people previously called Boresti,[2] but that is by no means certain.

[1] Ammianus Marcellinus, xxvii. 8.

[2] Mr. Skene speaks of the latter as Horestii, and connects ("Celtic Scotland," i., pp. 52, 89) with them two inscriptions at Niederbieber, on the Rhine, in which he recognises *HOR* and *H* as abbreviations of their name; but Dr. Hübner assures the author of this little book that the one is a part of the word

We are now come to the time of Maximus, who, having served under Theodosius, and then obtained the command of the Roman army in Britain, got himself proclaimed emperor here in 383. He had repressed the Picts and Scots in 384, but soon after, in the year 387, he led the army away, and drained the country of its able-bodied men, in order to contend on the Continent for the imperial power, a struggle which cost him his life in 388. Britain was now exposed to the inroads of the Picts and the Scots, until Stilicho sent hither in 396 a legion which drove them back and garrisoned the Northern Wall once more. In 402 the Roman troops were again withdrawn, and then followed another access of devastation. In answer to the application of the Britons for aid, an army is found to have been present here in 406. In 407, however, it was led away by Constantine, never to return: he was the third emperor made by the army after the great invasion of the Roman empire (by the Vandals, the Alans, and other German peoples in the year 406) had inspired the soldiers with fear lest the barbarians might cut them off in an isolated province. This was dispelled by Constantine gaining a great victory over them, which soon made him master of Gaul and Spain, so that the emperor Honorius reluctantly gave him,

horrei, and the other of *honorem*, while neither has anything to do with Britain, the *Brittones* mentioned in one of these being, as he thinks, a Continental people: see pages 210 and 211 of this volume, and for the inscriptions turn to Brambach's "Corpus Inscr. Rhenanarum," Nos. 692, 694.

usurper as he was, a share in the imperial authority. One of Constantine's ablest generals was a Brython called Gerontios, who, after a while, thinking himself slighted by Constantine and his son, set himself to work to overthrow both: among other means he adopted he had recourse to the Germans, whom he invited to invade Gaul and Britain, which they did in 409. Britain had, it is true, from the time the Saxons and the other pirates first made their appearance on her coasts, had little quiet. Most of Constantine's troops were in Spain, and Honorius, unable to render any aid, wrote letters to the cities of Britain, urging them to defend themselves. They did so, and with such vigour that in the following year, 410, they not only rid themselves of the invaders, but also packed away the few Roman officials, who were still here to carry on the government. Honorius, holding Constantine responsible for the loss of Britain, and the death of certain of his relatives, sent an army against him, when Constantine shut himself up in the town of Arles, where he was killed. This happened in 411, and was followed shortly after by the death of Gerontios. When the latter invited the Germans to invade the provinces, he probably intended thereby to secure Britain for himself; but, while the Roman force which had disposed of Constantine was in quest of Gerontios, his own men conspired against him and set fire to his dwelling. He defended himself for a while, aided by a servant, who was a German of the nation of the Alans, but at length he found himself forced to slay

his servant and his wife at their own request, and then to put an end to his own life: his son fled for refuge to the Alans. Such is a summary of his history given by the contemporary writers, Olympiodorus and Zosimus. In Gerontios, one recognizes at once the unmistakable features of the Vortigern of the well-known Hengist story, which is read first in the pages of Bæda and Nennius, while only a few of its elements can be detected in the writings of Gildas in the latter part of the sixth century.

In order to form an idea of what happened in Britain after the Roman officials were driven away, we must briefly relate how it was ruled as a part of the Roman empire. From the time of Severus the province was divided into Upper and Lower Britain; and Dion Cassius[1] gives one to understand that the legions stationed at Caerleon on the Usk and Chester on the Dee were in Upper Britain, while that located at York was in Lower Britain. This has been supposed to prove that the Romans were guided in their division of the island by the parallels of latitude rather than by the natural features of the country, which suggest a boundary marked by the Bristol Channel, the Severn, the Avon, the hills beginning between the Dove and the Derwent, and extending so far as the Tees: all east and south of such a line, including the plain in which York stands, and possibly also the coast from the Tees to the Tyne, would be Lower Britain, while the country beyond from the Bristol Channel to the Solway Firth, consisting of

[1] lv. 23.

two mountainous tracts with the level ground of Cheshire and South Lancashire lying between them, would form Upper Britain. It has, however, occurred to an Italian authority[1] on Roman administration, that it was the custom of the Romans to call the portion of a country nearest to Rome upper, and that further off lower; but this conclusion (suggested by the geographical accident, that few of the great rivers of Europe could be said to flow in the direction of Rome), only shows, when the facts are looked into, that the Romans, like other people, allowed the ready test of running water to decide what was upper and what lower. Thus they spoke of a Lower Germany at the mouth of the Rhine, and of an Upper Germany higher up that river; similarly, on the Danube, they had an Upper Pannonia and an Upper Mœsia, situated in the same relation to Lower Pannonia and Lower Mœsia; nor do they seem to have proceeded differently in having at one time spoken of Dalmatia as Upper Illyricum, not to mention that Lower Egypt seems to have always been nearer to Rome than Upper Egypt. So it is natural to suppose that Upper Britain was mainly that part of Roman Britain which the legions had to approach by marching in the direction of the sources of the Thames, and of the streams that meet to form the Humber. In an arrangement made by Diocletian, and perfected by Constantine the Great,

[1] See Borghese, "Opera Omnia," iv. p. 458: his view has been accepted by Dr. Hübner in the "Corpus Inscr. Lat.," vii. p. 4.

the two Britains were subdivided, Upper Britain into *prima* and *secunda*, or first Britain and second Britain, and Lower Britain into Maxima Cæsariensis and Flavia Cæsariensis. In the case of these last pairs of adjectives, the word Britannia was dispensed with, so that it came to be more closely associated with Upper Britain. After Valentia was added as a separate province in 369, a survey of the great offices of the empire, or, as it is usually called, the Table of Dignities, gives us the names of the British provinces in the following order: Maxima Cæsariensis, Valentia, Britannia Prima, Britannia Secunda, Flavia Cæsariensis.[1] Here as elsewhere in the Western Empire the sequence was not intended to be that of locality, but of the dignity[2] of the governors of the respective provinces, and we learn from the same source that the men in charge of Maxima Cæsariensis and Valentia were of consular rank, while those at the head of the other three, being of lower rank, were called *præsides* or presidents. No less than three other lists exist of the provinces of Roman Britain, and two of them, at least, are still older.[3] In all of them the order varies, but always so as to keep the two Britannias together. Roman Britain was sometimes spoken of as a province, but technically it was a diocese, consisting of five provinces under the rule of a

[1] "Notitia Dignitatum," ed. Otto Seeck, pp. 105, 107, 111, 172.

[2] See Mommsen in the "Abhandl. d. Ak. d. Wissenschaften zu Berlin," 1862, pp. 510, 511.

[3] See Mommsen's paper just referred to.

vice-prefect or vicar, as he was called. The vicar of Britain was responsible to the pretorian prefect of the Gauls, who had under his authority the vicars also of Gaul and Spain, so that his power reached from the Firth of Forth to North Africa. This had to do with finance and the administration of justice, while the military command was divided between three generals, called the Count of Britain, the Count of the Saxon Shore in Britain, and the Duke of the Britains. This last was so called because probably he had to do mainly with the two Britannias or provinces of Upper Britain, but towards the close of the Roman occupation the forces under his command were located in places which were mostly, if not all, in the northern part of the territory intrusted to him for its defence, especially the stations on the Southern Wall; and for military purposes it is probable that the part of Lower Britain north of the Humber soon got to be treated as a part of Upper Britain. The Count of the Saxon Shore had under his command the troops stationed at various points between the Wash and the Isle of Wight, the coast which was most exposed to invasion from Saxons and kindred Germans. As to the Count of Britain, the entire diocese was under his control, and his command does not appear localized like that of the other two. On the whole, his position seems to have been analogous to that of the Count of Italy in the neighbourhood of the Alps, and of the Count of the Territory around Strassburg: both of these had districts in their charge, which

were subject, like Britain, to the inroads of the Germans.[1]

In the course of the Roman occupation, which lasted more than three centuries and a half, most of the Celts of the province had both become Christians, and grown familiar, to some extent, with the working of municipal institutions which here and there probably survived the hurried departure of the officials of the empire, who were, doubtless, highly unpopular wherever they settled. It may further be supposed that the Latin tongue was beginning to make rapid conquests: not only was it the official language of the province, but also, in all probability, the ordinary means of communication over a considerable area of the south and east of the island, where, more than elsewhere perhaps, the descendants of the motley population that had followed the Roman standards hither formed the nucleus of a Latinizing party. Among its strongholds may safely be reckoned York, Lincoln, Colchester, and London, which was even then so ancient a town that the Roman attempt to change its name for ever into Augusta has so far failed that it is now known to few. But, whatever Roman refinement and institutions survived here, the study of the Roman inscriptions found in the province cannot fail to show that, as compared with most of the other portions of the empire, Britain was remarkable for its military character and the little consideration, relatively speaking, it allowed the civil element. This

[1] "Not. Dig.," pp. 180, 182, 209, 173, 179.

arose in the first instance probably from the warlike temper of the people, together with the time and trouble it took to subdue them, and later, from the necessity of being constantly prepared to ward off the outer barbarians, who granted the province no repose. At all events, it is from the military point of view that one sets out with most hope of being able to pick up the thread of transition that should guide one through the mazes of the dark period of history extending to the latter part of the 6th century.

It would be a mistake to take for granted that the people of Roman Britain, as soon as they were rid of the officials of the empire, resolved themselves into small communities or tribal states independent of each other—a stage which the Britons had pretty well left behind them before the Roman Conquest, and it is not to be believed that the prolonged lesson of imperial centralization had been altogether lost on them. Did they proceed, then, to choose an emperor or a sole king? There is no indication whatever that anything of the kind occurred to them, and they seem rather to have simply persisted on the lines of the military leaderships which the Romans had made a reality among them. Though the office of the Count of Britain would appear to have ceased, there are reasons to think that those of the Duke of the Britannias and the Count of the Saxon Shore continued, doubtless in a modified form, to exist long afterwards. How that should have come to pass is by no means hard to see. Even when Maximus

took away the army in 387, it is not improbable that he placed a small native force to defend the north of the province against the Picts and Scots, and another to watch the south-eastern coast. It is very probable that the commander of the legion that came here afterwards and left in 402 did the same thing on a larger scale. And, as to the final departure of the legions for Gaul under Constantine in 409, we are told by Gildas that the Romans, when on the point of going away, not only urged the Britons to defend themselves, but that, in order to help them in so doing, they had a wall built for them in the north, and gave them the fortifications to garrison, while on the south-eastern shore, which had been guarded by a Roman fleet, they built towers at intervals within sight of the sea, which were also to help the inhabitants in their defence of the country. This implies that the Britons were to have an army in the north and another in the south-east; and that these armies were a reality is proved by their successfully repelling the Germans in 410, and by the comparatively small extent to which the Picts from beyond the Firths were, in the long run, able to settle themselves in the country between the Walls. Those armies took possession, doubtless, of the quarters left by the Roman troops, and it is highly probable that their leaders were regarded as the regular successors of the *Dux Britanniarum* and the *Comes Litoris Saxonici*, and as having a right to those titles. The difference between a *comes* or count, and a *dux* or leader, was only an unimportant one of imperial

etiquette in favour of the former; the office of both was called a *ducatus*, and both *comes* and *dux* appear to have been rendered into Welsh by the term *Gwledig*, a ruler or prince, which is the title always given in Welsh literature to Maximus, who was probably Duke of the Britannias before he made himself emperor. It is a significant fact, that those who seem to have succeeded to supreme power here when the Romans left are always styled in Welsh *Gwledig*, instead of being described by any title signifying emperor or the familiar office of king.

The man to whom Gildas and Nennius, together with Welsh tradition, generally point as the one who succeeded to the command of the Count of the Saxon Shore, or, as we may put it, the one who became the Gwledig of Lower Britain, appears under the name of Ambrosius Aurelianus or Aurelius Ambrosius, while in Welsh the name becomes Emrys. According to Gildas, who wrote not more than one hundred and fifty years after the time of Ambrosius, and who loudly sings his praises, he was descended from a Roman family which had enjoyed the purple of office, but his relations had been killed in the contests with the Saxons, or some of the other Germans who harassed the coast: possibly Nectarides, Count of the Saxon Shore, who was slain by them in 364, was of his family. So far as his history can be made out, Ambrosius was a very fit man for his work, and one calculated to enlist on his side the lively sympathies of the Romanizing party. But Nennius darkly hints that there was opposition to him, and

that he had to fight with a certain Guitolin, who was possibly the head of a faction opposed to the Romanizing element. It would seem to have been overcome, for we find the title of Gwledig confined to Ambrosius, who, according to Gildas, was the leader of the Britons in their successful effort to drive away the Germans. These, however, must have soon returned, as one finds them gradually seizing on one portion after another of Lower Britain. How much of this Ambrosius lived to see cannot be ascertained, but the following are the names of the English states which rose south of the Humber, together with their traditional dates, which will do well enough for our purpose :—

In 449 the Jutes had established themselves in Kent, the country of the ancient Cantii, and they also possessed themselves of the Isle of Wight, together with the nearest portion of the mainland. The setting up of the kingdom of the South Saxons, now represented by the County of Sussex, and surrounded then by the great forest of Anderida, is ascribed to the year 477: this was the country of the ancient Regni. In 495 another and greater Saxon power, that of the West Saxons or Wessex, is represented as springing up in what is now Hampshire, and rapidly enlarging itself at the expense of the old inhabitants of the Belgic districts: the German invaders found these at home in their own country, and called them Welsh or strangers, while the latter gave them their own national name of Saxons, which slightly changed into *Saeson*, has come

to be the Welsh word for Englishmen generally. Some time in the sixth century there arose an East Saxon kingdom, the name of which survives in that of the County of Essex, once the land of the Trinovantes, and of the Roman colony of Camulodunon: it is this colony, in fact, that has yielded the English town its name of Colchester. The district between Essex and the Wash, where the Eceni formerly dwelt, was taken by the Angles, who formed a South Folk and a North Folk. Other Angles seized on the coast between the Wash and the Humber, which formerly belonged to the Coritani. In time these Anglian settlers came to be included in the great kingdom which rose last into prominence and power, that of the men who went up the Trent into the heart of the country and fixed, against the Welsh, the frontier or march, from which the whole came to be known to historians by the would-be Latin name of Mercia. Besides this it took in the West Saxon conquests north of the Thames, and most of the region covered by the Midland Counties of modern England.

Welsh legend associates the name of Ambrosius mostly with the southern portion of Lower Britain, especially with Ambresburh or Amesbury in Wiltshire, a part of the country where the contest with the West Saxons was probably very severe. About the middle of the sixth century Gildas, a Welsh monk, to whom we have already alluded, denounced in the bitterest style of the Hebrew prophets the princes of his race and time; but of the five he preaches at

only two[1] seem to have belonged to Lower Britain, Constantine, king of Dumnonia, in modern terms, Devon and Cornwall, and Aurelius Conan, as to whose territory Gildas gives no hint, though it may be guessed to have been the country which happened to be still in the possession of the Brythons east of the Severn Sea. Gildas appears to have been well acquainted with the descendants of Ambrosius Aurelianus: he gives one to understand that they were still in power; and perhaps Aurelius Conan was their head, a view to which his name lends some support. Welsh tradition calls him Kynan, and gives him the title of Gwledig, but the charge Gildas brings against him, of thirsting for civil war, would seem to imply that he was unable to maintain his supremacy without using force. What portion of the original power of the Gwledig still belonged in reality or in theory to his family, we have no means of making out; but it was probably under its head that the great battle of *Mons Badonicus*, of uncertain site, was fought in the year 520, when the Welsh gained an important victory, which is sometimes attributed to Arthur. After this the West Saxons seem to have remained quiet till the time of Ceawlin, who began to reign in 556, and fought against the Welsh, both along the Thames and the Severn, winning a great victory over them at a place called Deorham in 577. This battle in which fell three Welsh kings (called in the Saxon Chronicle Conmægl, Condidan and Farinmægl) was

[1] Haddan and Stubbs's "Councils," &c., i. pp. 49–51.

followed by Ceawlin taking possession of the important towns of Bath, Gloucester, and Cirencester, whereby the West Welsh, as those of the peninsula south of the Severn Sea came to be called, were completely severed from their kinsmen. After the death of Condidan, in whom one recognizes[1] the Kynddylan of Welsh literature, which connects him with what is now Shropshire, his country was fearfully ravaged by Ceawlin, and his court at Pengwern or Shrewsbury given to the flames.[2] These northern conquests were lost by Ceawlin, owing to a serious defeat at Fethanleag, supposed to be Faddiley, on the borders of Cheshire, in the year 584; but it is to him that we have to ascribe the advancement of the Saxon boundary on the south to the Axe, while what still remained in the possession of the Welsh of the country east of the Axe and the Parret appears to have been conquered by the Saxons under Cénwalh, who died in 672. In the eighth century Ine of Wessex seems to have succeeded in advancing the Saxon boundary to Taunton, though he had to fight with a very able prince of the Brythons of those parts, whose name appears in Welsh literature as Geraint, and we read of Devon being under English rule in the time of Ecgbyrht, who ravaged Cornwall in 815. Still the Welshmen of that peninsula do not seem to have been wholly subjected to English rule until the time of Æthelstán, who fixed on the Tamar as their eastern boundary. It is needless to add that they continued

[1] Green's "Making of England," pp. 128, 206.

[2] Skene's "Anc. Books of Wales," ii. pp. 279, &c.

to be Celtic for a long time afterwards, and that their language finally died out only one hundred years ago.

Now that we have very briefly shown how the English mastered Lower Britain, the question arises, how far the old inhabitants were allowed to remain. It has sometimes been supposed that, so long as the conquerors continued to be pagans, they gave the former no quarter; but a more humane treatment may be expected to have prevailed with them after their adoption of Christianity: some of the principal dates implied are the following:—Eadwine, King of Northumbria, was converted in 627; Cynegils, King of Wessex, was baptized in 635, and his son in the following year; Mercia was pagan until Penda's death in 655, but under his sons it became Christian. The conquests by these states after those dates need, therefore, not imply a complete displacement of the previous population, and there are not wanting indications that there were even so late as the time of Æthelstán in the tenth century patches of country, especially in Wessex, which were under English rule, but still inhabited by the Welsh, who only ceased to be such by being gradually assimilated to the Saxons around them. The subject, which is a difficult one, will be found discussed in another volume of this series; but, on the whole, one may take it, that it still remains to be proved that the ancient inhabitants were not to a certain extent allowed to remain as slaves and tillers of the ground, even in the south and the east, and districts where they did not succeed in maintaining themselves in their towns

until the conquerors became Christians. On the other hand, those who are inclined to think that the Celts and Romanizing populations were cut clean off the ground must not make too much of the negative argument, that English in its earliest stages contains next to no words borrowed from Celtic; for if the language of a considerable portion of the south and east of the island had become Latin by the time of the English conquest, as it well may be supposed to have done, what they should rather ask is, how many Latin words there are to be found in the earliest known specimens of English that can be said to afford adequate materials for studying the question. Much the same remarks apply, of course, to Upper Britain, with which we have next to deal.

CHAPTER IV.

THE KYMRY.

LET us now see what became of the people of Upper Britain when the Romans went away. Before that event the Picts and Scots had more than once been able to carry their plundering expeditions into the heart of the province; but the fact may be taken as proving the native army, which undertook the defence of the north, to have been not wholly inefficient, that the only settlement worth mentioning which the northern tribes were able permanently to make within what had been Roman Britain was that effected by the Picts on the southern side of the Firth of Forth. It is called in Welsh Manaw of the Gododin, to distinguish it from another Manaw beyond the Forth, as well as from the Isle of Man, which appears in the same language as the Island of Manaw. This Pictish settlement took in the part of Lothian in which Edinburgh is situated, and a portion of the Pentland Hills, a name in which we are supposed to have a corruption of Pehtland, the land of the Peht or Pict. These, however, were not the only Picts south of the Northern Wall: the district on the Solway, between the Nith and Loch Ryan, was inhabited in Bæda's time by a people whom he terms Picts,

while he adds that they were also known as *Niduari*, or men of the Nith.[1] They are more usually called the Picts of Galloway, who had probably been there from of old, and were merely a remnant of the Atecotti, which signifies that they agreed with the other Picts in tattooing themselves, and in being always ready to help against thè Brythons. Nor is there any reason to think that any very considerable portion of Upper Britain was seized immediately after the departure of the Romans by German invaders, though it is possible that small German settlements had been made on certain points of the coast between the Tyne and the Forth at a comparatively early date. But the time usually fixed on as that of the rise of a regular state on that sea-board is 547, when Ida is said to have commenced his reign, in the course of which he fortified Bamborough to be his capital. Some think that there were Jutes or Frisians in the neighbourhood of the Firth of Forth; but, even if that be true, the state as a whole, and as known to history, was an Anglian one, and remarkably enough the people were known in Bæda's time by a name derived from that of the ancient Celtic Brigantes. For he speaks of them in Latin as Bernicii, a word made from the Anglo-Saxon Bærnicas, which appears to have been the English pronunciation of the Welsh equivalent *Brëennych* or *Brenneich;* and this in its turn is to be traced to the same origin as the

[1] See Bæda's "Life of St. Cuthbert," chap. xi.: the passage is quoted with interesting remarks in Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 133.

name of the Brigantes: thus the term Bernicii would seem to have meant the people of the Brigantian land, which, in this case, was mostly that of the ancient Otadini, or Gododin of Welsh literature, together with a part probably of that of a kindred people, the Dumnonii. Another Anglian people had seized on the country of the ancient Parisi between the Humber and the Tees. Like the northern Angles, but unlike the other Angles and the Saxons, they also got to be known by a name of Celtic origin. In Latin they have been called, from Bæda's time, Deiri, and their country Deira, both suggested by the English pronunciation of one or more forms derived from the same source as the Welsh name of the district or of its old inhabitants: this was Deivr, which has probably come down from early times, though it is not read in any ancient author. It is not known at what date Bernicia extended itself southwards to the Tees, so as to have a common boundary with Deira; nor is much known at all about the latter, till the time of Æthelfrith, a king of the Bernicians we shall have to mention again: he died in 617. He had taken possession of Deira and made himself king of both states, and thenceforth Deira and Bernicia were sometimes separate and sometimes united. In the latter case the whole is known as Northumberland, or else in quasi-Latin as Northumbria, which may serve to prevent the thoughtless from confounding the whole with the part that forms the county bearing the former name.

But even after the encroachments, briefly described

as including in time the whole seaboard from the Humber to the Firth of Forth, the tract of country still in the possession of the Celts of Upper Britain was very considerable, comprising all the west of the island from the Severn Sea to the Solway Firth and thence to the Clyde. But was it anything more than a tract of the island in the meagre geographical sense, and did it contain any of the political essentials of a state? That would seem at first sight to admit of no other than a negative answer, and its length of indefensible frontier would have led one to expect that it would be divided in a short time into two or more pieces. But, as a matter of fact, we find that it kept together for more than two hundred years; that when it was permanently cut in two, in consequence of the defeat of the Welsh at the great battle of Chester and the events that followed, it roused them to a fierce struggle; and that, when this ended unfavourably to themselves, it was regarded as the destruction of all their aspirations and the rudest shock ever given their traditions. Neither had Upper Britain the advantage of being the patrimony of a single and homogeneous race; for not only were there Picts in Galloway, but the north-west of the Principality of Wales, and a great portion of the south of it, had always remained in the possession of a Goidelic people, whose nearest kinsmen were the Goidels of Ireland. As to the other Celts of Upper Britain, that is to say, the Britons proper or Brythons, they were no doubt in the ascendant, but there were also Brythonic communities elsewhere, some north of

the Forth, about whom little is known, some south of the Severn Sea, and some in a Britain of their own in Gaul. Yet the ties of union between those of Upper Britain proved so strong and close, that the word Kymry, which meant merely fellow-countrymen, acquired the force and charm of a national name, which it still retains among the natives of the Principality. This name is better known to Englishmen in connection with Cumberland, or its Latinized form of Cumbria and the still more distorted one of Cambria. Nor was a common name the only or most important outcome of this feeling of unity; for the Kymry developed a literature of their own, differing from that of the other Brythonic communities: above all, the destruction of their state in the seventh century is the burning theme of many a Welsh poem, sung in a language now but imperfectly understood. But since this union of the Kymry seems to have been neither dictated by reasons of geography and frontier, nor clearly defined for them by considerations of race, we have now to look for the historical accidents which served to determine it in the first instance and to invest it afterwards with an intelligible form. This takes us again back to the last years of the Roman occupation.

The Romans were in the habit of forcing the natives of Britain, like those of their other provinces, to enrol themselves in the imperial army, and at first it was, doubtless, the rule for them to serve on the Continent, far away from kith and kin; but as danger ceased at length to be apprehended from the

provincials themselves, and came to be expected from without, some of the native troops were allowed to serve in Britain. Inscriptions and other documents give us the locality and official name of a few such regiments posted in the northern part of the province. Both during the absence of the Roman troops previous to 410, and after the final departure of the officials of the empire in that year, the work of defence devolved on the inhabitants, and it is by no means probable that any corner of the country wont to be under the charge of the Dux Britanniarum would be excused from supplying the native army with its quota of men that were to fill the place of his soldiers, any reluctance which may have here and there shown itself being promptly borne down by the pressing necessity of acting in concert for the defence of the country against the barbarians, who were pushing their way southwards. So it may be gathered that it was the fact of being under the charge of a single general, the Dux Britanniarum, that had the effect of marking off from the other Brythons those who afterwards gave themselves the name of Kymry, and first taught them, perhaps, in some measure to act together; but it was probably the violence of the invader from without that supplied the force which was to weld them more closely together. The area of the country to which this applies was most likely coextensive with the military authority of the Dux Britanniarum, but unfortunately the boundaries of that can only be guessed, partly as already hinted, and partly from the indications we

have as to the territory which the Kymry called their own after Britain was severed from the empire. The earliest of their native rulers, so far as we know, was a man called Cunedda about whom Welsh literature has a good deal to say, though not enough to give us a comprehensive view of his history. His name was Celtic, and tradition, which makes him a son of a daughter of Coel, speaks of him as a man from Coelin.[1] This would connect him with the North, where Coel's country seems to have been the district since called Kyle, in the present county of Ayr. It is from the North also, from Manaw of the Gododin, that Nennius describes him and his sons as coming into Wales, and, for anything we know, he may have been the head of one of the noble families of the Brigantes; but it is not improbable that he had also Roman blood in his veins, for we find that the names of his father and grandfather were Æternus and Paternus, whose father was named Tacitus. Further, some of his ancestors had very probably worn the official purple under the Roman administration, a view which derives support from the fact that the Welsh pedigrees[2] always give Paternus or Padarn the epithet of Peisrudd or him of the red tunic. All this would, no doubt, greatly help Cunedda into a position of influence and authority: the following things are in

[1] See the elegy on Cunedda in the Book of Taliesin in Skene's "Ancient Books of Wales," ii. pp. 200-2; also the "Iolo MSS.," pp. 120, 121, 126.

[2] See the Harleian MS., 3859, fol. 193*b*, at the British Museum.

point, and more or less clearly asserted by Welsh tradition:—That Wales was under his sway and that of his sons; that his power was supreme from Carlisle to Caer Weir (supposed to be Wearmouth) on the eastern coast, where the territory of the Angles was not destined to become suddenly continuous; that he had his court at Carlisle;[1] that his retinue on the wall consisted of 900 horse;[2] that he wore the badge of office of the Dux Britanniarum, which, as in the case of other *duces* under the Empire, consisted of a gold belt,[3] to which an obscure passage in a Welsh poem[4] seems to allude as Cunedda's girdle; and that he was the ancestor from whom a great number of the more remarkable saints of Wales traced their descent. The allusion which Nennius[5] makes to Cunedda states that he and his sons came to Wales from Manaw of the Gododin 146 years before the reign of Maelgwn, the most powerful of his descendants. This would seem to allude to the time

[1] "Iolo MSS.," p. 147, where Cunedda the Gwledig is styled king of the Island of Britain.

[2] This is alluded to in the elegy already mentioned.

[3] Gibbon's "Roman Empire" (Smith's Ed.), ii. p. 320 (chap. xvii.).

[4] It is the elegy already cited, and the word used is *crys*, which now only means a shirt; but it seems to have meant a girdle in another old poem given in Skene's "Anc. Bks. of Wales," ii. p. 267, &c., and the cognate Irish *criss* always meant a girdle, while the intermediate meaning of the Welsh word as that of an upper dress is attested by passages in "The Mabinogion" (Guest's Ed.), ii. p. 13; iii. p. 266.

[5] See San-Marte's "Nennius and Gildas," p. 72.

when the Picts succeeded in possessing themselves of a part of Manaw, and it settles the date as falling somewhere very near the departure of the Romans from Britain.

Nennius, in speaking of Cunedda's sons, says that they were eight,[1] but later versions of the legend add to their number and trace to their names those of various districts in Wales. Among other things we are told that the eldest son died before leaving the North, that his son inherited among his uncles, and that his name, which was Meirion, clung to the district, still called Meirion or Merioneth; but another story, preserved by Geofrey of Monmouth, makes Meirion, whom he calls Margan, brother to Cunedda, who slays him in battle in the land bearing his name. Keredig, another son of Cunedda, left his name to Keredigion, our Cardiganshire; and similarly in the case of others of his sons, who are said to have left their names to districts lying more towards the north-west of Wales. With the exception of a part of Merioneth, this probably represents the encroachments of the Brythons on the territory which had belonged to the old inhabitants of the Goidelic branch, the Scotti of Nennius. These he mentions as driven out of the country with terrible slaughter by Cunedda and his Sons, the limits of whose territory in Wales are afterwards variously stated to have been the Dee and the Teivi,[2] the southern boundary of Cardiganshire, or the Dee and a stream called the

[1] See San-Marte's "Nennius and Gildas," p. 72.

[2] Harleian MS., 3859, fol. 195*a*.

Gwaun,[1] which reaches the sea at Abergwaun or Fishguard in Pembrokeshire. The centre of gravity, so to speak, of the power of Cunedda in Wales was in the country of the Ordovices, a Brythonic people that does not seem to have resisted his rule. Nor do we find a clue to any complication with the Silures of the south-east of Wales; so it may be presumed that they also acquiesced in the supremacy of Cunedda. How, then, was his power established here in the first instance? The only answer we can suggest is that his rule was recognized as that of the Gwledig, or perpetuator of the command of the Dux Britanniarum; that this gave him the means of making his sons kings of various districts in Wales; and that, the Goidels of the south and the north-west showing signs of a desire to assert their own independence, his sons gratified the Brythons by giving them considerable portions of the Goidelic land. Probably Cunedda, while enjoying the power of the Gwledig down to the Severn Sea, identified himself more closely with the part of his charge north and east of the Dee; nay, it is even possible that he never visited Wales in person at all. But, in any case, he found the means of bequeathing to his descendants power of two kinds, that is to say, power over the special districts which they then treated as their own, and the power of the Gwledig, which they seem to have jealously kept among themselves for centuries afterwards.

We have, however, no knowledge who were the

[1] "Lives of the Cam.-Brit. SS.," p. 101.

Gwledigs of the Kymry for more than a hundred years after Cunedda's time; but about the middle of the sixth century we have again the help of the writings of Gildas, in which he denounces five princes of his time:[1] three of these appear to have had their homes in Wales. Their names were Vortiporios, which in the Welsh pedigrees becomes Guortepir;[2] Cuneglasos, later Cinglas or Cynlas; and Maglocunos, a name better known in Wales in its later form of Maelgwn. Now Gildas, while bringing against Maelgwn very grievous charges, of the grounds of which we have no means of forming an opinion, gives one to understand that he had for a time been a monk and had for instructor one of the most accomplished men in Britain, who, it may be inferred from a life of St. Cadoc, was no other than that philosophizing saint himself.[3] Gildas not only represents Maelgwn as a great warrior, and superior in stature to the other princes he names, but he alludes more than once to the fact of his standing far above them also in point of authority and power. Cuneglasos or Cynlas is not described in such a way that one can be sure where he ruled, but the name was borne by a grandson of Cunedda's son Einion, of whom Maelgwn was also grandson, while a story recorded in the Iolo Manuscripts[4] mentions Cynlas as lord of Glamorgan and father of St. Cadoc, which differs in this particular

[1] Hadd. and Stubbs's "Councils," &c., i. pp. 50–56.
[2] Harleian MS. 3859, fol. 193 *b*.
[3] See the "Lives of the Cam.-Brit. SS.," p. 52.
[4] "Iolo MSS.," p. 171.

from the usual account. But it by no means follows that we are to reject it, as the history of St. Cadoc is a most difficult one, there having been, as it would seem, more than one saint of that name or similar ones. Then as to Vortiporios, whom Gildas terms tyrant of the Demetæ, or the people of Dyved, he was probably king of the portion of Demetia which had not been included in Keredig's territory: if he was not a member of the Cunedda family, he probably reigned subject to the head of it, a view which derives support from the fact that Keredig's grandson, St. David, was about this time establishing himself as bishop in that region. Now the head of that family was, at this time, undoubtedly Maelgwn, whose authority reached to every corner of Wales. His own kingdom, however, was that of Venedot, Gwyndod or Gwynedd, the last of which is a name that now means all North Wales; but it appears at one time to have denoted, more strictly speaking, that portion of it, approximately, which is covered by the Vale of Clwyd and the district west of it, and north of the Mawddach. The ancient Goidelic inhabitants within that area had been wholly reduced by one of Maelgwn's predecessors not long before his reign: thenceforth he and his successors drew their best and doughtiest troops from this region, and became themselves known as kings of Gwynedd. Gildas gives us no clue to the history of the Kymry from the Dee to the Clyde, and most other sources of information on the point have long since been closed by the disappearance of Welsh and Welsh traditions in Cumbria. But

what Gildas tells us about the many princes Maelgwn had overthrown, as well as the obscure allusions in Welsh poetry to Maelgwn and his hosts in the North, together with the later history of the Kymry, would tend to show that whatever princes reigned over them north and east of the Dee, must have done so subject to Maelgwn as Gwledig or whatever the leadership had by his time got to be called.

Brave and intrepid in war as Maelgwn undoubtedly was, his authority was certainly not altogether the direct result of his success in the field: it was in part at least due to the standing rule of the princes of the house of Cunedda, whereby one of them obtained the office of Gwledig, or, as it might now be termed, that of over-king. This is very clearly seen, so far as regards Wales, in a story invented afterwards to account for his supremacy: it occurs in some of the manuscripts of the Welsh Laws,[1] and is to the following effect:—The nation of the Kymry, after losing the crown and sceptre of London and being driven out of England, assembled by agreement to decide who should be chief king over them. The place of meeting was Maelgwn's Strand, near the mouth of the river Dovey, whither came the leading men of all parts of Wales; and there Maeldav the Elder (lord of Moel Esgidion in Merioneth, according to one version, but of Pennardd in Arvon according to another) placed Maelgwn in a chair cunningly made of birds' wings. So, when the tide rose, it

[1] See the 8vo edition of 1841, vol. ii. pp. 49–51; also the "Iolo MSS.," pp. 73–74.

drove all away except Maelgwn, whom his chair enabled to stay, and thereby he became chief king, and his word and law paramount over the other princes, without being himself bound by theirs, while Maeldav for his services on the occasion obtained certain privileges for his own lordship. This legend, whatever else it teaches, clearly shows that Maelgwn's supremacy was the result in some way or other of the suffrages of the other princes of the Kymry. We have no means of ascertaining how the selection was usually made; but as a rule the most shrewd and powerful member of the family of Cunedda managed to get himself declared head or over-king, and it may be supposed to have not unfrequently been the cause of quarrels and civil wars.

Not only was Maelgwn beyond doubt the greatest prince of the Kymry from the time of Cunedda, but he succeeded in so strengthening the position of his family that the over-kingship remained afterwards with his descendants. This will appear from a brief outline of their history. Maelgwn's son Rhun, who inherited his father's power, had only a portion of his ability, and the manuscripts of the Welsh Laws[1] speak of Gwynedd being devastated in Rhun's time by the Men of the North, and of his successfully carrying the war into that region, where the men of Arvon distinguished themselves in the van of his hosts in crossing the Forth. Rhun had a son Beli, of whom nothing is known: he may have died before his father,

[1] Vol. i. p. 104.

but he left a son Iago, who fell with several other Welsh princes in the battle of Chester in 613. For anything known to the contrary, he may have for a short time enjoyed the position of over-king of the Kymry and acted as their general on that occasion; but a superficial reading of the oldest allusion to that battle, namely in Bæda's Ecclesiastical History,[1] has sometimes led to the supposition that the man who acted in that capacity was Brochvael, the only Welsh prince whose name he gives. What Bæda, however, says is, that very many priests, belonging mostly to the monastery of Bangor Iscoed, had come after a three days' fast to pray for success to their nation in the contest which was about to take place, that the priests had some soldiers to defend them, a little apart in a secure place, under the command of Brochvael; that Æthelfrith, on hearing of this, resolved to begin by making an onslaught on the priests; and that Brochvael and his men took to flight, when about 1,200 of the monks were slain, only fifty escaping. This was afterwards regarded by the English as a judgment on the Kymry for having refused to join Augustine in Christianizing their nation; he had some years before met on the borders of Wales the bishops and learned men of the Kymry under the lead of Dunawd, or Dinoot as Bæda calls him. The pride and arrogance of Augustine filled with anger the men whose assistance he had come to seek. Bæda tells us little about the battle which

[1] Book ii. chap. 2.

followed the massacre of the monks and Brochvael's flight; nor do we know whether the latter took any part in it. The historian, however, says that the Anglian king did not gain his victory without great losses to his own army; and the reason Brochvael was told off to guard the priests is not far to seek: he was probably lord of the country around Bangor as well as nearly connected with Dunawd, its abbot; and, seeing that his death is not recorded to have happened till the year 662, he may be supposed to have been hardly old and tried enough to have had the command of the whole army intrusted him in the presence of not a few princes, who probably were more experienced than he could well have been. The chief of these we have supposed Iago to have been, a view not discountenanced by the likelihood that his son Cadvan, who followed him as king of Gwynedd and died about the year 616, was also over-king of the Kymry. But even this, favoured though it be by Welsh tradition, cannot be said to be proved. It seems, however, to be the key to the flattering language of Cadvan's epitaph, which happens to be still existing at the Anglesey church of Llangadwaladr close to Aberffraw, where the kings of Gwynedd lived, probably from the time of Maelgwn. Llangadwaladr is thought to be so called from Cadvan's grandson Cadwaladr, who appears to have died in 664. The church was built by him, or in his honour, and the old letters of the inscription rudely cut on a rough piece of stone, have quite the appearance of being of the seventh century: the words are—*Catamanus rex sapientisimus opina-*

tisimus omnium regum—King Cadvan, the most wise and renowned of all kings. Lastly as to Cadvan's son and successor Cadwallon, and the latter's son Cadwaladr, there is no room for doubt concerning the union of all the Kymry under their leadership in the closing struggle with the Angles of Northumbria; but we must turn again to the battle of Chester.

Æthelfrith was at first king only of Bernicia; but, at the death of his kinsman Ælle of Deira, he succeeded in adding that to his own kingdom, and thus he became the first king of all Northumbria; while Ælle's young son Eadwine with his friends sought refuge in other states, among which may be mentioned Gwynedd, where Welsh tradition speaks of him as being brought up for a time at the court of Cadvan in Mona.[1] There is probably some truth in this, and it is possible that it was the cause of Æthelfrith's expedition to Chester. At any rate, it is quite in keeping with his later conduct as described by Bæda; for Eadwine, according to this author, found refuge soon after the battle of Chester at the court of Rædwald, king of the East Angles, and Æthelfrith, hearing of it, offered gifts to Rædwald to compass Eadwine's death: he sent a second and a third time, adding the threat of war in case he persisted in turning a deaf ear to his wish. As Rædwald did not comply, Æthelfrith set out with an army to execute his threat. Rædwald and Eadwine met him and fought a battle in which the Northumbrian king fell, so that

[1] See the "Myv. Arch" (Gee's reprint), p. 393, triad 81.

Eadwine succeeded him as king both of Deira and Bernicia: this took place in the year 617, or about four years after the battle of Chester. But, whatever may have been the cause, Æthelfrith's advantages were not vigorously followed up, although Bæda gives him the credit of being a most brave and ambitious prince, who harassed the Welsh more than any other English king, and seized on more of their country than any one before him: possibly the Kymry offered him some kind of submission, and promised no longer to harbour Eadwine. It was partly due, perhaps, to the losses suffered by his own army, which were so serious that Bæda mentions them as great, and that Welsh tradition has construed them into a victory for the Kymry; it was owing partly, perhaps chiefly, to Æthelfrith's anxiety to be free to watch Eadwine's movements. The battle of Chester left the city desolate, never to be afterwards haunted by its Kymric dwellers, and it was probably the first time for the Kymry to find the whole force of the Angles north of the Humber arrayed against them; and, on the whole, the battle seems to have had the decisive character which it has of late been the fashion to ascribe to it. Something much more decisive, however, was shortly to follow: it was the succession of Eadwine to Æthelfrith's place as king of Northumbria. He is said to have subdued all the English princes to his rule except his father-in-law, the king of Kent; the Kymry also suffered from his power. Among the first of them were probably those of the small kingdoms of Loidis and Elmet,

the former of which has left its name to the town of Leeds, and the latter to Barwick-in-Elmet and Sherburn-in-Elmet in the West Riding of Yorkshire. Their land was annexed to that of Deira, on the confines of which they lay; but Eadwine did not stop here, as we read in Bæda's history of his having conquered the islands of Man and Mona, the latter of which came henceforth to be known in English as Anglesey, or the Isle of the Angles. This is significant, for Anglesey was, as it were, the home and stronghold of the kings of Gwynedd: in fact, both this circumstance and the utterances of Welsh tradition would lead one to suppose that the Kymry were for some time wholly at the mercy of the Northumbrian king, while Cadwallon, who appears to have succeeded his father Cadvan, about the same time as Eadwine attained to power, had at one period of his life to seek refuge in Ireland; but we have no sure indication as to the date of this event. The Northumbrian king, however, became Christian in 627, and was baptized by Paulinus, a bishop who followed the former's Christian queen, who was daughter to the king of Kent, and that missionary has usually the whole credit of converting the Northumbrians given him; but Nennius claims it for a Welshman named Rhun, son of Urien, and the Welsh Chronicle dates his efforts in the preceding year. So it may be supposed that the Kymry were during those years under the Northumbrian king's yoke, and that they joined in the work of converting his subjects to Christianity. Next, the Chronicle

above mentioned speaks laconically of King Cadwallon being besieged or blockaded in the year 629, in the island of Glannog, now better known as Priestholm or Puffin Island, opposite Beaumaris on the coast of Anglesey. This was probably done by Eadwine's fleet, and it may be taken as possibly marking the close of the drama which ended with Cadwallon's escape to Dublin. Again Welsh tradition speaks of several battles fought by Eadwine, which we cannot date, one near the Conwy and also one on Digoll or the Long Mountain in Shropshire, with which the Triads connect a fierce struggle known as one of the Three Discolourings of the Severn:[1] these engagements possibly took place before Cadwallon's flight. Lastly, Cadwallon returns[2] after a time to recover his power, and is introduced by Bæda as rebelling against Eadwine in conjunction with Penda, the pagan king of Mercia: a battle followed, in which Eadwine fell and his army was cut to pieces, in the year 633, at a place called Hethfeld and Meiceren in the Saxon and Welsh chronicles respectively: this spot is supposed to be Hatfield, in the neighbourhood of Doncaster. The year after, 634, Eadwine's son, Osric, then king of Deira, tried to besiege Cadwallon in the city of York, and was slain with his men in a sally made by the Kymry. Then all Northumbria was for a whole year under Cadwallon, who now killed Eanfrith, Æthel-

[1] Skene's "Anc. Bks. of Wales," ii. p. 206.

[2] Gee's "Myv. Arch.," pp. 393 (triad 75); 397 (triad 41); 399 (triad 60); see also Skene's "Anc. Bks. of Wales," ii. pp. 277-9, 442.

frith's son, who had been in exile during Eadwine's reign, and had come back after his death to be king of Bernicia. This was followed by Eanfrith's brother, Oswald, collecting a force and giving Cadwallon battle, in which the former gained a great victory at a place called Hefenfelth and Catscaul by Bæda and Nennius respectively. It was fought near the Roman Wall and the present town of Hexham in 635, and both those writers and the Welsh Chronicle assert that Cadwallon then met with his death, though the more legendary traditions of the Welsh speak of him as living many years afterwards. Under his successor and son, Cadwaladr, the Kymry seem to have continued to act with Penda of Mercia against Northumbria, both kingdoms of which were now ruled by Oswald. This contest resulted in 642 in a battle, which proved Oswald's last, at a place called Maserfelth by Bæda, but Cocboy by Nennius and the Welsh Chronicle. Bernicia and Deira became again separate kingdoms, the former under Oswiu, a brother of Oswald, and the latter under Oswine, a cousin of Eadwine, but Oswiu got rid of Oswine by foul means and possessed himself of both kingdoms. He could, however, get no peace from Penda, who is said to have been bent on extirpating the Northumbrians; and according to Bæda he was offered royal ornaments and gifts innumerable by Oswiu, if he would go home and leave off harassing his people, while Nennius's somewhat confused account of this transaction goes further and would lead one to the following

conclusions :—Penda and the kings of the Brythons had led a large army to the neighbourhood of the Firth of Forth against Oswiu, who seems to have had the Picts of that region then subject to him; Oswiu found himself forced to withdraw into a town which Nennius calls Iudeu,[1] whereby he may have perhaps meant Edinburgh, and eventually to give up to Penda, as the price of peace, all the treasure and booty which he had there with him. This was distributed by the Mercian king among the kings of the Brythons, and Nennius gives one to understand that it was known in Welsh as Atbret Iudeu, or the restitution of Iudeu. But soon after came the end, in the year 655, at the great battle of Winwæd, or, according to Nennius, the slaughter of Gai's Field, which would seem from its name to have been in the Pictish part of Manaw. There Penda is stated by Bæda to have had thirty legions under the command of most noted leaders; but the result, nevertheless, was that they were defeated, and that Penda was slain then or soon after, for Oswiu is represented as now ending the war in the region of Loidis, seemingly Lothian, after most of the princes helping Penda had fallen. According, however, to Nennius, the King of Gwynedd, whom he calls Cadavael, had escaped with his army by night, which may have been the cause of Penda's defeat, and which certainly gained for the Welsh prince the name of Cadavael Cadommedd, or the battle-seizer who battle

[1] This was, originally, perhaps, *Iuden*, though there is no denying that it is *Iudeu* in the MSS. in the British Museum.

declines. He may have been no other than Cadwaladr, and Nennius's words would only require as their key that we should suppose him to have been known till then in the language of alliterative flattery as Cadwaladr Cadavael; but he may have been a brother of the king. After the battle, all that is known with tolerable certainty about Cadwaladr is that he died during a plague which raged in Britain in the year 664; still some of the Welsh legends represent him as not dying till the 20th day of April 689, at Rome, a date taken from what appears to be the true account of Ceadwalla, king of Wessex. After his victory, Oswiu grew in power and became ruler of the Mercians three years after Penda's death, as he also did of other English peoples towards the south of the island, not to mention that Bæda speaks of his subjecting the greater part of the nation of the Picts to the sway of the Angles. And, as to the Kymry, their state in its older and wider sense had now practically come to an end after a history extending over more than two centuries.

In the struggle between the Kymry and the Angles after the battle of Chester, the kings of Gwynedd, doubtless, considered that both their dignity and their power were at stake. This is spoken of in Welsh literature as the Crown of Britain; for the Dux Britanniarum had not only passed into the Gwledig of Britain, but the latter had come to be spoken of as king or monarch of Britain. This last title would seem to have begun to get into use before the middle of the sixth century, when Gildas described Maelgwn

as *insularis draco* or the island dragon, the island being probably Britain, and not Mona, as is sometimes supposed; and here we have an early instance of the habit so common in Welsh poetry of calling a king or great leader a dragon, as when a mythical Gwledig of Lower Britain is always called Uthr Bendragon, or Uthr Head-dragon, the reputed father of King Arthur. The Welsh words are *draig* and *dragon*, which, like the English *dragon*, take us back to the Latin *draco, draconis*, a dragon, and these in their turn to the Augustan era of the Roman empire, when dragons[1] came to be figured in purple on the standards of some of the legions and to be borne before military leaders: the custom then extended itself to the emperors in time of peace; and the Welsh words make it highly probable that this practice was among the Roman traditions cherished by the Kymric Gwledigs or over-kings, whom the bards sometimes styled *Kessarogion*[2] or Cæsarians, and men of Roman descent; nor have we to look elsewhere for the explanation of the fact that the Red Dragon, which figures in the story of Vortigern and Merlin, has always been the favourite flag of Wales. From the Maelgwn of Gildas we now come to Bæda's Cadwallon, whom that historian usually styles *rex Brittonum*, or king of the Brythons, though he once approaches the old technical title of that *ducatus* or leadership by speaking of him

[1] See the elaborate article and the copious references *s. v. draco*, in Ducange's Lat. Dic. (Paris, 1842).

[2] See Skene's "Ancient Books of Wales," ii. p. 212.

as *Brettonum dux*.[1] According to the legends put together by Geofrey of Monmouth, this title was an important point in the dispute between Cadwallon and Eadwine: the latter is represented as demanding the former's permission to wear a diadem in the east of the island as Cadwallon did in the west, and to celebrate the great festivals as he did. These words contain an allusion to the fixed meetings at which the feudal lord received the homage of his men. There is probably some truth in Geofrey's account, and it is in harmony with the fact that Eadwine solved the difficulty by driving Cadwallon out of Britain, and the latter in his turn by taking the government of Northumbria into his own hands for a while after Eadwine's death. It is also in a measure corroborated by Bæda's words about the seven English kings who exercised a sort of leadership beyond the limits of their own kingdoms. He draws no formal distinction between them, while an eighth is added to their number (in the person of Ecgbyrht, the first king of all England) in the Saxon Chronicle, the manuscripts of which give them all the same title, whether it be Bretenanwealda or Brytenwealda, that is to say, Britain-wielder or ruler of Britain, or else Bretwalda,[2] which meant Briton-wielder, ruler of the

[1] Bæda's "Hist. Ecc.," iii. chap. 1.

[2] *Bretwalda* is the form in the oldest MS., and its meaning is clearly seen in the longer *Bretenanwealda*, the latter part of which is *anwealda*, which meant a lord, and was accordingly applied to God; it was otherwise written *anwalda*, *onwealda*, &c., nor is it to be severed from *anweald* or *onweald*, dominion, authority, power: see Sweet's "Anglo-Saxon Reader," pp. 4,

Brythons, or *Brettonum Dux* as Bæda once terms Cadwallon. The leadership, already mentioned, of the first four kings in Bæda's list was exercised in Lower Britain as a continuation, probably, of the office of the Gwledig who succeeded the Count of the Saxon Shore, and there is no reason to think that it was ever known as that of Bretwalda or Brytenwealda: it survives possibly in the functionary called the Warden of the Cinque Ports. But that of Bretwalda was most likely an exclusively Northumbrian title assumed first by Eadwine after conquering the over-king of the Kymry in the person of Cadwallon, and then by the other Northumbrian kings, Oswald and Oswiu. Here it may be remarked that in *walda*, *wealda*, *anwealda*, we have early English words which happen to be of the same meaning and etymology as the Welsh *gwledig*, or *wletic* as it would probably be spoken in the seventh century, which makes it hard to avoid thinking that the English were in some measure guided in their choice of these terms by that which was in use among the Welsh. It is further worthy of note that Eadwine was the first English prince described

27, 120. But it does not follow that the scribes of the later MSS. were merely guessing the signification of the *Bretwalda* of the earlier MS., for they were not wholly without other sources —witness their *Conmægl* and *Farinmægl*, as compared with the much later forms in the oldest existing MS., and with Bæda's *Brocmailus*. The explanations that aim at dissociating *Breten*, *Bryten*, and *Bret*, from *Britain* and *Britons*, are forced, and dictated by the wish to keep clear of what is thought an historical difficulty.

as wont to have, according to Bæda's[1] account, a standard borne before him wherever he rode, as was the habit of the Cæsars of Rome, and probably also of the Cæsarians of the Kymry after them and their example; but what device Eadwine had on his, whether it was like theirs a red dragon or not, we have no means of finding out; nor can we stay to inquire whether the tuft carried before him when he was pleased to walk consisted of a triad of plumes used in the same way by the Gwledig, and to be regarded as forming a middle term between the insignia of office of the Dux Britanniarum and the Prince of Wales's Feathers.

The disgrace the Kymry felt at losing the Crown of Britain and whatever that somewhat indefinite expression implied, was probably nothing in comparison with their bitterness at being robbed of one piece after another of their country. We have already alluded to Eadwine annexing Loidis and Elmet to his own kingdom of Deira; but far more fatal to Kymric independence was the appropriation by the Angles of the district of Teyrnllwg, described by Welsh tradition[2] as reaching from the Dee to the forests of Cumberland and the neighbourhood of the Derwent, which was once the boundary of the old diocese of Chester: the tract consisting of the level part of Cheshire and South Lancashire must have been taken from the Kymry soon after the battle of Chester, possibly before. Their loss of the plains of Teyrnllwg cut their state in two,

[1] "Hist. Eccl.," ii. 16. [2] Iolo MSS., p. 86.

and everything was calculated to rouse them to the highest pitch of fury and to the utmost exertion to rid themselves of their encroaching neighbours, to both of which Welsh poetry abundantly testifies. The struggle, of which the bards continued to sing[1] long afterwards, was no longer a struggle for mere glory; it had become an effort on the part of their race to expel the Angles from the country and to drive the *Ellmyn* or Allemans, as they were sometimes termed, bag and baggage into their ships in quest of another home. It is in the heated atmosphere of this period that one can realize how closely the parts of the Kymric state clung together, or what a cruel wrench it was felt to be when it was torn in two; and it is only in the lurid light of this all but forgotten context that one can read what the Brython meant, who first found that name too vague and began to call himself a Kymro, that is to say, *Cym-bro* (Combrox) or the compatriot, the native of the country, the rightful owner of the soil, which he thought it his duty to hold against the *All-fro* (Allobrox), as he called the invader who came from another land, the devastating foreigner with whose head the fierce muse of his time and race loved to behold him playing football. Neither was this fire of hostility towards the intruder confined to the Kymry, for it seems to have more than once been spread by them to the other Celts,[2] from whom the

[1] See for instance Skene's "Ancient Bks. of Wales," ii. pp. 123–9.

[2] See among others the poems in Skene's "Anc. Bks. of Wales," ii. pp. 205–13.

bards represent them as drawing active assistance—from the Brythons of Dumnonia and Armorica, from the Goidels of Dublin and Scotland; nor does it by any means appear improbable that all these peoples, excepting perhaps those of Armorica, were represented in the motley host led by Penda of Mercia to the North, where the curtain fell with Oswiu's victory and the Welsh leader's inglorious flight in 655.

From that time, or rather from the occupation by the English of the plain of the Dee and the Mersey, the Kymry dwelt in two lands, known in quasi-Latin as Cambria, in Welsh *Cymru*, which denotes the Principality of Wales, and Cumbria or the kingdom of Cumberland; but for a considerable time previously their territory must have been considerably narrowed in the direction of those rivers, for even Ceawlin of Wessex had carried his conquests along the eastern bank of the Severn into the heart of what is now Shropshire, leaving on his right a peninsula of Kymric country, reaching probably to the Avon: this was afterwards acquired by the English tribes of Mercia as the result of many a minor struggle lost to history, though a careful study of place-names in that district might still perhaps enable one to form an idea of the spots where the old inhabitants were able to keep their ground. As to the country west of the Severn, the kings of Wessex appear to have made incursions into South Wales from time to time, and gained, possibly, a permanent footing on the west bank of that river; but it was not till the time of Offa that the English

frontier was materially advanced towards the west. He reigned over Mercia from 755 to 794, and made it the first power in Britain; besides his conflicts with the other English states, he had many wars with the Kymry west of the Severn, especially during the last twenty years of his reign. He encroached on them, and they retaliated by ravaging his country; so he had an earthern rampart drawn from the mouth of the Wye to that of the Dee, to divide Mercia from Wales: thus he severed from the latter a very considerable tract of country, including a large part of Powys, with the important town of Pengwern, which became English under the name of Shrewsbury. Thus the southern Cambria shrunk into the Wales of our day, for the later annexation of Monmouthshire to England has not prevented its remaining in part Welsh, and, roughly speaking, Offa's Dyke is still regarded as the boundary between England and Wales, though few remains of it are now to be seen.

We have thus rapidly followed the southern Kymry into Wales, and we should now leave them, but that a word or two touching their history there may serve to give its full meaning to what we have already said about the nature of their state before Oswiu's victory. From that time very little is known of them for nearly a century, and it has therefore been supposed that for a considerable portion of that interval they were under the domination of the English. But, when at length we read a little more about them, we find them still ruled by kings of the race of Maelgwn; and the Welsh Chronicle in recording,

under the year 754, the death of Rhodri, grandson of Cadwaladr, styles him Rex Brittonum, or king of the Brythons. His son, Kynan, left only a daughter, who was married to Mervyn, said to have come, like Cunedda, from Manaw in the North. Mervyn became king of all Wales, and was followed by his son, Rhodri the Great, who was also king of all Wales, till his death in 877. Rhodri divided Wales between his three sons and made arrangements for the eldest to be over-king, for it is worthy of note that this office seems never to have been lost sight of, and even in the period of confusion which followed the death, in the year 948, of Rhodri's grandson, Howel the Good, it seems to have had charm enough to make "confusion worse confounded." What with the wars of the Welsh princes with the English, with the Danes, and with one another, the web of Kymric history after the Norman Conquest is not easy to disentangle; but the kingdom of the Welsh is spoken of as having finally fallen in 1090, when Rhys ab Tewdwr was slain in battle by the Normans, who seized on most of South Wales. From that time forth no Welsh leader, who rose above his peers, was usually called king of the Brythons, or even king of all Wales, but merely Prince of Wales. This was the case, for instance, when the death of Llewelyn, the last Prince of Wales in any sense descended from Maelgwn and Cunedda, opened the way for the King of England to juggle the title into his son's lap. But, to go back to an earlier and more interesting fact, not only did the bards continue for ages to sing the praises of the

Welsh princes who protected them, in such terms as had ceased to be applicable from the time of Cadwaladr, but we meet with a curious relic of the same past in the tenth century edition of the Welsh Laws, where they specify certain occasions on which the household bard of the King of Gwynedd was to sing before his hosts a lay of which the subject only is given: it was the significant one of the Monarchy of Britain,[1] the last indistinct echo of the long-forgotten office of the Dux Britanniarum.

We must now say a few words about the other Cambria or Cumbria, for in point of origin we have but one and the same word in both. *Kambria* was regularly used for Wales by such writers as Giraldus in the twelfth century, and Geofrey somewhat earlier had found an eponymous hero called Kamber, to account for it, just as he had likewise ready to his hand a Locrinus[2] to explain Lloegr, the Welsh word for England, and Albanactus to be the ancestor of the Albanach, the Gael of Alban or Celtic Scotland. But the fashion was not yet established of distinguishing between Cambria and Cumbria as we do. Thus St. Petroc, who was probably a native of Wales, is called in one old life[3] a Cumber, according to another version, a Cimber. On the other hand Joceline, who wrote his Life of St. Kentigern in the twelfth century, speaks of the land of the Northern Kymry or

[1] Vol. i. p. 34.

[2] This is the *Locrine* of Milton's "Comus," which see.

[3] See Capgrave's "Legenda Angliæ," p. 266; and the "Acta Sanct.," June 4, i. p. 400.

Cumbria, as Cambria, and uses the adjectives Cambrensis and Cambrinus accordingly; and Æthelweard in his chronicle, written in Latin about the end of the tenth century, mentions the Northern Kymry under the name of Cumbri. So it may be supposed that both countries of the Kymry were for some time indifferently called Cambria or Cumbria, the Welsh word on which they are based being, as now written, Cymru, which means exclusively the Principality, and is there pronounced nearly as an Englishman would treat it if spelled Kumry or Kumri. It is needless, therefore, to say that Cambria is a less correct form of the word than Cumbria, and that, in the language of the Saxon Chronicle, it became *Cumerland* or *Cumberland*, and also *Cumbraland*—the land, as it were, of the *Cumbras*, the Cumbri or Kymry. The latter consisted in the North of a considerable number of small tribes, many of the princes of which claimed descent from Coel, or from a Roman ancestor, and among them some from the Maximus who was able for a time to possess himself of the imperial throne of Rome. The relations in which the former usually stood to one another and to the Gwledig cannot clearly be made out, but their wars were mostly directed against the Angles of Bernicia, while Urien, Rhydderch, and others who warred with Hussa, king of Bernicia from 567 to 574, figure very conspicuously in old Welsh poetry; afterwards Urien and his sons are represented as also fighting with valour, but varying success, against Theodric, who reigned over Bernicia from 580 to 587, and was probably

the devastator known in Welsh literature as the Flame-bringer.[1]

Hitherto Carlisle had no doubt been far the most important town of these Northern Cumbrians, but, in consequence of a great battle fought by their princes with one another in the year 573 at a place called Ardderyd (supposed to be the Knows of Arthuret, on the banks of the Esk, about nine miles from Carlisle), that city had much of its importance shifted to a more northern point. The cause of this war is not known, but the prince who came out victorious, with the aid probably of the Gwledig, was Rhydderch: he thereupon fixed his head-quarters on a rock in the Clyde, called in Welsh, Alclud, whence it was known to the English for a time as Alclyde, but the Goidels called it Dúnbrettan or the fortress of the Brythons, which has prevailed in the slightly modified form of Dumbarton. The fact also contributed eventually in some measure to the importance of that part of Cumbria, that Rhydderch, after firmly establishing himself there, prevailed on Kentigern to return from Wales and take the primacy of that district as bishop of Glasgow. For a long time after Oswiu's victory the Cumbrians, like the other Kymry, remained under English domination, until, at length, in the year 686 Oswiu's son, Ecgfrith, the king of Northumbria, was defeated and slain at Dún Nechtain, supposed to be Dunnichen in Forfarshire. The Angles only retained their power over the Picts of

[1] See Skene's "Anc. Books of Wales," ii. pp. 189, 199.

Galloway and the Cumbrians south of the Solway, with the city of Carlisle, which Ecgfrith shortly before his death had given, together with some of the land around it to St. Cuthberht. The Cumbrians north of the Solway became independent, and had kings of their own again, of whom one is recorded as dying in 694, and another in 722. But, the Picts of Galloway continuing under the yoke of the Northumbrians, the king of the latter managed in 750 to annex to Galloway the district adjoining it on the north and west, which was then a part of the land of the Cumbrians, though it may have long before belonged to the Picts. In the same year a war took place between the former and the Picts of Lothian, who suffered a defeat and lost their leader, Talargan, brother to the King of Alban, in a battle at a place called in the Welsh Chronicle Mocetauc, supposed to be in the parish of Strathblane, in the county of Stirling; but in 756 we read of the Picts and the Northumbrians joining, and pressing the Cumbrians very hard. After that little is known of them (excepting that Alclyde was more than once destroyed by the Norsemen) until we come down to the end of the ninth century, when we meet with a Welsh tradition that the Cumbrians who refused to submit to the English were received by the King of Gwynedd into the part of North Wales lying between the Dee and the Clwyd, from which they are made to have driven out some English settlers who had established themselves there. How much truth there may be in this story is not evident,

but it is open to the suspicion of being based to some extent on the false etymology which connects the name of the Clwyd with that of the Clyde. It is needless to say that the latter, being Clôta in Roman times, and Clût in old Welsh, could only yield Clûd in later Welsh. Harassed and weakened on all sides, the Cumbrians ceased to have kings of their own race in the early part of the tenth century, when a Scottish line of princes established itself at Alclyde, and in 946 the kingdom was conquered by the English king Eadmund, who bestowed the whole of it from the neighbourhood of the Derwent to the Clyde on the Scottish king Maelcoluim or Malcolm, on condition that he should assist him by land and sea, the help anticipated being intended against the Danes. So Cumbria became what historians are pleased to call an appanage of the Scottish crown, which led to various complications between the English and Scots for a considerable time afterwards. Into these we cannot enter, and it will suffice to say that William the Red made the southern part of Cumbria, including the city of Carlisle, into an earldom for one of his barons; and thus it came to pass that the name of Cumberland has ever since had its home on the English side of the border, while the northern portion, of which the basin of the Clydè formed such an important part, is spoken of in the Saxon Chronicle as that of the Strathclyde Welshmen. It may here be added that this was still more closely joined to the Scottish crown when David became king in 1124; but its people, who formed a

distinct battalion of Cumbrians and Teviotdale men in the Scotch army at the battle of the Standard in 1130, preserved their Kymric characteristics long afterwards. How long the Welsh language lingered between the Mersey and the Clyde we have, however, no means of making out, but, to judge from a passage in the Welsh Triads, it may be surmised to have been spoken so late as the fourteenth century in the district of Carnoban,[1] wherever between Leeds and Dumbarton that may turn out to have been.

[1] See Gee's "Myvyrian Archaiology," p. 401, Triad 7.

CHAPTER V.

THE PICTS AND THE SCOTS.

To the remarks made in the last chapter on the Picts of Galloway, we may add, that we read of the Brython, whom Bæda calls Nynias, labouring to convert them to Christianity about 412, and building a church dedicated to St. Martin, at a place called in the Saxon Chronicle Hwiterne, now Whitehorne or Whithern, in the south-eastern part of Wigtonshire. They were, as a rule, little disposed to be friendly towards their Brythonic neighbours, but they appear, nevertheless, to have taken part with them in the war against Oswiu, when, as the result of his triumph, they became subject to the Northumbrians, who proceeded to incorporate their country with their own kingdom. Nor did the defeat and death of Ecgfrith in 686 enable them to free themselves; for the Northumbrians are found to have set up a bishopric at Whithern in 727, and Bæda speaks of a man, whose name was Pecthelm, acting as their bishop there in 731;[1] the bishopric, however, seems to have ended with another, whose name was Beadwolf, in the year 796 or thereabouts. This marks the beginning of a time when the hold of the Angles on Galloway grew

[1] "Hist. Eccl.," v. 23.

feeble, and Northumbria itself fell into a state of considerable disorder and confusion. But Galloway and Northumbria remained connected, after a fashion, long afterwards — probably until the former was bestowed with Cumbria on the king of the Scots by the English king Eadmund. Not only did these Picts so far retain the individuality of their race as to be known by that name so late as the twelfth century, forming a division of King David's army at the battle of the Standard, where they claimed the right of leading the van of his numerous hosts; but there is no lack of evidence that they still clung, some four centuries later, to their Goidelic speech, which Scotch authors used to call Ersch or Irische, as they rightly identified it with the Celtic language of Ireland and the Highlands.

Allusion has also been made to the Picts on the south coast of the Firth of Forth, but a few words more must be devoted to them before we pass beyond the bounds of what was once Roman Britain. The whole seaboard from the Southern Wall to the Lammermoor Hills fell, as already mentioned, into the possession of the Angles, but the tract looking seaward from that range to where the Avon empties itself into the Forth or thereabouts, and commonly known as the Lothians, was occupied by a considerable mixture of races, as may be gathered from the place-names there. Thus the district north of the Lammermoors, forming the peninsula over against the county of Fife, would seem to have been Celtic, though it is not easy to say whether the Goidel or the Brython prevailed there:

seemingly it was the former. But on the upper course of the northern Tyne, which drains this region parallel to the Lammermoor range, one comes to a place called Pencaithland, a name which is in part decidedly Brythonic. A little higher, however, the head-stream of the same Tyne is called Keith Water, not to mention the parish there called Keith-Humbie, which, together with Dalkeith, between the two Esks, shows that we have to do with a district not peopled by Brythons; but by whom? This is a difficult question, as will be seen from the following facts which have to be taken together:—The Keith and Caith mentioned cannot well be severed from other place-names into which they enter at various points in the east of Scotland from Keith Water to Caithness; among these are Inch Keith in the Firth of Foith, and Keith Inch at Peterhead, the most eastern point of Scotland; in the former, Keith is probably to be identified with the town in the middle of the Firth of Forth, called by Bæda *Urbs Giudi;* and it is, moreover, on record that the Irish formerly called the Firth of Forth, the sea of *Giudan*[1] or the Giuds, to which may be added that the legendary son of the eponymous Cruithne or Pict representing Caithness is variously called Cait, Gatt, and Got, and that a Welsh form of another Pictish name here in point is given by Nennius as Iudeu, that is the name he gives the town to which Oswiu withdrew before Penda, though there is no need to suppose that Judeu was the same place as Bæda's Giudi; and

[1] Reeves's "Culdees," p. 124.

lastly we seem to have a trace of the same form in the Welsh Chronicle, sometimes called Annales Cambriæ, when it calls Menevia or St. David's *Moni Iudeorum*. We need not here be troubled by the lost Ten Tribes of Israel, but it might be argued that under these names we have to do with Jutes, and it would be hard to prove the contrary; on the whole, however, it is more probable that we meet here with a Pictish people of non-Celtic descent. In that case the Pictish country reached at least from the upper course of the Tyne to the range of mountains called Pentland, which is, as already hinted, only a corruption of Pehtland. Following their direction towards the sea we reach what was once the stronghold of the Picts, namely, Edinburgh, which, owing to its conquest by Eadwine, has its name sometimes made into Edwinesburg. The inland boundary of this Pictish district is indicated by the Brythonic name, Penicuick, borne by a place on the upper course of the more northern of the two Esks. How far the Picts occupied the country beyond the Pentlands is not evident, but probably up to the river Almond at least. Beyond that we seem to reach a district which was in part Brythonic: thus the place where the northern wall ended on the Firth of Forth was known in Bæda's time by the Brythonic precursor of Penn-guaul, or, as Welshmen would now write it, Pen-Gwawl, that is, the Wall's End. That would be the name as it was probably pronounced in Welsh, with the modification usual in most of the Brythonic dialects of *u* or *w* into *gu* or *gw*; but Bæda says that the Picts called it

Peanfahel, or, as it might perhaps be represented in a more modern spelling, Penn-vael. The latter also was substantially the same Brythonic name, but the Picts must have learnt it—and this is significant—from the Verturian Brythons of the opposite coast, in whose dialect *w* does not seem to have ever been made into *gw*. And the Pictish pronunciation so far prevailed as to prove the basis of the English name given by Bæda as Pennel-tun, or the Wall's End Town, which cannot well have been derived from the Welsh Penn-guaul. But by the time when certain of the manuscripts of Nennius were written a purely Goidelic form of the name had been arrived at in the form of Cennail, now written Kinneil. The non-Celtic Picts, when we find them coming southwards, seem to have been fast adopting the Celtic language of the Caledonians who acted with them; so the contest of languages in the maritime district south of the Forth came in time to be mainly between Goidelic and English, which no doubt found a footing there in the time of Eadwine. Nay, the reader should know that some believe it was firmly established on that coast as early as in Kent by a branch of the same Jutish people: we are, however, by no means sure that this has been satisfactorily made out.

When Eadwine became master of the stronghold of Edinburgh he probably did so only after reducing the whole country between the Lammermoors and the Avon, which may be supposed to have made his dominions continuous from the latter river to the Humber; but when Penda and his Celtic allies

appeared in the north all the non-Anglian inhabitants of Lothian were probably induced to join them, so that when Oswiu proved victorious he not only reduced them under his power but extended his conquests into the country beyond the Forth, as the Picts there may have taken part with their kinsmen against him. But the yoke of the Angles must have been thrown off at the defeat of Ecgfrith in 685; after his time we read of wars between them and the Angles, and among other battles of one fought in the plain of Manaw in the year 710 or 711: then in 729 a battle is mentioned as having been fought between the Picts from the north of the Forth and the Picts of Manaw: after this, little is known about the latter till the year 844, when the Goidelic element became supreme in the North. Now the battle on the plain of Manaw is specified to have been fought at a place between the rivers Avon and Carron: this serves in some measure to fix the position of the region called in Welsh the land of Manaw, and by the Goidels Manann, a name which survives in Sla*mannan* Moor, in which the river Avon rises, in the county of Linlithgow, and also in Clack*mannan*, which shows that another piece of Manaw lay north of the Forth, both having probably been included in the territory of the people whom Ptolemy calls Dumnonii. Their country had two seaboards, that of the Firth of Forth, and that of the Firth of Clyde or the Irish Sea, and a certain part of it came to be called by the Welsh the Manaw of the Gododin: these were the people whom Ptolemy

called Otadini, and placed on the coast from the Firth of Forth to the confines of the land which he considered the Brigantes (in a restricted sense) to have occupied as their own. Before leaving this district south of the Forth, it may be mentioned that next to nothing is known as to the relation in which the Picts of Lothian and of Galloway stood to their kinsmen in the north; but an unidentified son of the eponymous Cruithne is called Fidach, a name doubtlessly representative of the people or region called in Welsh poetry Goddeu: it was possibly Lothian but more likely Galloway; for we seem to detect a cognate of Fidach or Goddeu in the latter part of the name Galloway as Latinized into Galweidia. This has usually been derived by main force from Gall-Gaedhel, the name which the Irish in later times gave the Picts of Galloway, whereby they meant to describe them as Goidels or Gaels who adhered or submitted to the *Gall* or the stranger who came on his piratic visits from Denmark or the fiords of Norway, rather than with any allusion, as it is supposed, to the Anglian stranger who ruled that district as a province of his own for a long time.

We must now go beyond the limits of what was once Roman Britain, and say something of the Picts who remained outside the Northern Wall; but it will lead to somewhat less complication if we first speak very briefly of the Scots who settled in Britain. They took up their abode in Cantyre and the island of Islay, the part of Ireland from which they came being the

nearest district to Cantyre and known as that of Dál-Riada The migration began during the last years of the fifth century, under a prince called Fergus mac Erc; and it was not long before the new comers spread themselves over much of what is now known as the county of Argyle. They were then separated from the Picts north of the Forth by the great mountain chain which forms a part of the boundary of the west of Perthshire, and used to be termed *Dorsum Britanniæ* or Drumalban, which means the ridge of Britain or of Alban. But the king of the Picts, whose name was Brude Mac Maelchon, drove them back about the year 560 to Cantyre, and slew their king. Now the Scots were Christians, while the Picts ruled over by Brude were still pagans; and it is supposed that the mission of St. Columba to that king's court had as one of its objects the bettering of the position of the Scots as against their powerful neighbours. Columba, who was connected with the royal family of the Dalriad Scots, came over from Ireland in the year 563, and made the islet of Iona, near the coast of the island of Mull, the home of himself and his followers shortly afterwards: he succeeded in converting Brude and his Pictish subjects to Christianity; but this does not seem to have prevented their further pressing the Scots, whom we read of as losing their king and many of his followers in a battle fought in Cantyre, in 574. St. Columba at this point interfered in the succession, and chose as king of the Scots a great-grandson of Fergus mac Erc, whose name was Aedan, and then he took him to Ireland to a meeting

known as the Council of Drumcett, where he obtained the concession that the Dalriad Scots of Britain should no longer have to pay taxes or tribute to the mother state in Ireland, though they were to continue bound to take part in its hostings and expeditions. So Aedan became the first independent king of the Scots, and he appears to have strengthened his position by bringing a fresh colony back with him from Ireland, and we read of him and Baetan mac Cairill, king of the Dál-Fiatach[1] and over-king of Ulster, driving the English out of Manaw, the over-kingship of which is said by Irish tradition to have belonged to Baetan. He died in 581, and two years later Manaw was left by the Goidels, which seems to mean that the forces from Ireland left Aedan to carry on the contest alone with the English, that is to say, the Angles of Bernicia; so we read of him fighting a battle about this time in Manaw, though nothing is known about it, excepting that it turned out in his favour. Then we learn from Adamnan, who wrote the biography of St. Columba in the seventh century, that Aedan fought a great battle in which several of his sons fell, in what he calls the war of the Miati;[2] this battle, in which Adamnan ascribes a sad victory to Aedan, is otherwise known as that of Circinn, which took place in 596: it helps to identify Magh-Girginn, or the plain of Circinn, the name of which was reduced to Moerne,

[1] Another warlike tribe of the north-eastern corner of Ireland, located in what is now the county of Down.

[2] Reeves's "Adamnan's Life of Columba," pp. 33, 36.

and Mernis or Mearns in Broad Scotch, with the territory of the ancient people of the Mæatæ: the Mearns are now roughly speaking represented by Kincardineshire. But after this we find Aedan again helped by soldiers from Ireland under Maelumi, the son of Baetan, namely, at the great battle which was fought in 603, at a place called by Bæda[1] Degsastan, supposed by some to have been Dawstone near Jedburgh, and by others Dalston near Carlisle, if not Dawstone Rigg in Liddesdale. Aedan had a very large army, consisting of his Scots, the Picts of Manaw, and his allies from Ireland, and it is not improbable that the Brythons of Cumbria had readily joined him in a great struggle against the Angles, whose king was the aggressive Æthelfrith, of whom we have already spoken; but he obtained a complete victory over Aedan and his combined forces. Aedan died in 606; he was a Dalriad Scot, but something more, for he is traditionally said to have been the son of a daughter of Brychan, the ancestor of one of the three holy families of Welsh hagiology, who is supposed to have left his name to Brycheiniog or Brecknock. It is by no means clear what all Aedan's wars were about, but it would, perhaps, be not far wrong to assume that they were mainly directed against the Angles and the Picts beyond the Forth and Clyde. Aedan's sons took a more or less active part in the affairs of Ireland, and so did his grandson Domnall Brecc or the Freckled; but he also fought several

[1] "Hist. Eccl.," i. chap. 34.

battles in Britain. One of them took place in 634 at a place called Calitros, supposed to have been near the Avon: it was probably an unsuccessful attempt to drive the Angles back over the Pentlands. In 638 we read of Edinburgh being besieged and of another attempt made by Domnall, who was again defeated. On what terms he had hitherto been with the Brythons of Cumbria we do not know, but now a war took place between him and them, in which they were victorious and he slain, in the upper part of the vale of the Carron. The kingdom of the Dalriad Scots of Argyle seems to have never flourished much after this time.

As to the Picts or Picti, their name referring as it does to the habit of colouring the body which prevailed among them after it had disappeared in most of the region under the Romans, was never, perhaps, distinctive of race, as Brythons and Goidels seem to have been sometimes included under it as well as the non-Celtic natives to whom the term probably most strictly applied at all times. So historians speak geographically of these peoples as northern and southern Picts, meaning by the latter the dwellers of the country stretching from the Forth to the neighbourhood of Aberdeen and drained by the Forth, the Tay, and the two northern Esks. Its inland boundary may be described as a sort of semicircle of mountains, comprising the Mounth or that portion of the Grampians which runs across the country to end near Stonehaven, on the north, and Drumalban on the west, beyond which dwelt

those whom it has been customary to call the northern Picts, excepting that the Dalriad Scots had taken possession of a part of Argyle from the end of the fifth century: it is more accurate to speak of them as the Picts on this side of the mountains and those beyond them. Now, the former, in the loose sense here suggested, were partly Celtic and partly non-Celtic, while the Celtic element was of two kinds, Brythonic and Goidelic; for, when the earlier Celtic invaders, the Goidels, had presumably seized on the best portions of the island, their northern boundary on the eastern sea-board must have included most of the district drained by the Tay and the rivers that join it. A long time afterwards the other Celts—those of the Brythonic branch—came and drove the Goidels before them, as the latter had done with the aborigines. There is no evidence, however, that they had coasted beyond the Firth of Forth, though there is that they got possession of a good deal of country north of the river. The outlying tribes of the Dumnonii had pushed themselves as far as the skirts of the great Caledonian forest, and laid claim to most of the tract probably between the Forth and the Almond except the peninsular part of Fife. Ptolemy assigns them three towns, namely, Alauna, which may have been at Ardoch near the Allan; Lindon, supposed to have been at Delginross, near Comrie, on the Earn; and Victoria, possibly situated at Strageath on the same river. They were probably the Verturiones of ancient authors, and their name yielded that of the men of Fortrenn of Pictish history,

which makes Menteith, Strathearn, and Fothreve, or the western portion of Fife, parts of their kingdom. So situated, these Brythons had around them on the north and the east a zone of Goidelic territory, comprising the provinces of Athol in the Perthshire highlands, and Gowrie, together with the eastern part of Fife. The north-east corner of this Cismontane Pictland, the region of the twin Esks, belonged to those of the aboriginal Picts known as Mæatæ from the beginning of the third century down to the time of Adamnan in the seventh, who records practically the same name in the forms of Miati and Miathi. Their country is mostly known in history as Mearn, to which may be added probably most of the province of Angus. They correspond pretty nearly to the counties of Forfar and Kincardine respectively. This land, from Stonehaven to Stirling, and from Drumalban to the North Sea, with its three contending races of Brythons, Goidels, and non-Celtic Picts, is the theatre where most of the known history of the Pictish kingdom was acted.

The Pictish kingdom, we said, for historians are wont to speak of it in the singular; and, on the whole, the facts of the case warrant it, especially if its head be looked at as an Ard-rí or high-king, holding a position somewhat like the Gwledig among the Kymry. Moreover, the kingdom is rightly called Pictish, and not Goidelic or Brythonic; but this leads on to the question as to its probable origin, and what became of the Caledonians, who were the most powerful people that Agricola and his legions met

with in the north. Agricola found and fought the Caledonians on the banks of the Tay, and there, no doubt, they continued as the people of Athol and Gowrie in later times. But for some reason or other they seem to have not long afterwards lost their foremost position: possibly this began with the tremendous defeat the Roman general was able to inflict on them in the year 86, since it possibly gave a rival people that had not taken part in the war with the legions, or only a subordinate part, the start it wanted in the race for the foremost place in independent Britain. What people that was, we know from the subsequent history of Scotland. It was not the Boresti, or the Brythons between the Tay and Forth, for their country had most likely been over-run, and Agricola took hostages from them on his return from the banks of the Tay; but it was the aboriginal inhabitants, including those called Mæatæ in later ages, from the land of the Caledonians of the Tay basin to the Moray Firth. And on this point something may be learnt from Ptolemy's Geography, which was published about thirty-four years after the great defeat of the Caledonians in 86. Beyond the Dumnonii, whose outposts reached the neighbourhood of the northern Almond, and between them and the Moray Firth he mentions only three peoples, and of these far the most widely ranging was that called by him Vacomagi. Their country, so far as can be made out from the data he supplies, extended from the river Ness to the upper course of the Dee and the Don, and from the Moray Firth into the

heart of Perthshire. He ascribes them four towns: the first, called the Winged Camp, is supposed to have been on the promontory of Burghead on the south side of the Moray Firth; the second, called Tuessis, near Boharm, on the Spey; the third, called Tamea, on an island in the Tay called Inchtuthill; and the fourth, called Banatia, at Buchanty, on the Almond. The most eastern point of Scotland is called by Ptolemy Tæxalon, and the people of that district were the Tæxali, the bulk of whose territory is represented by the modern county of Aberdeen: they had a town called Devana, which has been supposed to have been in the strath of the Dee, near the Pass of Ballater, and close to Loch Daven. The rest of the eastern coast Ptolemy leaves to the people whom he calls Vernicomes or Venicōnes, and who were probably the Mæatæ of later authors: their country, at that time would seem to have comprised Mearn, Angus, and the east of Fife; while their town, called Orrea, is supposed to have been somewhere near the meeting of the Almond with the Tay at a place known as Grassy Walls. In the main we take all those peoples to have been non-Celtic, but their territory would seem to have completely included that of the Caledonians whom Agricola fought on the banks of the Tay, with the exception, perhaps, of a part of Athol, which may still have belonged to them. Ptolemy makes the Caledonians extend across the island from the neighbourhood of Loch Long to the Beauly Firth, which was possibly a mistake on his part, the country they most

likely occupied being one which reached from sea to sea, not, however, as he puts it, but from the neighbourhood of Loch Long to the Tay, and down its basin to the North Sea. If we accept his statement, however, that they reached to the Beauly Firth, we have to regard their territory in that district also as probably overlapped by the conquests of the Vacomagi soon after he wrote. The extension of the power of the Vacomagi, not only across the Tay, but to the Brythonic country on the west of it, together with that of the Vernicomes into Fife, was probably of recent date; in any case it only meant that the Goidelic and Brythonic peoples had come under the power of the aboriginal tribes beyond them, and not that they had been displaced by them, at least, to any considerable extent; for the later history of the Pictish kingdom compels us to regard the central region, especially the land of the Caledonians on the western banks of the Tay, as always occupied by the most purely Goidelic race in the North. Among the strategic points of prime importance which the Vacomagi would seem to have won from the latter, may be mentioned that of Dunkeld, rightly termed the gate of the Highlands. The ecclesiastical history of Dunkeld began comparatively late, but the fact by no means proves that it had not been considered a point of great importance from the earliest times. It could hardly, however, have got its present name if it had not once been in the possession of the Caledonians, since in its Gaelic form of Dúncelden or Dúnchallann

it means the town or stronghold of the Calidones or Caledonians.

The non-Celtic tribes may have occupied a subordinate position in the league of the Caledonians against Agricola; but we have supposed them soon afterwards to have taken the lead, and this is quite in keeping with what we read about them in 201, when the Mæatæ, not the Caledonians, threaten hostilities against the Roman province, with the Caledonians preparing to assist them, contrary to promises the latter had made to keep the peace. So when the governor of the province, in consequence of failing to get the necessary reinforcements from the Continent, was obliged to buy peace from the intrepid northerners at a great price, it was the Mæatæ he seems to have had to negotiate with. This clearly suggests that they were then the leading power, and that they had ready access to the frontier of the province. The original strength of the independent aborigines no doubt lay in the country of the Mæatæ and the Tæxali, and the part of the land of the Vacomagi consisting of the district near the Moray Firth, which is still remarkable as one of the most fertile regions of Scotland. So one sees several reasons why Severus, when he arrived in 208, bent on crushing the northern enemies of Rome, does not appear to have stayed his march until he had made his way through the Mæatæ and Tæxali to the shores of the Moray Firth, and why he then seems to have thought it necessary to return through the heart of the Vacomagi's territory.[1]

[1] See Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 87, &c.

Then, when Constantius Chlorus marched beyond the Northern Wall, he is described by a Roman panegyrist as reaching the forests and swamps of the Caledonians and the other Picts; for, though he probably did not get beyond the land of the Verturiones, these words were probably in a manner warranted by the latter being then more or less subject to the Picts. Ammianus, however, writing of the irruption of the northern populations in 364, says that they were then divided into two peoples, the Verturiones, whom we have already found to have been Brythons, and the Dicalidonæ,[1] a name for the Caledonians and non-Celtic peoples acting together and treated as one power. The word, however, is as old, at least, as the time of Ptolemy, who used it, in an older form, as the basis of the adjective which he applies to the ocean on the west of Scotland, when he terms it Δουηκαληδόνιος, or, as it might be transcribed, *Dvicalidonios*. But, to return to the Verturian Brythons, it would seem that their country had not then so completely fallen under the power of the non-Celtic races as that of the Caledonians, or the Goidels of the central part of Cismontane Pictland; but the former had sufficient command of the whole country beyond the Forth to have had ready access to the Roman province. Some of their hordes came down from the direction of Dunkeld with many Caledonians among them, anxious to join in their plundering expeditions; some came from

[1] Amm. Marcell., xxvii. 8.

Mearn and from the land beyond the Mounth, and all met among the Verturian Brythons, who willingly joined them, no doubt, and then steered them clear of the end of the Roman Wall, the Brythonic name of which they then taught them for the first time. And Gildas, though probably possessed of no close acquaintance with the geography of the northern part of the island, cannot be said to have inaptly described the Picts as a transmarine people, emerging from their coracles to attack the province from the north-east.[1] This would be about the time when the Romans left Britain to its fate : after that little or nothing is known about the Picts until the time of St. Columba in the sixth century, when the peoples of the north appear again, occupying the same position, politically speaking, with regard to one another as before, that is to say, the aboriginal race was still dominant. Bæda[2] tells us that they were then ruled by a most powerful king, called Brude mac Maelchon, who was in the ninth year of his reign when Columba came over from Ireland, about the year 563. Adamnan, a successor of St. Columba and his biographer, gives us to understand that the saint, on finding at Brude's court the regulus of the Orkneys, whose hostages were in Brude's hands, asked the latter to commend to the protection of his vassal certain monks of his community, who were then on a voyage in the direction of those islands. So much as to the extent northwards of Brude's

[1] San-Marte's "Nennius and Gildas," p. 143.
[2] "Hist. Eccl.," iii. chap. 4.

power, which had its head-quarters at a place somewhere near the site of Inverness; southwards we know that it was too great for the Dalriad Scots of Cantyre to contend with, a circumstance which probably had a good deal to do from the first with Columba's mission to the king of the Picts. Then there remains Cismontane Pictland, from the Forth to the neighbourhood of Stonehaven. We are nowhere expressly told that this was under the government of Brude, but there is hardly room for doubt. The whole subsequent history of the Pictish kingdom implies it, and especially the fact that Gartnait, who succeeded Brude as king, and that without a revolution so far as one knows, fixed his head-quarters at a place on the Tay, which is supposed to have been Abernethy, whither Columba thought it expedient to follow him. Thus we seem to have to do with a kingdom which had not as yet one fixed capital, and, though the southern part was in the long run certain to win the preference, the fact, that the head-quarters of the king were once in the north, clearly proves that the course of conquest had not been from the Tay northwards rather than from the Ness towards the sunnier south. Further, there is a characteristic of the Pictish kingdom, which very clearly points in the same direction of the Ness, in the neighbourhood of which it always existed, and where it was last heard of: we allude to the so-called Pictish succession, which was vested in the mother, while the father did, so to speak, not count. Among the results of the working of this custom, as observed in the history of the Transmontane Picts,

may be mentioned the fact that the sons of the same woman succeeded one another, and that, when they failed, the sovereignty passed to the sons of a sister; also that no son of a previous king of the Picts is recorded to have ever been made king by them, while his race on the father's side did not matter, there being among the kings whose names are preserved a Welshman and an Angle. Such a law can hardly be regarded as the outcome of any other than a low view of matrimony, which must have at one time prevailed among them, and of a backward state of society, in which a man's paternity was normally uncertain; in fact, it would appear to have been the natural growth of some such a system of polyandry as that so often alluded to by ancient authors, in various startling terms, as existing in Britain. In touching on this custom, we have already hinted that in all probability it can hardly have been Celtic, but that it is rather to be attributed to the descendants of the aborigines of the island. With regard to the Pictish succession this may be asserted with still more confidence; for it may even be doubted that it was at any time Aryan, while it is certain that outside the Pictish range there is in the Celtic world no trace of it known to the history either of Brythons or of Goidels; and so strange did it appear to the Irish, that a legend had been invented by them to account for it some time before Bæda wrote his History, in which he mentions it, to the effect that the Picts, having come in a few ships from Scythia without women, succeeded in persuading the Goidels to give

them wives, on the condition that the Pictish succession should, in case of doubt, be vested in the mother rather than in the father.[1]

From the time of Brude mac Maelchon, who died in 584, down to the beginning of the eighth century, our knowledge of what passed in the Pictish kingdom is very slender and imperfect; and in the first place we are met by the difficulty which attaches to the history of the indefatigable Aedan the Scot, whom Columba had made king of his Dalriad people in Britain. On the whole it appears that he was bent on strengthening the power of the Dalriads by giving them the lead of the Celts opposed to the Angles, and in the next place by compelling the Picts to make concessions to them. This is probably the explanation of the fact, that he is recorded to have made an expedition to the Orkneys in 580 or 581, and also of his part in the war of the Miati, when he seems to have fought a battle in which he lost more than one of his sons and a great number of his men; it was that of Circin, in Mearn, in 596. This was, it may be guessed, a struggle on the part of the Goidels of the Tay, together, possibly, with those of the Brythons of Fortrenn, against the domination of the non-Celtic Picts, and so it must have offered Aedan and his Scots an opportunity of dealing a blow at their power: he may, however, have been at the same time following up personal claims of his own, of which nothing is known, except that his presence in Mearn looks like the first

[1] "Hist. Eccl.," i. 1.

of the series of attempts which eventually made his descendants masters of the kingdom of the Picts. He died in 606, and peace seems to have prevailed for a long time afterwards between the Picts and the Dalriad Scots, of the former of whom we have nothing of importance to say till we come to the great victory of the Northumbrian Oswiu in 655. It is not improbable that they had joined the Celtic hosts who acted with Penda; so, when he was defeated and slain, we find Oswiu shortly afterwards making himself master of the greater part of the Picts, as Bæda tells us, meaning probably the inhabitants of Cismontane Pictland. In 672 the latter were aided in an attempt to throw off the Anglian yoke by a large force from the Picts north of them, but they did not succeed. The Picts then had for king a prince named Brude, son of Bile, who was on the father's side a Welshman from Alclyde, and we hear of him operating in the extreme north, where there would seem to have been a partial revolt: he besieged a place in Caithness in 680, and devastated the Orkneys in 682. His activity had, however, not been confined to the north, as he laid siege to Dunnottar, in Mearn, in 681, where he was probably engaged against the Angles; then we read of him meeting with success in Fortrenn in 683, when he appears termed king of the Brythons of that region. At length Ecgfrith, who found the Picts assisted by the Dalriad Scots, and probably suspected that they derived aid from Ireland, sent an army across, which cruelly ravaged the Irish coast from Dublin to Drogheda in 684, and in the following year

he led an army in person to the country of the Cismontane Picts. The result was the battle of Dún Nechtain, supposed to be Dunnichen, in Forfarshire, in which he was slain, with nearly all his army; and so ended the Anglian rule over the region beyond the Forth. Brude lived till the year 693, and the next Pictish king of any note was Nechtan, who began to reign in 706, and brought the ecclesiastical affairs of his kingdom into great prominence. We left Columba among the Cismontane Picts, at the head-quarters of Gartnait, by whom he is said to have been so effectually supported as to silence all opposition among the tribes on the banks of the Tay.[1] What the nature of that opposition may have been we are not told; probably it arose not more from those who were still pagans than from men who mixed paganism with Christianity. In so far as the Goidelic and Goidelicizing people of that region were Christians at all, their religious ideas had been derived from the teaching of such previous missionaries as Nynias or Ninnian, who laboured also among the Picts of Galloway so early as the year 397; and even when Columba was busy among the Picts on the Tay his contemporary and friend, Kentigern appears to have gone on a mission beyond the Mounth; and that Welsh missionaries had carried on a work of a lasting nature among the Transmontane Picts is proved by a group of dedications in the upper valley of the Dee, among which

[1] See O'Beirne Crowe's "Amra Coluimcille" (Dublin, 1871), pp. 29, 63, with Skene's remarks on the same in his "Celtic Scotland," ii. pp. 136, 137.

are found Kentigern's own name and that of Ffinan, whose church in Anglesey is called Llanffinan, while that of his in Scotland gave its name to Lumphanan, a place of some note in Pictish history. As to Columba, his successors continued his work, and they had no doubt by the eighth century gained great influence in the kingdom; but it was a Scottish church under the rule of the abbots of Iona, and it probably succeeded to a considerably less degree among the Brythons of Fortrenn than in other parts of Cismontane Pictland, among the Goidelic populations. Of the latter, those who were most devoted to it were probably the people near the Tay, where the founder himself had laboured under royal protection. The Columban Church had also done a great work in Northumbria, but it had come to an end there in 664, when the Angles conformed to Rome. The same thing was now threatening it in Pictland, under Nechtan.[1] Now Nechtan was at peace with the Angles of Northumbria, and, with their example before his eyes, he ordered the observance of Easter and the tonsure of the clergy to be regulated by the then practice of the Church of Rome. This took place in the year 710 at Scone, which is supposed to have by this time become the capital and possessed of the coronation stone now at Westminster. The king had the

[1] As to this name it is to be remarked that it was in Welsh Neithon, written Naiton by Bæda; for it is characteristic of the mixture of races among the Picts, that the names of some of their kings are handed down to us in a Goidelic form, and some in a Brythonic one.

assistance of Anglian priests to carry out the change, and the Columban clergy refusing to obey were expelled in 717, when they crossed Drumalban to the country of their Scottish kinsmen. It is highly probable, however, that they had many powerful friends among Nechtan's subjects who sympathized with them and began to oppose him. At any rate we find the king himself becoming a cleric in 724, possibly not altogether from choice, as he is found to have been succeeded by a king called Drust, who was supported by a party opposed to Nechtan. Both Nechtan and Drust were, we think, non-Celtic Picts; but the former seems to have derived his principal support from the country beyond the mountains, where the expulsion of the Columban clergy was perhaps less keenly felt, while the latter appears more identified with the Cismontane Picts of Angus and Mearn. The quarrel between the Picts is noteworthy as the prelude to the fall of the power of the aboriginal race in Cismontane Pictland, and the signal for the two Celtic peoples to compete for the succession.

The leading events in point were, briefly speaking, the following:[1]—In 725, Nechtan's adherents took a son of Drust prisoner, which was avenged by Drust putting Nechtan in chains in the year following. Then comes a revolution and drives Drust from his throne, which is seized by a king called Alpin, in

[1] We have in the main followed Mr. Skene in his "Celtic Scotland," vol. i. chaps. 6, 7, and part of the succeeding one.

Welsh, Elphin. On his father's side he was a great-grandson of Domnall Brecc, the grandson of Aedan, king of the Dalriad Scots of Argyle; but his name, which is possibly not Celtic, suggests that his mother was of the royal family of the Picts. At the same time that he ousted Drust, his brother Eochaid secured the throne of the Dalriad Scots for himself; but in Cismontane Pictland Nechtan again emerges into secular life to win back his throne, and the complication goes on growing by the coming forward of another competitor for power in the person of Aengus or Angus, son of Fergus, or, as he was called by his Brythonic subjects, the men of Fortrenn, Ungust, son of Wurgust. He was undoubtedly a Brython, while Alpin may be surmised to have identified himself with the Caledonians or Goidelic peoples of the central part of Cismontane Pictland, and to have possessed whatever claims to power among them, if any, Aedan, the Dalriad Scot, had long before him. The first encounter was in 728, between Ungust and Alpin, at a place not far from the meeting of the Earn and the Tay, where Ungust won the day and possession of the whole district west of the latter river. Alpin and his Goidels were afterwards totally defeated by Nechtan at Scone, when the latter found himself again king; but in 729 a battle took place between the hosts of Ungust and Nechtan, when the latter suffered so great a defeat that Ungust then became king of the Picts. The place where this happened being on the banks of a loch formed by the waters of the Spey indicates that Nechtan counted on the men of that district as his most faithful sub-

jects. The next was a battle between Ungust and Drust, in which Ungust was again victorious, and Drust killed. Then Ungust's son, Brude, defeated Talargan, son of Congus, one of the leaders of Alpin's party, and forced him to flee to the Scots in Argyle. But Dungal, king of the Dalriad Scots, happening to find Brude in a church on Tory Island, near the coast of Donegal, violated his sanctuary and made him prisoner, which drew on the Scots an invasion by Ungust, who put Talargan to death, and forced Dungal to flee, wounded, to Ireland. Two years later, in 736, Ungust devastated the whole country of the Scots, destroyed their capital, together with other places, and made several of their princes prisoners. Such were the straits to which the Scots were brought that Alpin, who had fought against Ungust and Nechtan in Pictland, was forced to lead the portion of the nation of the Scots, of which he was the head, into the land of the Picts of Manaw, with a view probably of drawing Ungust away from Dalriada. But he was met by Talargan, brother to Ungust, at the head of the men of Fortrenn and there defeated with a heavy loss to his forces, at a place near the Avon. It is not very clear what the exact meaning may have been of Alpin and his Scots bursting forth into Manaw; but it has been supposed that Ungust, as king of the Picts beyond the Forth, had stirred the Picts of Manaw and Galloway to revolt against the Angles of Northumbria, whose king, Eadberht, is mentioned as engaged in war with the Picts in 740, when the king of Mercia took advan-

tage of his absence to lay waste a part of his kingdom; so it may be that the Scots had been encouraged by the Northumbrians to invade Manaw by a promise of their co-operating with them. After his defeat, Alpin was king of the Scots for four years, which brings us to 740, when, after leading his men into Galloway and completely devastating it, he there met with his death in the neighbourhood of Loch Ryan, in 741. Ungust then completed the crushing of the Scottish kingdom, and the country thenceforth formed a dependency of the Picts. The part of the Scots now seems to have devolved on the Cumbrians of Strathclyde, which appears to require as its explanation that we should suppose them to have arrayed themselves on the side of the Scots against the Picts and the Angles, who were now at one with the Picts. It may be that the Cumbrians had also received among them the remains of the army of the Dalriad Scots when they were finally dispersed, and that they found directed against them the power of Talargan, Ungust's brother, on the southern coast of the Firth of Forth. At any rate, we read of a battle in 744 between the Picts of Galloway and the Cumbrians; and the latter suffered a combined attack by Ungust and Eadberht, which led, in 750, to the annexation to Galloway of a part of their territory and to a battle between them—that is to say, between the Cumbrians and the Picts of Manaw under the same leader who defeated Alpin, namely, Talargan, who seems to have been the king of those Picts. The Cumbrians had the best of it, and Talargan was killed; but in 756 the armies of Ungust

and of the Angles made for Alclyde, and the Cumbrians had to submit to the yoke of the Angles. In the meantime those who had supported Nechtan seem to have been gathering strength, and Ungust had to contend with a king, called Brude mac Maelchon, a namesake of Columba's contemporary, whose lineal representative, according to the Pictish law of succession, he may be supposed to have been, at the same time that he was probably the heir to Nechtan's claims; but he also was unsuccessful, and fell in a battle against Ungust, in Mearn, in 752. The death of the latter is not recorded as taking place till the year 761, after a reign of about thirty years, in the course of which he had allowed the monastery of Cennrigmonaid or Kilrymont—that is to say, St. Andrew's—to be founded: the death of its first abbot is recorded under the year 747.

Ungust was succeeded by his brother Brude, king of Fortrenn, who died in the year 763. Then came Cinaeth, the son of Wredech, who had in 768 to give battle in Fortrenn to Aed Finn or the White, neither of whom appears from his name to have been a Brython. Most likely Aed was a Dalriad Scot reviving the claims of Alpin, and trying to rebuild the kingdom of the Scots in Argyle; but nothing seems to have come of it, and Cinaeth reigned over the Picts until his death in 775. He was followed by Alpin, who was probably his brother: he died in 780, and during his reign Aed Finn also died in the year 778. At the death of Alpin, Talargan, a son of Ungust, ascended the throne; but this was in violation of the law of Pictish

succession, which was probably foreign to the habits of the Brythons, to whom he belonged. So we find besides him another king, whose name was Drust, and whose strength presumably lay among the Transmontane Picts that clung to the female succession. He survived Talargan, who was slain in 782 and is described by one chronicler as king of the Picts this side of the Mounth. Drust, who reigned, no doubt, beyond it among the other Picts was undoubtedly not a Brython, and was succeeded by one who seems to have been a Goidel—Conall, son of Tadg, who was attacked in 789, or the year after, by the Brythonic king of Fortrenn, whose name was Constantine, son of Ungust. The latter, succeeding, became king of the Picts, while Conall fled to Argyle, where he tried to establish himself; but in 807 Constantine asserted his sway over that region. And here it may be added that the hopes of restoring the Scottish kingdom of the Dalriads in Cantyre or Argyle had been fast vanishing: Fergus, the brother of Aed Finn, had died in 781, while three years later, in 784, the bones of the founders, the Sons of Erc, were carried away from Iona to be buried with those of the kings of Ulster at Taillten in Meath; and now the Scandinavian pagans made their appearance on the coasts of the British Isles in 793, which was followed by such terrible devastation, that the Columban community of Iona, which was supreme over the Columban churches, both in Britain and Ireland, betook itself partly to Kells, in Meath, and partly to Dunkeld, on the Tay, where

Constantine built a church for them. He died in 820, and was followed by his brother Ungust, who had rule underd him for some years over the province consisting of the old kingdom of the Dalriad Scots of Argyle. He died in 834, and was succeeded, in violation of the Pictish rule, by the son of a previous king, namely Drust, son of Constantine: so we find another king reigning at the same time with him, supported probably by the Transmontane Picts, as usual with them in such cases: his name was Talargan son, of Wthol. They reigned three years, but a competitor arose in the person of Alpin, a descendant probably of the previous Alpin, and the champion of the claims of the same house. He was victorious in a battle in 834, but before the end of the year he was defeated and slain; and tradition locates the contest in the Carse of Gowrie and Fife. After Drust and Talargan came Uven or Owen, son of Ungust, who had ruled for thirteen years over the Scots of Argyle. As he was the son of a previous king, he probably reigned only over the Cismontane Picts, and that only for three years, from 836 to 839; for now there came a great change over the affairs of Pictland, which was led in by the Danes, who had been engaged in plundering Leinster and parts of Ulster. They now crossed to the north of Britain, and succeeded in giving the men of Fortrenn a battle, in which the latter suffered a crushing defeat. The man who reaped the advantages of this expedition, and probably the one who had planned it, was the son of Alpin, the Scot defeated and slain in 834:

his name was Cinaeth or Kenneth, and he is usually known as Kenneth mac Alpin. He followed up the defeat of the men of Fortrenn with such success that he soon became master of the Dalriad province in Argyle, where probably there were still many of his Scottish kinsmen, and after a few years' struggle he made himself king of the Picts, his first year being reckoned to have been 844. He died in 860, leaving his family firmly established in possession of the kingdom.

One may say, that for more than one hundred years, beginning with the victory of Ungust in 728, no Goidel had before been able to possess himself for any great length of time of the kingdom of Scone, as that of the Picts is sometimes called; so that Kenneth's reign may be said to have commenced a new era, that of the supremacy, not so much of the Scots as of the Goidels generally over the Brythonic populations and the aboriginal peoples of the country. The changes which accompanied this revolution were important—Kenneth completed, among other things, the reinstating of the Columban clergy. It had been begun by Constantine when he gave Dunkeld to the family of Iona, but now a church was built there for the relics of the founder, St. Columba; and the abbot of Dunkeld was placed at the head of the Northern Church. The first of that description is styled bishop of Fortrenn and abbot of Dunkeld, and is recorded as dying in the year 865. All this had, no doubt, been well earned by the Columban clergy, as they may be supposed to have been active supporters of the cause

of his family from the time of the earlier Alpin to his own triumph. Lastly, it may be mentioned, that, whereas the kings of Fortrenn, who were also over-kings of the Picts, had usually been on good terms with the Angles of Northumbria from the time Ungust made peace with Eadberht, Kenneth is described as repeatedly invading Saxony, which meant the territory of the Angles, where he burned Dunbar and Melrose. As to his other wars, we read of the Brythons, probably the Cumbrians of Strathclyde, destroying Dunblane, and of the Danes devastating Pictland as far as Dunkeld. Before leaving this reign it may be added that writers of a subsequent period. term Kenneth the first king of Scots who reigned over Pictland, and his father Alpin is likewise called by them king of Scots. So historians have set themselves the task of discovering whence the said Scots came, and have guessed that there was a general rising everywhere of the remains of the Dalriad Scots in favour of Kenneth. It must be readily granted that he was on the father's side a Dalriad Scot entitled to be king of the Scots in the narrower sense of the word, and that some of them were probably to be found both in their old territory and elsewhere, who may have readily joined him. But surely the Scots sought for, were always in the heart of Pictland on the banks of the Tay, the warmest adherents of the Columban Church, and the lineal descendants of the men who had undergone defeat with the earlier Alpin in 728. We hear of Kenneth and Alpin's Scots mostly because writers who used

the Latin language called them *Scotti*. The proper rendering of the latter, however, is Gaels or Goidels, and the chronicles not written in Latin call them such, as does also the Pictish chronicle, though written in Latin, when it speaks of *Goedeli* instead of *Scotti*, in mentioning the succession established by them in the person of Kenneth's brother.[1] The accession of this family means, in a word, the supremacy of the Caledonian Goidels over the other nations of Pictland, the Verturian Brythons, and the non-Celtic Picts, the former of whom now began rapidly to disappear, as a people, from history.

Kenneth was succeeded, not by his son, but by his brother Domnall or Donald, which was in accordance with the Celtic rule of succession known by the name of tanistry, whereby Kenneth's son only came in after his father's brother. The establishment of this law is spoken of in the Pictish chronicle as first effected in the time of Donald, and it is referred to in the passage already mentioned as the rights and laws of the kingdom of Aed, in allusion to the Dalriad Scot of that name who died in 778, after trying to set up the old kingdom of the Scots Argyle. Donald's reign was a short one, as we find that Constantine, son of Kenneth, began to reign in 863. Passing by quarrels with the Cumbrians, and struggles with the Norsemen, we find Constantine defeated by the Danes in a great battle in which he fell in the year 876, together with a great many of

[1] Skene's "Chronicles of the Picts and Scots," p. 8.

those who were most loyal to his house. He was succeeded by his brother Aed who died in 878, killed, it is said, by his own people, a statement which introduces a contest for the throne, in which the Brythonic element won again for a time a kind of victory. This took place in the person of Eochaid, son of Rhun, king of Alclyde, for the mother of Eochaid—his name is not recorded in its Brythonic form—was sister to Constantine and daughter to Kenneth; but with him was associated, as his tutor and governor, a man whose name was Girg, given also as Girc, Grig, Girig, and Ciric. Whether he ever filled the office of king tradition does not clearly state, but it is noteworthy that it connects him with the district of Mearn, while Eochaid was probably supported by the Brythons of Fortrenn. Bernicia appears to have been now overrun by the Picts and the monastery of Lindisfarne plundered. Girg is said to have reannexed to the kingdom of Strathclyde the Cumbrian district south of the Solway, and also to have liberated the Picts of Galloway from the yoke of the Angles; but none of these things are authenticated, though they may well have taken place at this time, as Northumbria, after Eadberht ceased to reign in 758, and his son and successor shortly fell at the hands of his own people, passed out of the power of Ida's family into confusion, which was afterwards grievously deepened by the Danes. As to the Pictish kingdom, we seem to have now to do with a coalition against the dynasty of Kenneth mac Alpin, and the real relation in which Girg probably stood to Eochaid was that of a

non-Celtic king of Mæatic descent, wielding the power of the Pictish nation, with Eochaid ruling among the Brythons of Fortrenn more or less subject to him. Lastly, these two kings of Pictland are represented as trying to strengthen their position by conciliating the Scottish Church, which they freed from the various exactions and services to which it had till then been liable. Even this, however, did not avail them against the Scottish, or, more accurately speaking, the Goidelic party, and they were expelled in 889, when Donald, son of Constantine, became king, and the succession was firmly established in the male line of Kenneth mac Alpin. Kenneth and his successors had hitherto been called kings of the Picts, while the country over which they ruled was the kingdom of Scone, or else the land of the Picts, for which a Latin name, *Pictavia*, was invented by the chroniclers; but in Donald's time, or not long after, this seems to have begun to get out of fashion, and we find one chronicle[1] in recording his death, which took place in the year 900, calling him king of Alban.

The next king was Constantine, son of Aed, who was brother to Donald's father. He reigned forty years, during which he tried to consolidate his kingdom by putting the different churches on a footing of equality as to their privileges and rights, at the same time that an end was made of the supremacy of Dunkeld, while the bishop of Kilrymont or St. Andrews came to be called the bishop of Alban. Now at length

[1] The Annals of Inisfallen, Skene's "Chr. of the Picts and Scots," p. 169.

Constantine's subjects began to get some rest from the Norsemen and the Danes; but they were destined ere long to have to fight with the king of England, for as soon as Æthelstán, who began to reign in 925, found himself firmly established on his throne, he set about annexing Northumbria to his other provinces, and in 926 he got possession of Deira, whence he expelled the Danish prince who had the upper hand there. The latter sought the alliance of Constantine; but Æthelstán anticipated this by invading Alban by land and sea in the year 933, when his land forces are said to have made their way so far north as Dunnottar in Mearn and another place called Wertermor, whereby was probably meant the plain of Fortrenn. Thus they would seem to have ravaged two of the most important provinces of Alban; but three years later Constantine and his men, together with the Cumbrians and the Danes from different parts of Britain and Ireland, met in the north of England at a place called Brunnanburh, and fought a great battle with Æthelstán in 937, when they were utterly defeated by the English. Æthelstán died in 940, leaving his throne to his son Eadmund, and Constantine was succeeded in 942 by Maelcoluim or Malcolm, son of Donald. He began his reign by trying to assert his power over the peoples beyond the river Spey, where considerable portions of the country had long been subject to the Norsemen. But a more important event was yet to come: Northumbria had for some time been in the power of Danish princes, who were kings of Dublin, and

in the habit of deriving assistance from their kinsmen in Ireland. They had access to Northumbria through the country of the Cumbrians, who seem to have only been too willing to help them against the Angles, as were also probably the Picts of Galloway. So Eadmund harried Cumbria in 945, and gave it together with Galloway to Malcolm, on the understanding that he, who was connected by marriage with Anlaf Cuaran, the most irrepressible of the Danish wikings who troubled the country at this time, should give assistance to the English by land and sea against the Danes. In 946 Eadmund was succeeded by Eadred, who proceeded to reduce Northumbria under his power; and, after various contests in that kingdom, we read of it accepting Eadred's rule in 954, who then makes it an earldom. As to its extent towards the north, the Pictish Chronicle tells us that, in the reign of Indulph over Alban, from 954 to 962, the English gave Edinburgh up to him, so that he now ruled so far south on the eastern side of the island as the Lothian river Esk. But, instead of one earldom of Northumbria, Bernicia was made soon after 966 into an earldom and Deira into another. Then Kenneth, son of Malcolm, who was king of Alban from 971 to 995, among the first things he did, invaded the more northern earldom so far as the confines of Deira: this he repeated the year after, carrying the earl away as his prisoner; and it has been asserted that Eadgar, the king of England, gave a great part of Bernicia to the king of Alban as a fief of his crown. But of this there is no proof, and the

only fact which is pretty clear is, that those northern kings who were in the habit of invading it, must have believed that they had some sort of hereditary right to it, the grounds of which are no longer known. In the year 1000 Æthelred, king of England, ravaged the country of the Cumbrians, but did not succeed in wresting it from the king of Alban; and we find them giving valuable aid to Malcolm, son of Kenneth, who reigned from 1005 to 1034, and continued his family's practice of invading Bernicia. This he did first in 1006, when he laid siege to Durham, and suffered a serious defeat. But now a great event occurred in the year 1014, the battle of Clontarf, near Dublin, where the Danes of Ireland, and their allies from Britain and the smaller islands, met with a crushing defeat. Their power was considerably reduced in consequence not only in Ireland but in Britain also; and Malcolm was at length able to extend his sway towards the north and north-west of Scotland. So in 1018 he succeeded, with the aid of the Cumbrians, in bringing to the field such a force for the invasion of Bernicia, that he gave battle to the Northumbrians at Carham, near the Tweed, and defeated them so completely that all the land of the Angles north of that river was ceded to him, and it became for the first time the boundary between England and the northern kingdom. For this province he is said to have done homage in 1031 to Cnut, the king of England. Malcolm died in 1034, and with him died the last male descendant of Kenneth mac Alpin.

The kingdom then devolved on Donnchad or Dun can, the son of a daughter of Malcolm. He was an unfortunate prince, whose troubles began with an attempt by the earl of Bernicia to recover the district ceded by his predecessor to Duncan's grandfather, and by his invading Cumbria in the year 1038, which induced Duncan to lead a large army to lay siege to Durham, where he met with a disastrous defeat. He was still more unlucky beyond the Forth, where, however, next to nothing is known of his history. Since writers such as Simeon of Durham altogether ignore him as king of Alban, it may be doubted that he ever possessed much real power there; he died, probably in the attempt to acquire it, being slain in the year 1040 by Macbeth, the head of the Transmontane Picts, who bore the title of mórmaer or grand steward of Moray. As the latter is said to have been Duncan's general, it would seem that he had tried to conciliate him, and to attach to himself one who was practically independent of him. The circumstances under which Macbeth slew Duncan are unknown; but, as it appears to have happened at a place near Elgin, it may be regarded as the outcome of an attempt on Duncan's part to reduce him to submission: the result was that Macbeth mounted the throne of Alban and occupied it for no less than 17 years. For a long time the Norsemen had been in possession, not only of the Orkneys and Shetlands, but also of the north and west of the mainland; the most powerful of them at this time was one Thorfinn, who was on the

mother's side, like Duncan, a grandson of Malcolm, from whom he had received the title of earl when he was as yet very young. Now one of the first things Macbeth did was to try to force Thorfinn to pay tribute to him as king, and an old Norse story called the Jarla Saga,[1] which makes no allusion to Duncan, but calls Macbeth Karl Hundason, or Karl Hound's-Son, gives an account of the war which ensued. Not only did Macbeth's repeated attempts to conquer Thorfinn completely fail, but the latter carried the war into Moray, nor did he leave off before he had cruelly ravaged the country so far as Fife, when Macbeth may be supposed to have been obliged to come to terms with him. The sagas magnify Thorfinn's power, and speak of his possessing no less than nine earldoms in Scotland; but the details they give go to show, that he had nothing much to do after this with Macbeth's kingdom, at least until the latter wanted his aid, and that he settled down to the ordinary life of a wiking, who spent his winters in the Orkneys, and his summers in harrying the western coast of England, together with Wales and Ireland. So not only did Thorfinn continue at peace with Macbeth, but he was induced by the latter to give him very valuable aid in resisting the attack which the party of Malcolm, son of Duncan, were preparing; this resulted in a great battle in 1054, but it fell short of dislodging Macbeth,

[1] A critical edition of this and the other Orkney Sagas, prepared by Dr. Vigfusson for the Master of the Rolls, has been in type since 1875, but it is not yet published: thanks to the kindness of a friend, the author has had the use of the text.

who seems to have had the united support of the people of Alban, and it was only in 1057 that Malcolm, after having been in possession for some time of the country south of the Forth, was able to drive him over the Mounth and to slay him in battle at Lumphanan in Marr, or the district between the Dee and the Don. At Macbeth's death, the prince who should be mórmaer of Moray was set up as his successor, but he was killed after a few months by Malcolm at Essy in Strathbolgy, on the north-west boundary of the present county of Aberdeen.

Macbeth was not of the family of Kenneth mac Alpin, but his wife was; for she belonged to a branch of it, the head of which it had been thought expedient by Duncan's grandfather to kill, lest he might some day stand in the former's way to the throne; and that Macbeth made the best of his wife's pedigree appears probable from the fact, that, in a grant[1] of land by him and his wife to the Church, they are respectively entitled king and queen of Scots. But the descent of Macbeth's wife, and the lack of all historical proofs that he was a worse tyrant than the other princes of his time, do not suffice to remove the difficulty which historians have found in understanding how a usurper was allowed to seat himself so readily and so firmly on Duncan's throne. This is, however, a difficulty which is in a great measure of their own creating, as Macbeth was not a mere usurper; and he himself probably considered that he inherited the rights of Brude mac Maelchon

[1] "Registr. Prior. S. Andree," p. 114, Skene's "Celtic Scot.," i. p. 406, and Reeves's "Culdees," pp. 125, 126.

and of Nechtan to the throne, a right which was even of older date than that of Kenneth mac Alpin. In the interval of nearly 200 years, from the beginning of Kenneth's reign to the death of Duncan, it is not improbable that the two Celtic races in Cismontane Alban had been rapidly amalgamating together: in fact, we read but little about the men of Fortrenn giving any trouble to the kings of Alban after their great defeat by the Danes and Kenneth's accession; and it is remarkable that the crozier of St. Columba, which served the Goidels as their standard, is recorded to have been borne before the men of Fortrenn in a battle in which they prayed for his aid against the Danes in Strathearn and vanquished them. This was in the year 904, in the reign of that Constantine who undertook to put the churches of different origins on a footing of equality within his kingdom. By the time of Macbeth, the Goidels and Brythons of Alban might, perhaps, practically be treated all alike as Goidels. But not so the non-Celtic tribes; for, though the Transmontane Picts had been able to rule down to the Forth, the Celtic kings on the banks of the Tay were scarcely ever able to exercise much power beyond the mountain barriers, and, though the Goidelic language may have been steadily gaining ground among the nearest non-Celtic tribes, the process of amalgamating the two races must have been a comparatively slow and tedious one. This is fully borne out by what we read; for every now and then one finds the men of Mearn acting as it were in the van-

guard of the Transmontane Picts against the princes of the Kenneth dynasty, who quartered themselves amongst them. Thus it was the former, probably, that put forward Girg in 878 and supported him; then Donald, son of Constantine, falls at Dunnottar in their country, in the year 900, though we are not expressly told that they killed him; but Malcolm, son of Donald, is distinctly stated in the Pictish Chronicle to have been slain by the men of Mearn at Fetteresso, in their country, in 954. They had also probably something to do with the death of Kenneth, son of Malcolm, which took place at Fettercairn, in Mearn, in 995, through the treachery, we are told, of Finnola, daughter of Cunchar, earl of the province of Angus: she is made to appear as the avenger of her only son, killed by Kenneth, at Dunsinnan, in the range of the Sidlaw Hills. Nor is it improbable that the men of Mearn and the other Picts took a leading part in the wars of succession of which we have glimpses in the year 997, when Constantine, son of Culen, was killed after he had reigned only three years; and in 1004, when another king of Alban, Kenneth, son of Dub, was slain. The chief of one branch of the Kenneth dynasty seems to have usually fixed himself in Fortrenn, while the other is repeatedly identified with Mearn, which he most likely tried to treat as Fortrenn had been; but here the resistance was prolonged, probably owing to the aid the inhabitants derived from the Picts in their background. In what light the dominant race regarded both Fortrenn and Mearn may be seen from certain

Irish legends calling them its sword-land,[1] a term applied also in the Pictish Chronicle to Mearn. When at length we hear no more of the men of Mearn, the antagonism probably continued between the people of Cismontane Alban and those beyond the Mounth; but it was no doubt a much more languid antagonism, as the authority of the kings reigning over the former was seldom able to make itself appear much more than a name in the north, which may have required of the most powerful of the northern Picts that he should content himself with the title of mórmaer or grand steward. But several of Macbeth's immediate predecessors seem to have gone beyond this, and to have claimed to be independent of the king reigning in Cismontane Alban: thus Finlaig, who was killed in 1020, is called by one chronicler king of Alban;[2] while another,[2] who died in 1029, is so called by another chronicler. Further, when Cnut received the homage of Malcolm, he obtained that also of the wiking at the head of a petty kingdom in Argyle, and of a prince, whose name is given in the Saxon Chronicle as Mælbæthe or Mealbæaðe, which has been emendated by some into Macbeth. If, however, it is to be altered, it is only into Maelbeth, a real name of the same class as the former, and borne probably by a predecessor of Macbeth's. But, whichever of the two he was, he

[1] See Skene's "Chr. of the Picts and Scots," pp. 10, 319, 329.

[2] See the Annals of Ulster and Tigernach respectively in Skene's "Chr. of the Picts and Scots," pp. 368, 377.

appears to have regarded himself, and to have been regarded by the king of England, as independent of Malcolm; and his dominions may be supposed to have taken in all Transmontane Alban except a part of Argyle, and a portion of the north which was, together with the Orkneys, doubtless in the power of the Norsemen at that time. So, apart from the relations in which Macbeth personally stood to Duncan, and of which we know next to nothing, his becoming king in his stead was not so much an act of usurpation as a forcible assertion for a time, and that not a very short one either, of the supremacy of his people over those of Cismontane Alban. Of course the death of Macbeth and his unfortunate successor, Lulach, did not put an end to the aspirations of the northern Picts; for a time it is true we hear little of them, but in the reign of King David, Angus, the son of Lulach's daughter, who had, according to the Pictish law of succession, become mórmaer of Moray, made a formidable attempt to secure the throne of Scotland, though it ended in his defeat and death in the year 1130. Still later in the reign of David's grandson, Malcolm, we read of severe measures being taken in 1160 to reduce to quietness the people of Moray, and of grants of their lands being made by the king to the barons by whom he surrounded himself.

The amalgamation already indicated of the Celtic peoples of Alban during the period of nearly two centuries from the accession of Kenneth mac Alpin to that of Macbeth must have added to the importance of the Goidelic element in the kingdom; so

its heads now began to have in Latin the title of king of *Scotia* and of *rex Scottorum*, that is to say, king of Goidels, though it is oftener rendered by the ambiguous phrase of king of Scots. This, it need hardly be said, had little to do with the connexion of Kenneth and his ancestors with the Dalriad Scots, as the fashion seems to have risen only in the tenth century, and was followed in the ensuing one, among others, by Macbeth. But at the same time that the name of Goidel extended itself to all the Celts of Cismontane Alban and the province of Dalriada in Argyle, the term Cruithnig, or Picts, may be supposed to have gained in definiteness of meaning by becoming more closely identified with the Transmontane Picts, who probably had the most right to it from the first. To what extent Goidels had intermixed with these descendants of the neolithic inhabitants of Britain it is impossible to say; nor is one as yet able to trace in Scotch topography the retreat, step by step, of their language, as it remains an unknown tongue. It is found, however, that in Columba's time there were men of rank on the mainland opposite the island of Skye, with whom he could not converse in Goidelic, as there were also peasants of the same description in the neighbourhood of King Brude's head-quarters near the river Ness, while there is no hint that the saint found any linguistic difficulty in making his way at that monarch's court. So it would seem that Goidelic was already asserting itself in that district, and that it was not very long before it had

made much progress in the region east of the Ness, though the aboriginal language may be supposed not to have died out of the country for some time after the Danes and the Norsemen began to plunder these islands. That the Goidelic idiom vigorously spread itself in all directions under the Kenneth dynasty might be expected from the nature of the case; and as against the Brythonic dialects this is amply borne out by the topography of Scotland. A few instances, some of which will bring us south of the Forth, will suffice to show the kind of evidence they afford. Thus Verturiones was probably the traditional form of a Brythonic word, but the later one of Fortrenn is Goidelic, and one does not even know what the later Brythonic form may have exactly been, though we seem to have traces of it in the *Wertermorum*, already cited from the pen of Simeon of Durham,[1] and possibly in the modern name of Auchter*ardar* in Strathearn. Then Bæda, who records the Brythonic forms of the name of the Forth terminus of the Northern Wall as being then Peanfahel, knows nothing of the purely Goidelic Kinneil authenticated later. Next may be mentioned the name of Kentigern, which would be pronounced by his kinsmen in his time Cunotigernos or the like, in the first part of which the Goidels discerned the word for hound and called the saint *In Glas Chú* or The Grey Hound;[2] so though he was a Brython, and the place he settled at finally was in the land of the Cumbrians, it

[1] See the "Mon. Hist. Brit.," p. 686, C., and note *k*.

[2] Pinkerton's "Vitæ Sanctorum Scotiæ," pp. 195–297.

is now known only by his Goidelic name as Glasgow. Lastly may be mentioned the name of the river Nith, called in Ptolemy's Geography *Novios*, which, if Celtic, was the word for new in all the dialects; but the Brythons treated it as Novïos or Novijos, and eventually made it into the Welsh *newydd*, new; and it is from some stage of this last that *Nith* was got; but this could only happen through the medium of men who spoke Goidelic. In this instance they were probably the Picts of Galloway, but the same thing appears to have happened north of the Forth in the name of a stream flowing into Largo Bay in Fifeshire, called Newburn, but which is said to have been formerly known as Nithbren.[1]

So much of the triumph of the Goidelic element in the North. A word must now be said of its ultimate defeat and retreat to the Highlands. After the crown came again into the possession of Kenneth mac Alpin's descendants at Macbeth's death, it remained with them until the direct line became extinct by the death of the Maid of Norway in 1290, when it proved the bone of contention between the king of England and the Norman barons connected in the female line with the house of Kenneth—Norman barons, we have said, for the dynasty had long since become English, and surrounded itself with a host of Normans, Angles, and Flemings. This may be said to have begun when Malcolm married Margaret, sister of Eadgar Ætheling, the son

[1] See Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 133.

and heir of the English king Eadmund, after he had been obliged to flee with his mother and sisters to Scotland in 1068; it went on increasing until the court in the time of David, who began to reign in 1124 after being educated in England in all the ways of the Normans, was filled with his Anglian and Norman vassals. He is accordingly regarded as the first wholly feudal king of Scotland, and the growth of feudalism went on at the expense of the power and influence of the Celtic princes, who saw themselves snubbed and crowded out to make room for the king's barons, who had grants made to them of land here and there wherever it was worth having. The outcome was a deep-seated discontent, which every now and then burst into a flame of open revolt on the part of the rightful owners of the soil, which smouldered long afterwards as the well-known hatred of the clans of the Highlands for the farmers of the Lowlands, of the Gael, as Sir Walter Scott puts it, for the stranger and the Saxon, who was regarded as having reft the native of the land which was his birthright. It was doubtless the force that finally welded Celts and non-Celts together into one people, known to us in modern times as the Gaels of the Highlands and Islands of Scotland. As to the language, we read, that when Margaret, in 1074, called a council to inquire into the abuses which had crept into the Church, Gaelic was the only language the clergy could speak, so that King Malcolm, her husband, acted as her interpreter. But the predominance of the Celtic element seems to have passed away with

the reign of Donald Bán, who, though brother to Malcolm, allowed the Scots, when they made him king in 1093, to drive out all the English whom his brother had introduced; but after some intervals of power he was defeated in 1097 by Malcolm and Margaret's son, Eadgar, under whom, together with his brothers, the English element was much more than reinstated, as every encouragement was held forth by them and their feudal successors to Englishmen, Flemings, and Normans to settle in Scotland. At the time, however, of the War of Independence, Gaelic appears to have still reached down to Stirling and Perth, to the Ochil and Sidlaw Hills, while north of the Tay it had as yet yielded to English or Broad Scotch only a very narrow strip along the coast.

One of the lessons of this chapter is that the Goidel, where he owned a fairly fertile country, as in the neighbourhood of the Tay, showed that he was not wanting in genius for political organization; and the history of the kingdom of Scotland, as modelled by Kenneth mac Alpin and his descendants, warns one not to give ear to the spirit of race-weighing and race-damning criticism that jauntily discovers, in what it fancies the character of a nation, the reasons why it has not achieved results never fairly placed within its reach by the accidents either of geography or history. Considering, also, how little the general tenor of recent study has taught one to expect from the non-Aryan races of Europe, it is worth while recalling the testimony which history bears to the political capacity of the aboriginal in-

habitants of Britain, in that part of it where they were able to hold their own; for the kingdom which Kenneth mac Alpin wrested from the Brythons of Fortrenn was, so far as can be gathered, neither Celtic nor Aryan in its origin. The trouble the non-Celtic Picts were able to give the Romans and the Romanizing Brythons has often been dilated upon by historians, who have seldom dwelt on the much more remarkable fact, that a power, with its head-quarters in the neighbourhood of the Ness, had been so organized as to make itself obeyed from the Orkneys to the Mull of Cantyre, and from Skye to the mouth of the Tay, so early as the middle of the sixth century. It is important to bear this in mind in connexion with the question as to how far the earlier Celtic invaders of this country may have mixed with the ancient inhabitants, since it clearly shows that there was no such a gulf between them as would make it impossible or even difficult for them to amalgamate; and it may readily be supposed that the Goidelic race has been greatly modified in its character by its absorption of this ancient people of the Atlantic seaboard.

CHAPTER VI.

THE ETHNOLOGY OF EARLY BRITAIN.

THE most ancient name known to have been given this island is that of Albion. It occurs in a treatise respecting the world, which used to be ascribed to Aristotle, but is now regarded as the work of a man who lived later. We then meet with it after a long interval in the "Natural History" written by Pliny, who died in the year 79; and he only remarks that Albion was the name given this country when all the islands of our group were called Britanniæ; but it continued to be the habit of Greek writers, even long after his time, to treat Britain simply as one of a number of islands, to all of which they applied the adjective Britannic, or, according to their more accurate spelling, Brettanic. After Britain had been divided, not to say sub-divided, by the Romans, they not unfrequently spoke of the province in the plural as Britanniæ, but Pliny could not have had that in his mind. There is, however, another allusion to Britain which seems to carry us much further back, though it has usually been ill understood. It occurs in the story of the labours of Hercules, who, after securing the cows of Geryon, comes from Spain to Liguria, where he is attacked by two giants, whom he kills before making

his way to Italy. Now, according to Pomponius Mela, the names of the giants were Albiona and Bergyon, which one may, without much hesitation, restore to the forms of Albion and Iberion, representing, undoubtedly, Britain and Ireland, the position of which in the sea is most appropriately symbolized by the story making them sons of Neptune or the sea-god. The geographical difficulty of bringing Albion and Liguria together is completely disposed of by the fact that Britain and Ireland were once thought by Greek and Latin writers to have been separated from Spain and Gaul by only a very narrow channel; not to mention that it is hardly known how far Liguria may have reached towards the west and north, or even whether the Loire—in Latin, Liger—may not have got that name as a Ligurian river: it is described by Vibius Sequester as dividing the Celts from the Aquitanians.[1] In some other allusions to this story nothing is found that can be made out to refer to Ireland, and in one of them the second giant is called Ligys, who doubtless represented the Ligurian race, while in one form or other the story can be traced so far back as the time of the Greek tragedian, Æschylus,[2] in the sixth century before the Christian era, though it is impossible to say when the names of the giants who attacked Hercules came into it, or when they assumed the forms which may be guessed from the manuscripts of Mela. Even in the time of Pliny, Albion, as the name of the island, had fallen

[1] See Elton's "Origins of English History," p. 25.

[2] It is met with in a fragment of the "Prometheus Unbound."

out of use with Latin authors; but not so with the Greeks, or with the Celts themselves, at any rate those of the Goidelic branch; for they are probably right who suppose that we have but the same word in the Irish and Scotch Gaelic *Alba*, genitive *Alban*, the kingdom of Alban or Scotland beyond the Forth. Albion would be a form of the name according to the Brythonic pronunciation of it, and between the latter and Alban there is precisely the same difference of vowel as one finds between the genitive of the Latin word *oratio*, prayer, namely *orationis*, and the *orthan* which the Irish made of it when they borrowed it into their own language. It would thus appear that the name Albion is one that has retreated to a corner of the island, to the whole of which it once applied; and, if so, we ought to be able to fix on an intermediate point in this retreat, and we can: in a work associated with Cormac, a learned Irishman of the ninth century, the name Alban is found given to a part of Britain which extended to the Sea of Wight or the English Channel;[1] nor does the author of it appear to have been the only Irish writer[2] who has spoken of Alban as reaching so far. Cormac goes on to specify that within his Alban were situated the town of Glastonbury and an unidentified fort of the Cornish Brythons. Thus it would seem that at the time he referred to, approximatel.

[1] "Cormac's Glossary," published in English by Stokes (Calcutta, 1868), *s. v.* Mug-éime, p. 111.

[2] See the MS. of Duald Mac Firbis, quoted by Reeves in his "Adamnan's Life of St. Columba," p. 145.

the end of the Roman occupation, the name Alban meant all those portions of the west and north of Britain which his kinsmen, the Goidels of this island, had been wont to call their own. The name is, so far as we know, completely lost in the dialects of the Brythons, and it is probable that they were not the race that gave it to the island; it is more likely that they only learnt it from the Goidels they found in possession. It need hardly be added that its meaning is utterly unknown, in spite of guesses both new and old: possibly the word is not Celtic.

Next comes the question as to whence the name Britain is derived. This, together with the Welsh Brydain or Prydain, is to be traced back to the Latin one, which was most commonly written Britannia, and this in its turn appears to have been created by the Romans, from whom the Greeks adopted it but slowly and sparingly, as they were content to go on speaking of this island as ἡ Βρεττανική or the Brettanic. Its people were known to the Romans as Britanni, and this it was that suggested the word Britannia to denote their country, which would seem to have come into existence not long before the time of Julius Cæsar, when Britain first began seriously to occupy the heads and arms of the Romans; at any rate, he was one of the first authors to make regular use of the term, though it occurs once in the writings of Diodorus Siculus, who lived in his time and that of Augustus, spending much of his time at Rome; but he wrote in Greek, and in this matter followed as a rule the custom of his countrymen, while we find Cicero, as well as his

brother, who followed Cæsar to Britain, so freely using the word Britannia as to suggest that they knew of no other name for it. As we have thus traced *Britain* to the Latin *Britannia*, and the latter to the Latin name of the people, it will be asked what about that name itself? Its oldest form, as used by the Romans, was *Britanni*, which they placed in the set *Britannus*, *Britanna*, *Britannum*, not to mention *Britannicus*, and the like. Here the practical identity between the Latin and Greek forms makes it probable that it was from or through the Greeks of Marseilles that the Romans first heard of these islands. This is not all, for the Latin *Britanni*, and especially the Greek Βρεττανοί, have their exact counterpart in the Mediæval Irish plurals Bretain, genitive Bretan, which had at times to do duty as the name both of the Brythons and of the island. But it is to be noticed that neither Βρεττανική or Britanni, nor the Irish Bretain has anything corresponding to it in the dialects of the Brythons themselves. From whom, then, did the Greeks hear the word which served as the basis of their names for Britain and its people? It cannot have been from the Brythonic peoples of the south-east of the island, or any, perhaps, of the Gauls of the Continent: it was probably from the natives of the south-west who brought their tin to market, and in whose country the only Celtic speech in use was as yet Goidelic. But, while the earliest allusions of the ancients to Britain take us back near the time of Pytheas, there is no evidence of any direct communication existing then, or for a long time afterwards, between Dumnonia

and the Continent; so this brings us to the conclusion already stated, that the people of the south-west conveyed their tin to some point on the coast from Dorset to Thanet, to be there sold to foreign merchants; these were probably, in the main, Greek traders from Marseilles. When, however, the Romans came to Britain they learnt the name which the Brythons gave themselves in the south-east of the island, and this was not Britanni or Brettani, but Brĭttŏnes, singular Brĭtto. It is the name which all the Celts who have spoken a Brythonic language in later times own in common; among the Kymry it becomes Brython, which is one of the names they still give themselves, and from which they derive the word Brythoneg, one of their names for the Welsh language. This, in old Cornish, was Brethonec, and meant the Brythonic dialect of Wales and Cornwall after Goidelic had been chased away, while in Breton the word assumes the form Brezonek, and means the Brythonic language spoken in Lesser Britain. So, when one wants to speak collectively of this group of Celts from the Clyde to the neighbourhood of the Loire, confusion is best avoided by calling them by some such name as Brythons and Brythonic, leaving the words Britain, British, and Britannic for other uses, including among them the exigencies of the Englishman who, in his more playful moods, condescends to call himself a Briton. The traditional Latin spelling of the earlier name seems to have been incontestably *Britanni*, while both the Brythonic and the Goidelic forms prove beyond doubt that it was etymo-

logically entitled to the *tt* allowed the other form Brittones, though some editors are pleased to treat it as Britones, for which there seems to be no special reason outside their own perversity; for *Brittones* occurs often enough so spelt in ancient manuscripts, and usually so in inscriptions. The word appears first used in Roman literature by Juvenal and Martial; but the more the Romans became familiar with Britain and its leading Celtic peoples the more the form *Brittones* may be said to have gained on that of *Britanni*, and it seems to have reacted on the spelling of the latter and the kindred word *Britannia*, which began to be not unfrequently written with *tt* in the time of Commodus. This continued on the coins of that emperor and his successors until the victory over Britain ceased to be commemorated on them in the time of Carausius, whose coins in consequence give us no information on this point. A rare medallion[1] of Commodus goes so far as to give us the theoretically correct spelling, *Brittania*, with the consonants as given by Greek writers; but the change of spelling is probably not to be ascribed to their example so much as to the analogy of the synonymous *Brittones*. Then as to the writings of such men as Bæda, Nennius, and the chroniclers, the form *Britanni* may be said to have been driven out by that of *Brittones*: of the two the latter alone can in any sense have been regarded

[1] There is one, we believe, in the British Museum, and Mr. John Evans possesses another, which he has kindly allowed the author to inspect.

by them as a living word, the other having passed away with the Roman occupation and the Roman empire.

So much as to the spelling and the history of these words, but what did they originally mean? The usual way to explain them is to suppose them of the same origin as the Welsh *brîth*, spotted, parti-coloured, feminine *braith*, and to find in them a reference to the painting or tattooing the body already alluded to more than once. Any one, however, who knows the elements of Celtic phonology will at once see that *brîth* and *braith*, which are represented in Old Irish by *mrecht* or *brecht*, can have nothing to do with *Brython* or the related forms. So far as we know, the only Celtic words which can be of the same origin with them are the Welsh vocables *brethyn*, cloth, and its congeners. In a manuscript of the ninth century we meet with the simpler Welsh form brith,[1] which would now be written bryth, and as it there enters into the plural compound mapbrith, and occurs as a gloss on the Latin word cunabula, meaning a baby's swaddling-clothes, the singular implied must have been either *breth* or *brath*. The connexion may be seen still more clearly on Irish ground, where *bratt* or *brat* means a cloth, a cloak, or a sail, and *brattán*, a little cloak, while from the former a derivative *bretnais* was formed, which, in Cormac's time, had two distinct meanings: when the root-word was

[1] See the Welsh glosses published by Stokes in the "Transactions of the Philological Society for 1860–1861," p. 210, and in Kuhn's "Beiträge," iv. p. 393.

taken simply as relating to cloth or clothing, *bretnais* meant a thing connected with clothing—namely, a brooch; but when it referred to the national name of the Brythons it meant a thing connected with them—namely, their language, which, in the case Cormac[1] was speaking of, happened to be the Brythonic tongue of the people of Cornwall in his time. It would, then, appear that the word Brython and its congeners meant a clothed or cloth-clad people. But a national name of such a nature would have little meaning unless they had lived some time or other near another people that wore little or no clothing, or else clothing of a different material and make. If, then, the first people called Brittones could be regarded as the first Celtic invaders of Britain, the name might be regarded as meant to distinguish them from the neolithic natives whom they found in possession, and whose clothing may have consisted of the skins of the animals they killed; but as no Goidels, in the linguistic sense of the word, are found to have been called Brittones either by themselves or by the other Celts within historical times, there is no reason why the name should not be treated as exclusively belonging in Britain to the non-Goidelic branch of the Celts of the second invasion. Who, then, were the people whom the Brythons did not consider cloth-clad or properly clothed? They could hardly have been Gelts of any kind, as the art of making cloth of some sort was known even to the earliest of them that ever landed

[1] Stokes's "Cormac," *s. v.* "Mug-éime," p. 111.

here. In fact, the words we have cited supply the proof, and it would have been worth our while, had space allowed of it, to show how original Aryan *gv* or *gw*, a partly labial combination, yields the simple labial *b* in the Celtic languages; how it is simplified, with the guttural hardened to *c* or *k*, in the Teutonic ones, which allows us to equate Irish *bó* with English *cow*, and to regard the old Welsh *brith* alluded to and the Irish *bratt* as etymologically one and the same vocable with their familiar English equivalent *cloth*, the German kleid, cloth, a garment, and the old Norse klæði, of much the same meaning; and how the last-mentioned language possessed in a genitive plural klæðna the same kind of derivative as the Welsh *brethyn*. One might then widen the circle of comparison and introduce the old Irish word *bréit*, a strip of any woollen cloth, a kerchief, which, in Scotland, has become *breid*, a piece of any kind of cloth, and, in particular, a square of fine linen worn neatly on the head by women in the Highlands as the badge of marriage; but *bréit* implies, according to the ascertained course of phonetic decay in Goidelic speech, an older form, *brenti*; and both the latter and *bratt* have their equivalents in the Sanskrit group of words connected with the verb *grath* or *granth*, to tie or to wind up, which, as it falls decidedly short of the more developed idea of weaving, strikingly suggests that the Aryan nations wandering westwards did not simply carry with them the civilization they had acquired in the far east, but that they went on improving on it. So among the useful arts practised by Celts and Teutons long before any

of them had reached the shores of the Atlantic was that of weaving, however rude it may have been. On the whole, the race with which the Brythons contrasted themselves to their own satisfaction, when they began so to call themselves, were probably some of the aboriginal tribes whose country they invaded on the Continent; for there are reasons to think that the name belonged to them before they came to this country. In other words, remnants of this people are supposed to show traces of their existence in Gaul in historical times. Thus Pliny speaks of Continental Britanni who seem to have lived near the Rhine and the North Sea, and it is thought that most or all of the regiments termed Brittones in the Roman army in Britain were natives of Gaul.[1] Further, Procopius, a Greek writer of the sixth century, gives a very fabulous account of an island called Brittia, which he distinguishes from Britannia. One[2] of the last writers on this difficult subject identifies Brittia with Jutland, and supposes Brittones from beyond the Rhine to have shared in the advance of the Teutons on Gaul, and to have settled in Brittany. It is certainly a fact, though never noticed, that Brittia must have been a real name, as it is exactly the form that would result in that which is the actual Breton name of Brittany—namely Breiz: this last is the shortest, and cannot be derived from any known form of the kindred

[1] See "Das Römische Heer" by Hübner, Hermes, xvi.; also M. de Vit's communication in the "Bullett. dell' Inst. di Corrisp. Arch." (Rome), for 1867, p. 39.

[2] M. de Vit in the paper already referred to.

name of our country or of its people, which tells not a little against the tradition that Brittany was colonized by fugitive Brythons from here; not to mention the fact that there is some difficulty as to whence those fugitives could have come, seeing that if they set out from the nearest parts of this country, that is, from Cornwall or Devon, they would most likely have been Goidels, so that the language of the Bretons would now probably have been a Goidelic dialect, and not the purely Brythonic speech it is. This would give Breton importance never yet attached to it.

The soundest division which can be made of the Celtic family rests on an accident of Celtic phonology. It is that of the change of *qu* or *qv* into *p*, which is found to have taken place in some of the Celtic languages, but not in all, at the same time that it is known in languages other than Celtic. Thus, while Latin retained the older complex in the word *quinque*, five, and *equus*, a horse, the Greeks differed among themselves, some saying πέμπε for five, and some πέντε, some ἵππος for a horse, and some ἵκκος; so while the Romans said *quatuor*, four, and *quum* or *quom*, when, some of the other Italians said *petur* and *pon*. The Celts differed in much the same way, as all the Brythons, whether Welshmen or Bretons, agree in using *p* (liable to be softened into *b*), as the Gauls also did, so far back as we can trace them, in all their names excepting a few like Sequani and Sequana; while, on the other hand, the Goidels, whether in Ireland, Man, or Scotland

never made *qv* into *p*, but simplified it in another way by dropping the *v*, and making *q* into *c* (liable to be modified into the guttural spirant *ch*): this took place in the sixth or the seventh century. In the old Ogam inscriptions of Ireland the *qv* is represented by a symbol of its own, and not only there, but in those of Wales, Cornwall, and Devon. Thus on both sides of St. George's Channel the most important key-word which the ancient epitaphs supply us with is *maqvi*, the genitive of the word which has yielded the Goidels of the present day their *mac*, a son, and has taken in Welsh the form *māb* (for an older *map*) of the same meaning. The inscriptions in question from Wales and Dumnonia may, roughly speaking, be assigned to the sixth century, though some of them may be of the fifth and some of the seventh; but the remarkable point about them is, that the little Celtic which they yield us usually agrees both in the matter of the *qv* and in other respects, with the language of the Goidels rather than with Gaulish, with Welsh, Old Cornish, or Breton. Who, then, were the Celts, of whose language the epitaphs in question give us a few samples? This has been variously answered: some would say that they were invaders from Ireland, the Scots, in fact, who made descents on the coast of Britain about the end of the Roman occupation and afterwards; but there is not a particle of evidence that they ever made for Wales or Devon, or that the Romans had even a single company of soldiers to oppose them in either district. Some would say that they were the ancestors of the Welsh and of the

Celts of Cornwall and Devon, and that their language was an early stage of what afterwards was known as Welsh and Cornish: that is to say, the Celtic of the Ogam inscriptions in the course of time underwent changes which shaped it into the dialects known afterwards as Welsh and Old Cornish. This was till lately the view taken by the author, but a more thorough understanding of the inscriptions, together with additional information, has forced him to give it up in favour of the following, namely, that the Celts who spoke the language of the Celtic epitaphs were, in part, the ancestors of the Welsh and Cornish peoples, and that they must have since changed their language from a Goidelic to a Brythonic one. In other words, they were Goidels belonging to the first Celtic invasion of Britain, and of whom some passed over into Ireland, and made that island also Celtic. At this point, or still earlier, all the British Islands may be treated as Goidelic, excepting certain parts where the neolithic natives may have been able to make a stand against the Goidels; but some time later there arrived another Celtic people, with another Celtic language, which was probably to all intents and purposes the same as that of the Gauls. These later invaders called themselves Brittones, and seized on the best portions of Britain, driving the Goidelic Celts before them to the west and north of the island; and it is the language of these retreating Goidels of Britain that we have in the old inscriptions, and not of Goidelic invaders from Ireland. Their Goidelic speech, which was driven out by the ever-encroaching

dialects of the Brythons, was, practically, the same language as that of the Celts of Ireland, of Man, and of Scotland.

We shall now try roughly to show what portions of the island were occupied by the Brythonic and the Goidelic Celts respectively, about the beginning, say, of the Roman occupation. Setting out from the Isle of Wight, we find that the Dumnoni of Devon and Cornwall are proved by their epitaphs[1] after the Roman occupation to have been Goidels, in so far as they were Celts at all, for in point of blood they consisted, perhaps, largely of the non-Celtic natives, and the language of the latter can hardly have died out in the district near the Land's End at the time when it got its name of Belerion, which Ptolemy gives the Land's End; since it is probably not an accident that Belerion is an early form that might yield, in old Irish, *bélre*, later *bérla*. This is the word the Irish employ for language, usually a language not their own, and particularly the English tongue. But as to the Durotriges, to the east of the mixed people of Dumnonia, it is difficult to determine their nationality. On the whole, however, they also seem to have been Goidels, a conclusion suggested, among other things, by the name of their town, Dunion, which differs from the Gaulish *dūnon*, Welsh din, a town or fortress, just where it comes near its Irish equivalent *dún*, genitive *dúne*. In that case no part of the country west of the Dorsetshire Stour

[1] See Hübner's "Inscr. Brit. Christ.," Nos. 3, 17, 24, 25, 26.

and the Parret is probably to be regarded as Brythonic. North of the Bristol Channel, the Severn and its tributary the Teme probably formed the boundary, the country inside those rivers being divided between the Silures, who had the south-east, and the Demetæ, who had the south-west. Both these peoples may, like other Goidelic states, have, to a certain extent, absorbed an earlier, non-Celtic, neolithic population; but in point of language as against the Brythons we must treat them as Goidelic, and leave the question of origin mainly to those who study skins and skulls. The rest of what is now the Principality of Wales, together with the portion of the West of England adjoining, is usually supposed to have been occupied by the Ordovices; but this powerful people, which we take to have been Brythonic, overshadowed a Goidelic population occupying the north-west corner of the Principality, including Mona and the mainland within the Clwyd, the Dee, and the Mawddach, which reaches the sea at Barmouth. At some earlier stage in the aggression by the Brythons it may be gathered that the Ordovices owned no land north of the Dee and within it, and that the old fortress on the northern bank of the Dee, overlooking the small town of Corwen, was probably a stronghold of the Goidels against the Ordovices of Mid-Wales, who formed, as it were, a wedge reaching the sea between the Mawddach and the Dovey, and completely severing the Goidels of North Wales from those on the Severn Sea: their country may, roughly speaking, be identified with the Powys of later times, but that name, which probably meant

merely a settlement, may be looked at as a very old one. On the shores of the Cardigan Bay several points may be indicated which successively marked the advance southwards of the Ordovices. They seem first to have conquered the coast from the Dovey to the Wyrè, a small river which reaches the sea some miles south of Aberystwyth, in Cardiganshire, together with a corresponding extent of country inland, all included in the old bishopric of Paternus or Padarn, whose name is now best known in connexion with his church of Llanbadarn Fawr, near the same town. The Wyrè marks the boundary of a Welsh dialect, peculiar to the northern part of Cardiganshire: it has much in common with the dialects of Merionethshire and Montgomeryshire, while it differs in certain things from those of Demetia. Later, the rest of what is now Cardiganshire was conquered so far as the neighbourhood of the Teivi by Keredig, as mentioned in a previous chapter. This happened in Christian times, so that the old inhabitants do not seem to have been driven out, which is in a measure proved by the dialect of that district being in most respects the same as that of the rest of Demetia. Moreover, David's name figures among the dedications in this district as it does more south, while the tombstone of a man of importance in Keredig's kingdom, probably a ruling prince, stands at Pembryn, overlooking the sea some miles north of Cardigan: his name was Corbalengi, and the inscriber has styled him an *Ordous*, meaning probably thereby one of the Ordovices.

East of the Ordovices the whole breadth of the

island was occupied by the Cornavii and Coritani, the former of whom possessed a strip of country extending from the neighbourhood of the Worcestershire Avon, along the eastern bank of the Severn, and continued in a sort of an arc of a circle dipping into the sea between the Dee and the Mersey: it is possibly from the peninsula in which their territory ended that this people had its name of Cornavii, just as the south-west of Britain and the north-west of France both terminate in a Cornish district, as did also the north of Scotland: compare the Welsh word *corn*, of the same meaning and origin as the Latin *cornu*, and the English *horn*. North of the Mersey and the Humber most of the country, up to the Caledonian Forest, belonged to the Brigantes. But the Parisi between the Humber and the Tees appear to have been independent of them, as were also probably the Novantæ, whose territory took in, not only the country west of the Nith and south of the Ayr, but, according to our reading of Ptolemy, the peninsula of Cantyre also, for, by his measurements, what he called the promontory of the Novantæ was not the Mull of Galloway, as commonly taken for granted, but that of Cantyre. The name of the Nith in his time was Novios, and it is from it that this people got the name of Novantæ, given them probably by Brythons, in much the same way as the Segantii appear to have been so termed from their living near the river Segeia, which is supposed to have been the Mersey. To the east and north-east of the Novantæ dwelt the Selgovæ, protected by thick forests and a difficult country.

They have left their name in the modern form of Solway to the moss and to the firth called after them. The word probably meant hunters, and the people to whom it applied may be supposed, not only to have been no Brythons, but to have been to no very great extent Celtic at all, except, perhaps, in point of language, which they may have adopted at an early date from the Goidelic invaders: in a great measure they were most likely a remnant of the aboriginal inhabitants, and the same remark may be supposed to be equally applicable to the Novantæ. It would not be surprising, then, to find that they acted together, and, on looking into the later history of the Roman occupation, we certainly seem to detect them as a people who gave the province a great deal of trouble. They lived between the Walls, and appeared in history as Genunians, we think, and Atecotti. Everything points to the conclusion that these were either the Selgovæ or the Novantæ, or rather the aggregate of them, and not the least significant is the fact that the word Atecotti appears to have meant old or ancient, and marked them out as a people of older standing in the country than the Brythons, to whom they possibly owed that name. The struggle in which they took part against the Romans ended in their ultimately retaining only the country behind the Nith, where the name of the Novantæ becomes, in Bæda's mouth, that of the Niduarian Picts, known as the Picts of Galloway for centuries afterwards. We return to the Brigantes, whose name probably denoted a league of several peoples, or else a dominant people ruling

over a considerable territory containing a number of subject tribes. Among other names may be mentioned the Segantii, in what is now probably Lancashire; the Otadini, occupying a part of Lothian and the coast down to the southern Wall; and the Dumnonii, inhabiting the district between the Novantæ, the Selgovæ, and the Otadini on the one hand, and the mouth of the Clyde and the Forth on the other, together with an extensive tract beyond those rivers, including the Northern Manaw or Manann, and reaching to the Earn—possibly to the Almond—and to the neighbourhood of Loch Leven in Fifeshire. Now, the southern Dumnonii, inhabiting as they did what was later the nucleus of the kingdom of the Cumbrians, must undoubtedly be regarded as their ancestors and as Brythons. So were the Otadini Brythons, and they are always treated as such in Welsh literature, where their name becomes Gododin and Guotodin; they disappeared early, their country having been seized in part by the Picts from the other side of the Forth, and in part by Germanic invaders from beyond the sea. But to return to the outlying portion of the Dumnonii, when the wall from the Clyde to the Forth was built they were cut off from their kinsmen, who were included in the Roman province, and possibly it is they that figure in history as Boresti, then as Verturiones, and the Men of Fortrenn. They formed the advanced posts of the Brythons, and they had given hostages to Agricola, and possibly to Severus, not to mention that their name of Verturiones may have

meant the people of the land of the fortresses in allusion, perhaps, to the Roman stations[1] in their country.

We have already suggested the position of the Caledonians, who were, as we understand their history, Goidels. They surrounded the country of the Brythons of Fortrenn, reaching from Loch Long and Loch Lomond down the Tay to the eastern part of Fife. According to Ptolemy they must have also extended inland to the Beauly Firth, but most of their country in that direction, and in the neighbourhood of the Tay, must have lain for a considerable time under the power of the aboriginal races to whom we now come. The position of the Vacomagi has already been described; so has that of the Tæxali, and that of the Vernicomes, whom we have treated as identical with the Mæatæ of history. The remaining peoples of the North, all probably non-Celtic in point of race, and mostly, perhaps, in that of language, were the following, as enumerated by Ptolemy:—The Epidii occupied most of the sea-board from where the Leven discharges the waters of Loch Lomond into the estuary of the Clyde, to the point of Ardnamurchan or thereabouts. Their name looks as though it had been one given them by a Brythonic people, and had meant horsemen, in a region where one would have rather expected coracles; but its Brythonic appearance is, perhaps, only due to an accident, as we seem to have practically the same word in the name

[1] See Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 74.

of the islands called Ebudæ, which, together with the others near them, from Tiree to Arran may have all belonged to them, though Ptolemy calls only one of them Epidion, which Mr. Skene[1] identifies with the Isle of Lismore; but it may have been that of Jura. Since Ptolemy seems to have given Cantyre to the Novantæ, his Epidian promontory, usually identified with the Mull of Cantyre, has to be sought between the Leven and Loch Fyne, a coast for which his figures, in fact, seem to make. Beyond the Epidii, and inhabiting the west as far, presumably, as CapeWrath, come three or four peoples, or more probably only one or two with their names given in a variety of corrupt forms; the latter are, in order towards the north, the following:—Cerones, Creones, Carnonacæ, and Carini. From Cape Wrath to Duncansby Head may be said to have been the home of the Cornavii, so called for a reason which has already been suggested. The south-eastern side of the present counties of Caithness and Sutherland was divided between two peoples, called the Smertæ and the Lugi. Lastly, the coast from the neighbourhood of the Dornoch Firth to the confines of the Caledonians, belonged to a nation called the Decantæ.

Now that we are at Ptolemy's account of the north of the island, we may add a few words as to that remarkable feature of Scotland known to the ancients as the Caledonian Forest. It was called in Latin

[1] "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 69.

Caledonius Saltus or Silva Caledonia, and in Welsh literature Coed Celyddon or the Wood of Calidon; while Ptolemy, who terms it Καληδόνιος Δρυμός, by which there is no occasion to suppose him to have meant the chain of mountains called Drumalban, says that it was above the land of the Caledonians, whence the usual and erroneous idea that it must have been in the Western Highlands, in a region where one would hardly, perhaps, have expected it. The mistake has arisen from failing to realize Ptolemy's point of view; for, among other peculiarities of his description of the geography of Britain, he begins from what he considered the most northern point of the island. And as he somehow got wrong in his map of Scotland, and twisted eastwards what should have been north, his most northern point of Britain turns out to have been the headland of the Novantæ: it is thence he seems to have surveyed, as it were, the whole island. Beginning again there, he enumerates the features of the coast until he reaches Cape Wrath, and he begins from the same place again his description of the western coast southwards to Cornwall. He follows the same plan in enumerating the peoples inhabiting the country, and from his point of view the Vacomagi, who, like the Caledonian Forest, have sometimes been transported to the west because he states them to have been above the Caledonians, fall into their right place, and into possession of their towns, some of which cannot readily be moved far from the shore of the Moray Firth. Similarly interpreted, the Caledonian

Forest is found to have been located by Ptolemy where there is every reason to suppose that it was in fact, namely, covering a tract where we are told that a thick wood of birch and hazel must once have stretched from the west of the district of Menteith, in the neighbourhood of Loch Lomond, across the country to Dunkeld.[1] It is this vast forest that probably formed in part at least the boundary between the Caledonians and the Verturiones or the Brythons of Fortrenn.

We have already made some use of linguistic facts in trying to determine the nationality of some of the peoples brought under the reader's notice, and now we would bring them within the compass of a few words. In doing so the place of importance is claimed for Celtic proper names which involve the consonant *p*. In the Greek language, for instance, this sound comes from two sources: thus, in πατήρ, it corresponds to the *p* in the Latin pater and the Sanskrit pitar, and to *f* in their English equivalent father: so here the Greeks had perpetuated the original Aryan *p*. But, as to the Celtic languages, it is found to have been one of their common and early characteristics that they got rid of this Aryan consonant, either by changing it in a few cases into another, or much oftener by dropping it altogether; so that the Irish word for father is not *pathair*, but *athair*, and the Celtic preposition corresponding to the Greek παρά, appears in Welsh as *ar*, and is given

[1] Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 86.

whose name appears in several inscriptions from that neighbourhood; and that later, when the Selgovæ had been disposed of, the remains of the Goidelic people on the Solway were enclosed by a rampart from the end of that firth to Loch Ryan. It is in their country the Irish invaders probably organized their expeditions southwards all the time they continued to come over; and Ptolemy supplies a reason for thinking that it was the Solway Picts who first gave the Dalriad Scots a home in Cantyre, in the fact that he ascribes that headland to those people under the name of Novantæ.

It has, however, been sometimes supposed that it was in Wales the Irish Scots habitually landed; they may have done so occasionally, but it is highly improbable that it was their usual resort, as it was a country neither rich in booty nor easy to penetrate. Besides, had Wales been exposed to the visitations of the Scots, it would be remarkable in the highest degree that not a single regiment of soldiers was located there at the date of the compiling of the Table of Dignities, all being quartered in the north or the south-east of the province. But it has been fancied that Welsh tradition amply proves the invasion of Wales from Ireland in the fourth and fifth centuries, and mediæval travesties of the history of the incursions of the Danes, especially of those settled at Dublin, have been blindly brought forward to prove it. This is too fruitless a subject to discuss at length, and an instance or two will serve to show what has been sometimes done :—"Anlach, son of Coronac," is moved back to this period without seeing that he was the well-known

Dane, Anlaf Cuaran, and, similarly, the leader of the Goidels in their last battle with "Caswallon Lawhir," is called Serrigi or Sirigi, a corrupt form of some such a name as Sitric clumsily torn out of a Latin context, while Caswallon turns out to have been a Welsh prince of the tenth century, and not the father of Maelgwn in the sixth. Moreover the name was in both instances Cadwallon before being improved into that of the ancient general, Cassivelaunos, who fought against Julius Cæsar. There are many more similar pits into which Welsh legendary history is wont to lead the unsuspecting. But then Nennius is also supposed to testify to the invasion of Wales from Ireland when he says, that Maelgwn reigned over Gwynedd in consequence of his ancestor, Cunedda, together with his Sons, having driven out the Scotti with immense slaughter. But it is neither clear from what regions they were driven out, since the rule of Cunedda and his Sons reached beyond Wales; nor, on the other hand, whether they were invaders rather than the Goidels, who had always lived in Britain, and more especially in North Wales; for no other meaning than that of Goidels can be assigned to the *Scotti* of Nennius's Latin. If the people meant were some of the Goidels of Wales, Nennius was perhaps hardly right in saying that they were driven out; Cunedda and his Sons had probably fought against them and subdued them, so that having by the ninth century ceased to exist as a Goidelic people in Wales, while Ireland continued to be the home of a portion of the race, the writers of the stuff that goes by the name of Nennius

might easily have been led to commit the mistake of thinking that they were invaders from the sister island. But however that may be, and however many of them may have left the country and fled to Ireland, their language continued to exist in Wales down to the end probably of the seventh century, and possibly somewhat later in out-of-the-way corners of the country. To fix the time of its utter disappearance would be impossible, but Dr. Hübner, the greatest German epigraphist who has studied the inscriptions of Britain, places one[1] of them, written in Latin and Goidelic, and found on the south of the Teivi, near Cardigan, among those which he assigns to the seventh or possibly the eighth century, the classification being mainly based on the forms of the letters used. Now, when those of our early inscriptions, which are non-Roman and begin to date soon after the departure of the legions, have their localities marked on a map of Wales, it is found that hardly any of them occur in what was the country of the Ordovices; in fact, they may be said to crowd together in the tract within the Clwyd, the Dee, and the Mawddach in North Wales, while in South Wales they form two groups: an eastern one around a line drawn from Brecon to Neath in Glamorgan; and the other, and the more numerous one, in the district west of the length of the Towy. They all belong to Christian times, but it is not to be concluded that the people of the epigraphic area were converted to Christianity before

[1] "Inscrip. Brit. Christ.," No. 108.

those of the rest of the country. For not only were Cunedda and his people Christians, but it was by members of the former's family, or by men who enjoyed its protection, that Christianity was, so far as we know it, mostly spread among the Goidels; and, even if they were Christians previously, it is from the Cunedda saints that the organization of the Church in Wales has come down to us, so that whatever Christianity existed among the Goidels before their labours was so completely covered by the latter as to have been almost wholly forgotten. Thus, so far as we know, St. David was the first who systematically undertook to Christianize the people of Demetia; he was grandson of Keredig, who gave his name to Keredigion and was son of Cunedda. And as to Kentigern, who founded the bishopric of St. Asaph, he did so under the protection of Cadwallon, Maelgwn's father, while it was under the auspices of Maelgwn himself, that Daniel, or, as he is called in Welsh, Deinioel, became the first bishop of Bangor in Arvon, whither he came from the great monastic establishment at Bangor on the Dee. We have, then, to look elsewhere for the explanation of the comparative lack of inscriptions in the Brythonic area of Wales, and we are forced to believe that it arose from a difference in the manner of burying the dead. Among the first things to strike one is the fact that the country of the Ordovices is almost wholly devoid of those rude stone structures called cromlechs, which are found to crowd together in the same districts as the inscriptions, especially the island of Mona and the county of Pembroke; and the

conclusion darkly suggests itself that it was the same race that set up the cromlechs and erected the maenhirs or longstone monuments of the Principality; probably we should not be far wrong in considering the maenhir to be as old, to say the least of it, as the cromlech, and merely a less elaborate and expensive way of attaining the same object of commemorating the dead; but it is a question which archæology cannot be said to have seriously considered, or even perhaps clearly formulated, though it undertakes to distinguish the burial-places of the Celts from those of the pre-Celtic peoples of Britain, the former having the round barrows assigned to them, and the latter the long ones. This may be, in the main, correct, and it may be that the archæologist has no data to help him to more exact results, but he should bear in mind that his study of the tombs falls short of the historian's wish so long as he cannot tell the resting-place of a Brython from that of a Goidel, and both from those of the neolithic native. Both the latter would seem from the latest archæological investigations to have buried in long barrows, but some of those barrows contain the dead placed with care grimly to sit in their subterranean houses, while others disclose only the huddled bones of men and beasts, as though they were the remains of cannibal gorgings. Can both be ascribed to the same race? We doubt it. As to the people, however, of the Brythonic branch who have not been given to the erection of great stone monuments, there is no difficulty in supposing them to have continued in Christian times their use of the

barrows, of which so many scores are known clustering around the ancient temple of Stonehenge and in other parts of the country. Now, the mound of earth which we call a barrow, or a tumulus, offered no great opportunities for the writer of epitaphs, but the maenhirs did; so it may be assumed that, when the Goidels became acquainted with writing and had the example of the Romans before their eyes, they not unwillingly began to imitate them in having their monuments lettered. But a survey of the latter, both in Britain and Ireland, gives one the impression that what they still chiefly thought of was the size and durability of the stone used; it might be inscribed or not, that was an after-thought and a luxury unknown to their ancestors. But, in case any writing was indulged in, the language was usually Latin, which seems to have continued to be the official and learned tongue. In about two dozen instances, however, in this country the Goidelic language was used mostly to accompany a Latin version, and written in a peculiar character called Ogam. This last would seem to have been invented by a Goidelic native of Siluria or Demetia, who, having acquired a knowledge of the Roman alphabet and some practice in a simple system of scoring numbers, elaborated the latter into an alphabet of his own fitted for cutting on stone or wood. Thence, we presume, it was propagated to Ireland, especially the south and south-west; and, on the other hand, to Devon, but hardly at all, so far as one can discover, to Cornwall, and only sparingly to North Wales, while the Ogams of Scotland need not be

discussed, as they seem to be of later introduction, showing traces of the influence of manuscript writing on parchment. Looking at the Ogam epitaphs of Ireland, of which more than 200 are said to have existed, and most of which are still extant in the counties chiefly of Waterford, Cork, and Kerry, one finds that, though they belong to Christian times, the burial-places in which they occur are commonly unconnected with churches, and used only for interring unbaptized persons, or else no longer used at all: thus it would seem that they are the old pagan burial-places, continued to be used in Christian times by a Christian people. The stones are, in many instances, the objects of a reverence bordering very closely on worship, a state of things of which we find a trace in the Welsh legend[1] about St. David splitting with a stroke of his sword the capstone of the cromlech in Gower, called Maen Cetti, in order to show to the people that it had no divine attributes: thereupon they are said to have been converted to his religion. The belief, however, in such stones was probably far too deeply rooted to be readily got rid of, and the Church possibly had no difficulty in making them articulate witnesses to a kind of merit recognized by a class of inscriptions in Wales, dating usually about the eighth century or later, and having nothing exactly corresponding to them in any other part of western Christendom. One, for instance, runs thus:—"The cross of Christ: Enniaun made it for the soul

[1] Iolo MSS., p. 83.

of Guorgoret;" and another thus :—"In the name of God the highest begins the cross of the Saviour, which Samson, the abbot, prepared for his own soul and for that of Ithel, the king," &c. Another, however, near Bridgend has been supposed to be of the beginning of the seventh century, and it runs thus:—"Conbellini set up this cross for the soul of his scitlivissi." The last word is unmistakably Goidelic, and must have meant a man versed in tales, one, in fact, whose profession was that of story-telling. But this class of inscriptions is not to be severed from another which is still better known, especially in Ireland. It may be illustrated by the following specimen from Gwnnws in Cardiganshire :—"Whoever shall have read this name let him give a blessing on behalf of the soul of Hiroidil, son of Carotinn," the name alluded to being a figure forming at once a sort of a wheel-cross and the Greek monogram of Christ.[1]

Returning to the older inscriptions, they seem to show that by the sixth century the Ordovices had carried their Brythonic speech into the district north of the Mawddach, and even into that portion of the modern county of Carnarvon which consists of the old deanery of Eivionydd, and looks, as it were, towards Harlech; but the country from the Mawddach to the north of Eivionydd was made up of Ardudwy and Eivionydd, which together are sometimes called Dunodig, from Dunod, a son of Cunedda, who is

[1] These are respectively Nos. 73, 62, 67, and 122 in Hübner's "Inscrip. Brit. Christ."; see also Westwood's "Lapid. Walliæ."

said to have conquered it from the Goidels. How far Brythonic speech had then penetrated into the neighbourhood of Snowdon it is impossible to say, but we find a decidedly Goidelic epitaph so far east as the immediate neighbourhood of Ruthin, in the basin of the Clwyd. In South Wales most of Cardiganshire was probably still Goidelic, though it had long been conquered by the Cunedda family under the lead of Keredig. But not only was all the country north of a line from the Wyre to the bend of the Wye near Talgarth, in Breconshire, or thereabouts, now probably Brythonic, but the Goidelic country south of it seems, if we may trust the indications afforded by the inscriptions, to have been severed into two regions, of which the one lay west of the Towy, and the other on both sides of a line drawn from Brecon to Neath, in Glamorgan. More exactly speaking, the latter consisted of two distinct districts, a southern one between Cardiff and Loughor, and a northern one in the upper valley of the Usk, with Brecon as its central point, and taking in the old deaneries of Brecon, probably the ancient patrimony of Brychan, who has so large a place in Welsh hagiology. Both he and his numerous offspring may have been more Goidelic than Brythonic, though they were in various ways allied with the Cunedda family. The country east of these two districts, from the hills of Brecknock and the lower course of the Taff, seems to have become Brythonic: when and how, it is very hard to say. It was brought about partly, perhaps, by the influence of the nearest Brythonic tribes east of the Severn, as

suggested by the fact that one[1] of the most important inscriptions of ancient Glamorgan commemorates a prince called Bodvoci, a name at once Gaulish and Brythonic, which had been in esteem among the Dobunni, on whose gold coins it figured before they submitted to the Roman yoke. It was partly due also, no doubt, to conquests of the Ordovices in the direction of the mouth of the Severn. The history of those conquests, however, is lost, but attention has already been called to the power of Maelgwn over all parts of South Wales, and we have possibly a proof of the southern advance of the Ordovices in Dinas Powys, the name of a place in the vicinity of Cardiff. The epigraphic map, if we may use the term, further suggests that the eastern Goidelic districts were cut off from connection with the western one by a strip of Brythonic land, reaching from the country of the Ordovices to the basin of the Towy, and down the eastern bank of that river to the sea. This, it will be seen, would include the district of Kidwelly, from which, together with Gower, Nennius[2] expressly mentions the driving out of the Goidels by Cunedda and his Sons. But Welsh tradition sometimes ascribes the expulsion to Cunedda and Urien of Rheged, and sometimes to the latter alone; while the districts in question are specified to have been Gower and Kidwelly, together with Carnwyllon and Iscennen, between the Tawè and the

[1] See Hübner's "Inscrip. Brit. Christianæ," No. 71, and Rhys's "Lectures on Welsh Philology," p. 386.

[2] San-Marte's "Nennius and Gildas," p. 36.

Towy, together with its tributary the Cothi.[1] Nennius mentions Urien as one of the four kings of the Brythons opposed to Hussa, who began his reign over Bernicia in the year 567. The reason for his leaving the North is probably to be sought in the feuds which culminated in the great battle of Ardderyd in 573, where the combatants on both sides are inferred to have been Celts. The conquests of Urien in the land of the southern Goidels do not appear to have formed an integral part of the Cunedda legend, so we seem to be at liberty to place them in this part of the sixth century. But it is needless to say that they were hardly undertaken without the leave of the over-king of the Cunedda dynasty. This measure may have been called for by the Goidels trying to assert their independence, and Urien, who could, for some reason or other, be spared from the North, may have been made use of to crush them with his following of Brythons. In any case the result must have practically put an end for ever in South Wales to the aspirations of the Goidels, if they had any. There are other indications to the same effect, especially in the legendary life of St. David, written by Rhygyvarch, bishop of St. David's, in the latter part of the eleventh century.[2] We are there told of a severe struggle between the saint and the prince called Boia. This pagan chief, who was not a Brython, but is sometimes called a Scot and sometimes a Pict, was, of course, discom-

[1] Iolo MSS., pp. 70, 71.

[2] It has been alluded to at p. 226: see also "Cambro-Brit. SS.," pp. 117–143.

fited by the miracles David is said to have wrought; and in due time both he and his wife came to a bad end, which may be taken to mean that the saint was backed to such an extent by the power of the Cunedda family, to which he belonged on the father's side, that local opposition was of no avail against him. The name of the king ruling over Demetia at the time when Gildas wrote, was Vortiporios, a decidedly non-Goidelic name, which appears in the Nennian genealogies in the Welsh form of Guortepir, son of Aircol, whose name must be the Welsh reduction of the Latin *Agricola*. Aircol's father was Triphun, which also seems to be a non-Goidelic name, but Triphun and his sons are said to have been the princes of Demetia at the time of St. David's birth. During some part of King Triphun's reign, Keredigion seems to have been ruled by Sanctus or Sant, the son of Keredig, and the father of St. David, according to the legendary life of whom Sant had become a monk, and gone to Demetia, when he met the nun who became the mother of St. David; but the incident is easier to understand if we suppose him to have been at the time not only king of Keredigion, but possessed of power enough in Demetia to enable him to do there much as he liked. In either case, the king of Demetia does not seem to have had much authority left to him as against the princes of the house of Cunedda. The ancestors of Triphun had possibly made the best of the situation by adopting the religion of the dominant race, and allying themselves by marriage with the Cunedda dynasty; but that Triphun

had non-Brythonic blood in his veins seems to be indicated by his pedigree, which is traced back to a Dimet, who, whether a real ancestor or the eponymus of the people of Demetia, connects him unmistakably with the Goidels of that region, or else with the non-Celtic aborigines, who gave its name of *Moni Iudeorum* to Mynyw or St. David's. Lastly, as to David, it is important to bear in mind that he also was probably a Goidel, on the mother's side: this explains at least, in part, why his labours were always directed to the Goidelic districts, and also why men from Ireland came to sit at his feet. It, moreover, gives a meaning to a curious passage in the life which describes how Gildas's preaching in Demetia was, once on a time, brought to an abrupt end by the mysterious influence of the greatness of David, even when he happened to be present only in embryo. The story seems to make too great a difference between the two men in point of age; but the fact it dimly sets forth is that Gildas, who was a Brython of the Brythons, could not hope for the same following among the Goidels as a man, who to his connexion with a powerful Brythonic family added probably a native's knowledge of Goidelic speech, and complete sympathy with everything Goidelic except Goidelic paganism. But it is in Cadoc that we find David's most formidable rival. Cadoc, like his brother saint, may have been connected by blood with the house of Cunedda, but whether that was so or not, he seems to have had the support of Maelgwn, its redoubtable head; and, like David, he seems to

have possessed the qualifications calculated to make his ministration acceptable to the Goidels. The reputation, however, which he has left behind him is rather one for learning and wisdom; while churches dedicated to David are to be found here and there in all parts of South Wales, except that which formed the old diocese of St. Padarn.

The Brythonic people who may be presumed to have buried in barrows have left us an inscription in Montgomeryshire, and another in Merionethshire, in both of which the deceased is said to have been placed in a barrow or mound—*in tumulo:* the same expression occurs also in an epitaph not very far from Edinburgh, and another at Yarrow Kirk, in the county of Selkirk. This contrasts with the great majority of the epitaphs from the Goidelic parts of Wales and Dumnonia, in which we are simply told that the deceased "lies," *jacit*, or "lies here," *hic jacit.* There are, however, a few of the former description on Goidelic ground or on its boundaries: one such occurs in Cornwall, one or possibly two in South Wales, and one in Carnarvonshire, in which the dead is said to lie in a *congeries lapidum* or cairn of stones.[1] All these interments belonged, probably, to Brythons, or were made under the influence of the Brythonic fashion spreading among the Goidels. Compared with the other and more numerous epitaphs, they are on an

[1] See Hübner, Nos. 125, 131, 211, 209, 7, 52, 234, 136: compare also Kuhn's "Beiträge," iii. p. 73, where Stokes gives Gaulish and Irish parallels, and San-Marte's "Nennius and Gildas," p. 78.

average longer and fuller, more in accordance with the Roman custom, and characterized by a far greater variety of formula, which would seem to show that they appertained to a people much more given to writing than the Goidels can have been, though the latter made more use of it in honouring the dead. It is probably with these Brythonic burials that we have to class the cairn, removed in 1832, in the immediate neighbourhood of Mold, in Flintshire. It was believed in the country around to be haunted by a spectre in gold armour, and when more than 300 loads of stones had been carted away the workmen came to the skeleton of a tall and powerful man placed at full length. He had been laid there clad in a finely wrought corslet of gold, with a lining of bronze: the former was found to be a thin plate of the precious metal, measuring three feet seven inches long by eight inches wide. Near at hand were discovered 300 amber beads and traces of something made of iron, together with an urn full of ashes and standing about three yards from the skeleton. The work on the corslet is believed to have been foreign, and is termed Etruscan by Prof. Boyd Dawkins.[1] The burial belongs to an age when cremation was not entirely obsolete in this country, and we should probably not be wrong in attributing it to the time of the Roman occupation. On the whole, the duty of commemorating the dead among the Celts may be supposed to have devolved on the bards to whom we are probably indebted for the seventy or more triplets

[1] See his "Early Man in Britain," pp. 431–433.

devoted to this object and preserved in a Welsh manuscript of the twelfth century.[1] The last of them, which, remarkably enough, has to do with a grave in this same district of Mold, runs as follows, when freely rendered into English :—

> Whose is the grave in the great glade?
> Proud was his hand on his blade—
> There Beli the giant is laid.

A word now as to the people whom the Celts found in possession of the island when they came here: little is known for certain about them, though a good deal may be inferred, as we have had frequent occasions to suggest. From the nature of the case the first Celtic invaders, that is to say, the Celts of the Goidelic branch, were those who had most to do with the aborigines, and it may be doubted whether the Brythons ever came much in contact with them. So when they adopted Celtic speech and Celtic habits, it was those of the Goidels and not of the Brythons; and, looked at from the opposite point of view, it is hardly open to doubt that the Goidelic race was profoundly modified in many respects by its absorption and assimilation of the indigenous element. It is here, in fact, we are to look for the explanation of a good deal of the difference of speech between the Welsh and the Irish, not to mention that the study of the skulls of the present inhabitants of the British Islands, of their physique and complexion, has convinced anthropologists that we still have among us a

[1] See Skene's "Ancient Books of Wales," ii. pp. 28–35.

large number of men who are at least in part the descendants of non-Aryan ancestors. Indeed, we seem to detect their influence on the Goidels even within the narrow circle of their ancient inscriptions. The subject is a difficult one, and we can only touch it superficially. The full Aryan proper name was of the class to which such instances as the Greek Θεό-δωρος and Δωρό-θεος belong, from θεός, god, and δῶρον, a gift; and abundance of names compounded in the same easy way are to be found in every Celtic language; but by their side the Goidels have others which are not compounds, and to which the other Aryan languages offer few parallels. One of these is Maccu Deceti or Decet's Son, a name which occurs in epitaphs in Mona, in Devon, and various places in the southern half of Ireland, with Deceti spelt in such a variety of ways as to suggest a non-Celtic origin. It was probably the name of a god-ancestor or eponymus, and we seem to have it, as already observed, in a Brythonic form in the name of the people called Decantæ, in the north of Scotland, and of the Decanti of the *Decantorum Arx* or Degannwy, in North Wales, whence we are, perhaps, entitled to suppose that Decanti was one of the names the Brythons gave the Goidels in the background of the Ordovices. Still more instructive is such a name as Mael-Umi, the slave or servant of bronze, which possibly testifies to a national devotion to the sword, a weapon which the ancient Irish regarded as inspired and capable, among other things, of giving the lie to the perjurer; for *mael* means shorn or tonsured, and

here refers, probably, to the tonsure with which the Goidels were familiar as denoting servitude, even before the Church introduced a somewhat different observance among them. They went on forming Christian names in the same fashion, as may be learned from such well-known instances as Mael-Phadraic, Patrick's slave, and Gille-Christ, Christ's servant, Anglicized respectively Mulpatrick and Gilchrist.[1] Another word used in the same way was *mug* or *mog*, a slave, as in the proper name, Mog-Nuadat, Nuada's-Slave, where Nuada—in Welsh, Nudd—was a name of the god of the sea. Irish legend makes him husband of the Boyne, and the Silurians worshipped him under the name of Nōdens, or Nūdens, in a temple of Roman make at Lydney, on the western bank of the Severn. To the same class belongs Mog-Neid or Mog-Nét, Nét's-Slave, a name in which *Nét* was, according to Cormac, that of a god of war of the pagan Goidels. More correctly speaking, he seems to have been a war-god of the non-Celtic race in both Ireland and Britain; for an old inscription in the county of Kerry gives the name without a case-ending, and so marks it out as a probably non-Celtic word; and it is worthy of notice that the man's name Mog-Nét, appears in the eighth century among the Transmontane Picts of Alban as evidence that the same amalgamation of the same races had begun there also. It is read Moneit, so given in one of the chronicles as the name of the father of Biceot,

[1] See page 72 of this volume, and compare Semitic names like *Abdiel*, &c.

one of the officers of Nechtan when he was defeated by Ungust in 729 near the waters of the Spey. The Kerry monument[1] alluded to introduces us to another remarkable class of names, for it is found to commemorate a man called Nét's-Hound son of Ri's-Hound. The latter usually becomes Roi in Irish literature, in the name of a well-known legendary hero, called Cú-Roi mac Dairi, or Roi's-Hound son of Dairi. This Ri or Roi was probably another of the gods of the non-Celtic race, as was also most likely Corb, from whom the names of men, Mog-Corb, Corb's-Slave, and Cú-Corb, Corb's-Hound, were derived. Plenty more of this dog nomenclature could be produced from Irish literature, such as Cú-Ulad, the Hound of the Ultonians, and Cú-Mide, the Hound of Meath. Macbeth is also probably a name of the same kind. It was current in Ireland as well as in Scotland, and was sometimes treated as purely Goidelic, which would make it mean Son of Life; but such an abstract interpretation is discountenanced by *Maelbeth*, which was likewise used in both islands, and must have meant the Slave of Beth. That this last word meant some dog divinity or dog-totem, is suggested by the probable identity of Macbeth —not, as we think, Duncan—with the Hundason, or Hound's-Son, of one of the Orkney Sagas that relate to their time. In that case, Maelbeth would be a partial translation into Gaelic of the

[1] See Brash's "Ogam Monuments," p. 175, and plate xvi., from which the right reading appears to be *Conu Nett moqvi Conu Ri*, and compare the Hebrew *Caleb*, dog.

name, which, completely rendered into it, produced the *Maelchon* we have more than once mentioned in connexion with the Pictish kings; this, at any rate, meant the Hound's Slave. Similarly Macbeth, put wholly into Goidelic, would be Mac-Con, or the Hound's Son, which occurs as the name of a mythical prince, whose sway was not confined to Ireland, but extended, according to Cormac, to the part of Britain in which Glastonbury stood. Mac-Con may, perhaps, be regarded as representing the whole non-Celtic race of these islands. It would fill too much space to go into the details of this question, but enough has been said to make it probable that the dog was a most highly respected totem or god of that race, and also to call to mind the words of Herodotus, who would seem to have heard of some such a people when he speaks of a race called the Kynesii or Kynetes; both of these terms have the look of Greek words meaning dog-men. His first mention of them comes in the second book (c. 33), where he speaks thus:—"The Celts are outside the Pillars of Hercules, and they border on the Kynesii, who dwell the furthest away towards the west of the inhabitants of Europe." The other occurs in the fourth book (c. 49), where he speaks in the same way, mentioning the Celts as the furthest away towards the setting of the sun, with the exception of the Kynetes. So far as the words of Herodotus go, one might suppose that the race he had in view was the non-Celtic one of Britain and Ireland; but later writers, such as Avienus, locate them in the west of the Spanish peninsula, which suggests a still more

important inference—namely, that there existed in Herodotus's time a Continental people of the same origin and habits as the non-Celtic aborigines of these islands. What the name of the latter was in this country we are not quite sure, but in Ireland it was Ivernii in Ptolemy's time; and he mentions a town there called Ivernis, and a river Ivernios. To these may be added various forms of the name of the island, such as Juvenal's *Iuuerna*, distorted more usually by the Romans into *Hibernia;* the *Iverna* of a graffito to be seen till lately in the Palace of the Cæsars in Rome; the Irish *Ériu*, accusative *Érinn;* and the Welsh *Iwerddon;* not to mention Ἰέρνη; disembowelled of its *v* or *w* by Greek pronunciation, just as in Irish itself an early *Iverjo* has yielded *Ériu*, while the name of the *Ivernii* appears as *Ierni*,[1] *Erni*, and *Erna* in Irish literature, which musters them latest and strongest in Munster, though they are also made to give its name to Loch Erne in Ulster. It may be added that the fact of our having the same word as the Goidelic name of Ireland used also as that of the river Earn[2] in Scotland, suggests that one would not, perhaps, greatly err in applying the term *Ivernii*, or Ivernian, to the non-Celtic natives of Britain as well as of the sister island. Their eponymous

[1] This important form is to be inferred from *ier diernaib* (Iver de Iverniis), in "Lebar na h-Uidre," p. 99*a*.

[2] See Berchan's Prophecy in Skene's "Chr. of the Picts and Scots," pp. 84, 88, 98; also a confused bit of geography cited in Reeves's "Culdees," p. 124, where *sraith hirend* must refer to Stratherne.

ancestor in the latter is variously called Ier, Iar, Er, Ir, Eber, Emer, and Heber,[1] while the legend makes the whole Irish people[2] descend from two brothers, of whom Emer was the one, and much to their credit, Airem (genitive Eremon) the other, whose name means a ploughman; for he represented the Aryan farmer who introduced agriculture, however rude, among a people of hunters or shepherds, and is, moreover, described as the first in Ireland to yoke cattle for work. This is all in harmony with what is stated in the old Irish Laws, that in Érinn all law emanated from the Féini or the waggon-men, whence it was sometimes called Féineachus.[3] As the Celt was destined to have the upper hand over the Ivernian, the legend makes Airem slay Emer, and seize on the southern half of the island, which was supposed to have been the latter's kingdom; but the two races agreed in being warlike, so the two brothers are described as the sons of a soldier or warrior, whom the legend therefore calls Miled in Irish, and Miles in Latin, whence the so-called Milesian Irish. But this phantom soldier sometimes had another name—*Galam*[4] or *Golam*, meaning likewise a warrior or a brave man, from the word *gal*, passion, violence, valour, and of the same origin as *Galli*, the alternative designation of the Continental Celts, the meaning of

[1] *B*, *m* (modern *bh*, *mh*) usually represent the sound of *v*.

[2] See Fiacc's Hymn in Stokes's "Goidelica," pp. 127, 131.

[3] See the "Senchus Mór," i. pp. 52, 116.

[4] See O'Curry's "MS. Materials of Ancient Irish History," p. 447.

which we have already tried to explain. But the simple division of Ireland between the two ancestors of the Irish proved insufficient for the legend-mongers, since there were descendants of Emer in the north as well as in the south ; so the legend got complicated with an Er or Ir, differentiated from Emer or Heber to be his son, and to be the father of the northern Ivernians. These last partly succumbed to the northern O'Neils and partly retreated beyond the Bann to what was afterwards known as the country of the Irish Picts or the Scots proper: there they stubbornly resisted the advance of the Ultonians, though some of them found it necessary to seek a home in Britain. Next to Munster this land of Dalriada, Dalaraide, and Dalfiatach remained probably the most thoroughly Ivernian and the least purely Celtic in the island. It was found necessary to expand the story about Miled in another direction by giving him an uncle to bear the name of Ith and account for several places in Ireland called Mag-Ithe or the plain of Ith. This was probably non-Celtic, and it entered into the name of the Scotch island of Tiree, known formerly as Tirieth and *Terra Hith*. It is most likely the same name which we have met in that of the Lothian town of Iudeu mentioned by Nennius, and in that of the Judic people of the district around St. David's. While we are at this subject of the names of the ancient inhabitants, we may as well mention another, that of Firbolg, given them in Irish legend, and explained as meaning the bag-men or sack-men. That would be right if we had here to do with the

Irish word *bolg;* but it is more probably a different one, and an Ivernian vocable, since it occurs as the epithet of a Pictish king called Gartnait, and as *Bolge* comes among Pictish names in the legend of St. Andrew.[1] It meets us also probably in the country of the Selgovæ, in the name of a place called *Blatum Bulgium* in the Antonine Itinerary, and supposed to have been situated near Middleby Kirk, not very far from the river Annan; and also, perhaps, in the country of the Tæxali in the modern name of Strath-*bolgie* in Aberdeenshire, to which may be added *Bolgyne* from Macbeth's grant of land already mentioned. In Ireland it enters into names like Dúnbolg, near Donard, in the county of Wicklow, and Murbolg Dalriada, in the county of Antrim; while in Wales, where the word takes the same form of *bòl* as the Welsh for belly, we have a well-established group of such place-names in the middle of Anglesey, as Cors y Bol, the swamp of the Bol, Rhos y Bol, the moor of the Bol, and Pen-bol; also Llanol, formerly Llanvol, the church of Bol.[2]

At what time the Ivernian language became extinct in Ireland it is impossible to discover, but in Munster it appears to have not been long dead when Cormac wrote a sort of glossary in the ninth century, and alluded to it as the *Iarn* or iron language; for,

[1] Skene's "Chr. of Picts and Scots," p. 187.

[2] There is no church on the spot now, but an early inscription has been found there: see Rhys's "Lect. on Welsh Philology," p. 361: the form *Lanvol* occurs in the "Record of Carnarvon," p. 63.

owing to an accident of Irish phonology, both *isern-*, the early form of the Celtic word for iron, and *Ivern-* must become *iarn* in the later stages of the language, so that Cormac believed that in *Iarn* he had the ordinary Irish word for iron, or affected so to believe in order to proceed to explain, that it was so called on account of the difficulty of seeing through it, owing to its darkness and the compactness of its texture. He has, however, recorded two of the Ivernian words known to him, namely, *fern*, anything good, and *ond*, a stone: but these, together with Nét, Corb, Ri, and others in his work that may be suspected of being Ivernian, have hitherto thrown no light on the origin of this language; but should it turn out that those who without hesitation call our Ivernians Iberians, and bring them into relationship with the Basque-speaking people of France and Spain, are right in doing so, one could not at all wonder that Cormac considered the Ivernian a dark speech. In the north of Ireland the latter may have been extinct in the time of Adamnan; and Columba in the sixth century cannot have known it, which, nevertheless, does not prove that there were no peasants who spoke it there in his time. However that may be, Adamnan mentions a name into which *ond*, a stone, possibly enters, to wit, that of Ondemone, a place where the Irish Picts were beaten by the Ultonians in the year 563: it seems to have been near the Bann, between Loch Neagh and the mouth of that river. As for Britain, one of the most thoroughly non-Celtic portions of it south of the Clyde was probably that of the

Selgovæ or hunters, in Roman times, and later the more limited Pictish district beyond the Nith, but there is nothing to prove that they had retained their non-Celtic tongue down to the sixth century, or lost it before the Roman occupation. North of the Firths it is otherwise, as we have indications in Adamnan's "Life of Columba" that the language of the aborigines was still a living tongue. Adamnan wrote a little before the close of the seventh century, and his work has come down to us in a manuscript of the eighth. Now Columba, about whom he wrote, came from the north of Ireland, and spoke the Goidelic language: he passed over to the new settlement of the Dalriad Scots in Cantyre in 563, when he was forty-two years of age. Shortly afterwards he had the island of Iona given him, where he established his religious house, over which Adamnan presided in a later age. Not long after Columba's coming over to Britain he crossed Drumalban on a mission to Brude, king of the Picts, who had his stronghold in the neighbourhood of the river Ness, not far, probably, from its mouth. To him and his men he appears to have had no difficulty in making himself understood. But when he was, as we are told, in the province of the Picts, probably a little later but in much the same district, we read of him preaching to peasants or plebeians by interpreter. At another time he happened to be in the island of Skye, when a boat arrived with two young men who brought their aged father to be baptized by Columba. This time also he preached by interpreter, though the convert

bore the Celtic name of Artbranan, and is described as the chief of the Geonians, called by Adamnan Geona Cohors, in which we seem to have the name of a people of the mainland called Cerones in the manuscripts of Ptolemy's Geography. They had their representative among the legendary sons of Cruithne in that one of the latter called Ce. The use here made of the word *cohors* has already been noticed as a rendering of the Goidelic word *dâl*, which is proved to have been applied to the people of that region by the Goidels by the name Dalar, which the Norsemen were wont to give the Western Highlands, though it has been the custom of historians to try to derive the name from the Dalriads of Cantyre. The question now arises as to what was the language of the people whom Columba could only address by interpreter. There were in Britain two groups of Celtic dialects, the Goidelic and the Brythonic; but there is no reason to suppose that the peasants near King Brude's palace were Brythons, and still less probable is it that those who visited Columba in Skye were of that race. It has usually been supposed that they merely differed from the missionary Scot in speaking a Goidelic dialect, which was not his; but such a view does scant credit to the devotion of the early saints of Ireland to their work, and there is no reason whatever to suppose that they could not speedily master dialectical differences, which at most were of no very important nature in that early age. So far from that being the case, the usual silence as to interpreters

suggests that it was not a rare thing for Goidels to master the language of the Brythons, and the latter that of the former, so far as to be able to make their way in one another's country, though it must have given them infinitely more trouble than any dialect closely akin with their own. It remains, then, that the language of the people who could not understand Columba was not Celtic, but in all probability that of the ancient inhabitants. In the district where the power of the Picts grew into a considerable state, where the remarkable succession, known as Pictish, obtained and lasted longest, it appears that Goidelic was unintelligible to the peasants in the sixth century, while in the west, opposite Skye, even men of rank among the Picts could be found who knew no Goidelic, though they had begun to adopt Goidelic names, just as, in Wales, many a John Jones has that English name, though he cannot speak English or pronounce his name in the English way. Here may also be mentioned Argyle, as it is found variously called Oirir Gaithel, Airer Gaethel, and Arregaethel, meaning the region belonging to the Goidels or Gaelic-speaking people, just as Airer Dalriatai meant the country of the Dalriads; so, to give the word Argyle its full meaning, it must be supposed that, at the time it came into use, the Picts to the north of the district properly so called were as yet not Goidels: that is to say, they still had a language of their own. Bæda enumerates[1] the peoples of Britain,

[1] "Hist. Eccl.," i. 1.

in whose languages Christianity was taught in his day, as being the Angles, the Brythons, the Scotti (that is to say, the Goidels), the Picts, and the Latins. But so far as regards the Pictish language, the significance of his words is sometimes explained away by supposing it to have been a Celtic dialect lying somewhere between Brythonic and Goidelic, but rather nearer the latter; there is, however, no reason to suppose that was Bæda's view, as, in the case of English, he was content to let the language of the Angles stand for all the dialects without mentioning, for instance, that of the Saxons. For a long time, probably before Pictish or Ivernian wholly died out, it was loaded with words borrowed from Goidelic; but there is no ground whatever to suppose that it otherwise resembled it or any other Aryan tongue; and, if what we have surmised as to the name Macbeth should turn out well founded, it would tend to show that the non-Celtic speech did not become completely extinct till about the restoration for a time, in the eleventh century, of the Pictish kingdom, in the person of the king so called. The subject cannot be here gone into at length, but we may say that there are data which go to prove the non-Celtic aborigines to have spoken what was practically one and the same language in both Britain and Ireland, and that it will probably be found to have been derived from the same source as Basque. Moreover, we are inclined to believe that it has left its influence on Goidelic, which would go to show that where the ancient inhabitants were unable to hold their own they

were not extirpated by the Goidels but gradually assimilated by them. At first the Goidel probably drove the Ivernian back towards the west and the north, but, when another invasion came, that of the Brythons, he was driven back in the same way; that is, he was, forced so to say, into the arms of the Ivernian native, and to make common cause with him against the common enemy. Then followed the amalgamation of the Goidelic and Ivernian elements; for wherever traces of the latter are found we seem to come upon the native in the process of making himself a Goidelic Celt, and before becoming Welsh or English in speech he first became Goidelic in every instance south of the Clyde. This means, from the Celtic point of view, that the Goidelic race of history is not wholly Celtic or Aryan, but inherits in part a claim to the soil of these islands derived from possession at a time when, as yet, no Aryan waggoner had driven into Europe; and it is, perhaps, from their Kynesian ancestry that the Irish of the present day have inherited the lively humour and ready wit, which, among other characteristics, distinguish them from the Celts of the Brythonic branch, most of whom, especially the Kymry, are a people still more mixed, as they consist of the Goidelic element of the compound nature already suggested, with an ample mixture of Brythonic blood, introduced mostly by the Ordovices. And as to Welsh, it is, roughly speaking, the Brythonic language as spoken by the Ordovices, and as learned by the Goidelic peoples they overshadowed in the Principality of

Wales. To this its four chief dialects still correspond, being those, respectively, of Powys, Gwent or Siluria, Dyved or Demetia, and Venedot or Gwynedd.

Skulls are harder than consonants, and races lurk when languages slink away. The lineal descendants of the neolithic aborigines are ever among us, possibly even those of a still earlier race. On the other hand, we can imagine the Kynesian impatiently hearing out the last echoes of palæolithic speech; we can guess dimly how the Goidel gradually silenced the Kynesian; we can detect the former coming slowly round to the key-note of the Brython; and, lastly, we know how the Englishman is engaged, linguistically speaking, in drowning the voice of both of them in our own day. Such, to take another metaphor, are some of the lines one would have to draw in the somewhat confused picture we have suggested of one wave of speech chasing another and forcing it to dash itself into oblivion on the western confines of the Aryan world; and that we should fondly dream English likely to be the last, comes only from our being unable to see into a distant future pregnant with untold changes of no less grave a nature than have taken place in the dreary wastes of the past.

APPENDIX.

ADDITIONAL NOTES ON SOME OF THE NAMES IN THE TEXT.

AΘΘEDOMAROS, p. 36. The second part of this name, *māros*, is supposed to be the same word as the Welsh *mawr*, great, large, Irish *mâr* or *mór*, and it enters into a great many Celtic names. With regard to the other part, it is first to be observed that some of the Gallo-Brythonic Celts of antiquity lisped their *ss* in certain positions into ðð or θθ; but this habit was neither general nor has it come down into Welsh. The genitive of *Aððedomaros* is read *Assedomari* in an inscription found in Styria (Berlin "Corpus Inscr. Lat.," iii. No. 5,291); and a Welsh name, partly identical, is met with in a Welsh MS. of the twelfth century, to wit, *Guynnassed* (see "Skene's Ancient Books of Wales," ii. p. 32), which would now be written *Gwynasedd*. But the number of Welsh words that should throw light on the meaning of *asedd* is somewhat embarrassing: first comes *asen*, a rib, plural *eis*, ribs, also the roof beams which run the length of a house; then we have an *asedd*, which would, at first sight, seem to be the word wanted, but is probably a collective plural of *as-en* standing for an earlier *ansija;* lastly may be mentioned *aseth*, which may be a variation of the same word as *assedo:* it means a spit or spear.

Thus *Aδδedomaros* would appear to mean one who is great as to his spear, and *Gwynasedd* would be, so to speak, Whitespear. The related forms are Gothic *ans*, a beam, O. Norse *áss*, a pole, a main rafter, a yard; also probably the Latin *asser*, a beam, pole, or stake. It would thus appear that *aδδ* and *ass* in the name in question stand for an earlier *ans*, and this has an important bearing on the interpretation of other old names. A different theory, which I am unable to accept, has been proposed by the learned professor M. d' Arbois de Jubainville, in his recently published work, entitled "Études Grammaticales," pp. 32*—38.*

ADMINIUS, p. 34. The form *Amminus*, which is the one on coins, shows that *Adminius* is a Latinizing of *Amminios* under the influence of the notion that the name began with the prefix *ad*.

ALAUNA, p. 158. We have possibly the same name in the Welsh *Alun*, borne by a stream that joins the Dee not far from Chester.

ALLOBROX, p. 137. *Allobrox*, pl. *Allobroges*, appears as such, forming the name of a Celtic people in Gaul. It is likewise read *Allobrogae*, a word thus explained by a scholiast on Juvenal, viii. 233:—"Allobrogae Galli sunt. Ideo autem dicti Allobrogae quoniam *brogae* Galli agrum dicunt, *alla* autem aliud; dicti autem Allobroges quia ex alio loco fuerant translati" (see Jahn's "Juvenal," p. 303, and Diefenbach's "Orig. Europ.," s. v. *Allobrogae*). So the *allo-* of this name goes with the Greek ἀλλο-, as against the Latin aliu-s; for the Gallo-Brythonic Celts agreed with the Greeks in making *lj* (*j* = *y* in the Eng. word *yes*) into *ll*; *Brox*, *broges*, and *brogae* are represented in Welsh by *bro*, a district or country. The Irish form *mruig*, more frequently *bruig*, has been ascertained to be the same word as the English *march*, and German *mark*, a boundary or district. Probably the Latin

margo, edge or boundary, is derived from the same source. The old Gaulish had made *mr* into *br*, as Welsh has in *bro*, which enters also into the Welsh word *Cymro*, a Welshman, pl. *Cymry*, for *Com-brox* and *Com-broges* respectively. The vowel of the second part varied in Welsh as in *troed*, foot, *traed*, feet, since the Welsh word for a Welshwoman is *Cymraes*, and for the Welsh language *Cymraeg*, implying early forms, *Combragista* and *Combragica*. All this tends to show that the original combination was *mrg*, which has been simplified differently in the different languages. The national name, *Cymro*, seems to have been confined to the Kymric Celts, though the Bretons sometimes give the simple *brô* the sense of compatriot; and, whether the Kymry have ethnologically anything to do with the *Cimbri* or not, the names have absolutely nothing in common, in spite of what charlatans may say to the contrary.

ATECOTTI, pp. 56, 218. This seems to be the most correct spelling of the word, as it is probably to be resolved into Ate-cotti, the latter element being practically identical with the Cornish word *coth*, Breton *coz*, old or ancient. *Ate* is the early form of the prefix which appears in modern Welsh as *ad*, *at*, in such words as *adgas* or *atcas*, odious, from *cas*, hateful. With *Atecotti* as meaning ancient inhabitants, compare the Irish *Tuath Sen-Cheneoil* and *Tuath Sen-Erann*, the tribe of the Old Race, and the tribe of the Old Iverians respectively, in the lists of Irish tribes in O'Curry's "Manners and Customs of the Ancient Irish," vol. i. pp. xxviii. &c.

ANTEθRIGUS, p. 37. The coins give *Antedrigus*, *Anteθ* and other abbreviations; so I have ventured to regard the name as *Anteðrigus* of the *U* declension, though the meaning of the word is obscure.

ATREBATES, p. 9. It is also treated as *Atrebatii*,

which has been resolved into *Ad-treb-at-*, and derived from *ad-treb*, whence the Irish verb *attrebim*, "I dwell or inhabit," and the Welsh *athref* in the term *tir athref*, whereby was meant the land immediately around the dwelling. Thus it appears that *Atrebates* meant inhabitants, but probably in the special sense of farmers or homestead men. It may be added that the Welsh word *tref* has its equivalents in the English *thorp*, German *dorf*, and their congeners.

BELERION, pp. 45, 214. It is true that Ptolemy's MSS. read Βολέριον, and that *bélre* is always regarded as having its first vowel long; but that is not quite conclusive against the equation suggested in the text. There might, however, be some difficulty in seeing how such a term could become the name of a district, and it is to be observed that the word formerly meant more than the language of a people, to wit, the customs and laws that formed the accompaniments of that language; thus Irish law is called the Bérla of the Féni in the "Senchus Mór," ii. 32, iii. 544, and similarly the Canon Law, the Gospel or Christianity, is called the Bérla Bán, that is, the white or sacred Bérla, in the same, i. 16.

BELGÆ, p. 42. The derivation and meaning of this word are unknown, but one thing is certain: neither the people nor its name had anything whatever to do with the Irish Fir-bolg. Nor is there any reason to suppose that the Belgæ were Teutons.

BELISAMA, p. 68. The meaning of the name is unknown, but in point of form the word seems to be a superlative like *Uxama*: this occurs in the name of a Gaulish town in Spain called by Ptolemy *Uxama Barca* which would in Welsh be *Barca Uchaf* or Upper Barca, literally, in accordance with Celtic idiom, Uppermost Barca.

BITURIX, p. 65. The plural was Bituriges, and the name of the people is now perpetuated by the town

of Bourges: it seems to have meant *Weltherrscher* or world-kings, *bitu* being the same word which we have in the Welsh *byd*, world, Irish, *bith*, gen. *betho*.

BORESTI, pp. 88, 94. It is difficult not to regard the first two syllables of this name as the Brythonic equivalent of the word *forest*, which comes to us from the Low Latin *foresta*. The Boresti were very probably the same people as the Verturiones; and in that case they formed the outlying portion of the nation of the Brythons, and dwelt on the outskirts of the Caledonian Forest.

BLATON BULGION, p. 265. I have no idea what this curious name really meant, but the following notes may be of interest:—The story of Branwen, daughter of Llyr, describes Bran, her brother, leading a host to Ireland and on the point of being received into a spacious palace by the Irish, when one of his men, having gone before, found that they had two hundred bags in different parts of the building containing each a warrior ready for battle: he asked the Irish what was in each bag (*bol*), and they persistently replied that it was meal (*blawd*). He went round, and quietly killed all the soldiers in the bags by squeezing each man's skull between his fingers: then he sang an *englyn* on "the curious kind of meal" he had found in the bags ("Mab.," iii. pp. 95, 96; 120, 121). On the Irish side we have the account of the battle of *Dúnbolg*, in Wicklow, published by O'Donnovan in his edition of the "Four Masters" under the year 594, when, according to some, it took place. This story makes a provincial Irish king Bran Dub conquer the King of Érinn by passing in the night into the latter's camp with a large number of wild horses and some thousands of oxen bearing hampers on their backs. The hampers were supposed by the sentinels to be full of food for the King of Érinn, but

they contained armed men, who presently attacked the camp, and tied small bags full of stones to the tails of the wild horses to increase the confusion. The result was the utter defeat of Bran's enemies, and that the place came to be called the fort of the sacks or *Dúnbolg*. Thus it would seem that a non-Celtic word *bolg*, taken to be the Celtic word of the same pronunciation, was the source of these tales; and it is remarkable, that, if *Blaton Bulgion* be treated as Celtic, it appears to yield the early forms for *meal* and *bag* respectively, the identical words, in fact, which we found used in the Mabinogi of Branwen.

BOUDICCA, p. 66. This or *Bodicca* is doubtless the most correct form of the name, though it is not clear why the *c* is doubled: the ordinary Boadicea is the gibberish of editors. The name occurs as *Bodicca* in a Roman inscription found in Africa (Berlin, "Corpus Inscr. Lat." viii. No. 2877), and *Bodiccius* is read in an inscription commemorating a man belonging to a cohort of *Brittones* in Pannonia (iii. No. 3256). But *Boudica* or *Boudicas* is the spelling in a Roman inscription found in Spain (ii. No. 455), and the name Budic was not an unusual one formerly in Brittany. It is commonly supposed that they are all of the same origin as the Welsh word *budd*, benefit, advantage, and *buddugol*, victorious, so that *Boudicca* might perhaps be equated in point of meaning with such a Latin name as *Victorina*.

BRIGANTES, pp. 39, 111. Some would have it that this name meant mountaineers or hill-men from the same origin as the Welsh *bre*, a hill, and *bryn*, the same. But there are other words which seem to offer a better explanation, such as Welsh *bri*, renown, eminence, *braint*, privilege, formerly written *bryeint* for *brigeint-*, representing an early *brigantia* or *brigantion* according as the word was fem. or neuter.

From the stem *brigant-* was formed an adjective *brigant-in-*, which was reduced in Cornish to *brentyn* or *bryntyn:* it meant noble, free, privileged, the contrary of *kêth*, enslaved, while in Welsh it became *brëenhin*, now *brenhin*, a king, which has nothing to do with *Brennus*, though old-fashioned philologists fancy it has. Phonologically *brigant-* in all these words is the Gallo-Brythonic form of a common Celtic *brigent-* which with the nasal suppressed we have in the Irish name Brigit (for *Brigentis* of the *I* declension), St. Bridget or Bride. On the whole, then, *Brigantes* would seem to have meant the free men or privileged race as contrasted with the Goidelic inhabitants, some of whom they may have reduced under them.

CALEDONIA, p. 87. This was probably a word like Britannia, made by the Romans, while the native term may be supposed to have been Calido, genitive Calidinos, whence Caildenn in Dúnchailden or Dunkeld, and in early Brythonic Calido, genitive Calidonos, now Celyddon as in *Coed Celyddon*, the Caledonian Forest.

CALGACOS, p. 88. This seems a preferable spelling to Galgacos, as the word if Celtic may be derived from the same origin as the Irish word *colg* or *calg*, a sword; but another etymology is suggested by the Irish word *celg*, cunning, treachery: compare the name of the Irish hero called *Celtchar na Celg* or C. of the Wiles, in an Irish poem to be found in Windisch's "Irische Texte," p. 215.

CALLEVA, pp. 24, 29. This possibly meant a town in the wood, and is to be explained by means of the Welsh collective *cell-i*, a wood, a copse: the simpler form *cell* meant a grove as in *cell o ysgaw*, a grove of elder, but it has been ousted by and confounded with the other *cell*, which is the Latin *cella:* the Irish word was *coill* or *caill*, a wood. If this guess be right, it would suggest that the first syllable of the present

name Silchester stands for the Latin word *silva*. The other Calleva has been identified with Haslemere entirely without regard to that name; but the question offers itself, whether it be an accident that, if the name be supposed to have been *Colleva* or *Coldeva*, we should then have in the first syllable a word which meant hazel, the Welsh for that still being *coll*.

CAMULODUNON, p. 26. The locative occurs on coins as Camuloduno. *Dunon* is the word which makes *din*, a fortress or town in Welsh, and the whole name seems to have meant the town of Camulos, who appears as one of the gods of the Gauls. His name also seems to enter into the proper name *Camelorigi* on an early inscribed stone in Pembrokeshire, and *Camuloris*, *Camulorigho* on a lead coffin found in Anglesey: see "Lect. on Welsh Phil.," pp. 364, 400.

CANTION, p. 18. This name is perhaps rightly explained by means of the Welsh word *cant*, the rim, border, or circumference of a wheel or anything round: in that case the name of the people, the Cantii, was derived from it and not *vice versâ*; but this is very uncertain.

CARATACOS, p. 35. The Romans wrote *Caratacus*, and the editors have made it into *Caractacus*, which is gibberish. *Carat-* represents the passive part. of the verb, which is in Welsh *car-u*, to love, and the affix *-āc* is frequently used in proper names. The name is very common in Mod. Welsh as *Caradog*, and in Irish as *Carthach*, genitive *Carthaig*, perpetuated in an Anglicized form by the Irish families that call themselves *MacCarthy*.

CARAUSIUS, p. 92. The origin of this name is uncertain: it probably became popular in Britain, for we find it on an early inscribed stone at Penmachno, near Bettws y Coed.

CARBANTORIGON, p. 228. This may be taken to be a somewhat perverted spelling of Carbantorion, much in the same way as we had *Bergyon* for *Iberion*. The geographer of Ravenna writes simply *Carbantium*.

CARTISMANDUA, p. 39. It is also found written Cartimandua, and the second element seems to be the same as the first part of the name *Mandubratios*, and we have it in such Gaulish ones as *Viromandui* and *Epomanduoduron*.

CASSI, p. 28. Supposing the *ss* to stand here for an earlier *ns*, the name might be taken to be connected with the Gothic *hansa*, a band or host, German *hanse*, a league, whence the name of the Hanse Towns. The word Cassi in that case appears to have meant allies or confederates: see *Veneti*. The tribal idea of a common ancestor had perhaps given or been giving way to the more purely political one of alliance and mutual defence: see *Catti* and *Aðð-domaros*.

CASSIVELAUNOS, pp. 15, 243. The reading adopted by the best editors of the Latin texts in which the name occurs is Cassivellaunus, but I have little doubt that the *ll* is no more warranted here than in *Uxelodunon*, which see. The whole name would seem, in accordance with what has already been guessed with regard to *Cassi*, to mean a ruler of the league or a tribe-king; for Velaunos probably meant a prince or one who reigned, the root being the same as that of the Welsh *gwlad*, Irish *flaith* (pp. 67, 135), English, *wield*, German, *walten*, to rule, and probably also that of the Latin *valere*, to be strong. The epigraphic instances in point are the following:—(1.) VELLAVNIVS in an inscription at Caerleon (Berlin "Corpus Insc. Lat." vii. No. 126). (2.) CATVALLAVNA, describing the nationality of a woman married to a Palmyrene husband and buried at South Shields

("Ephemeris Epigraphica," iv. p. 212, No. 718*a*): the inscription commemorating this Catuvelaunian lady is in somewhat rustic Latin, and the compound has dropped the formative vowel of the first element in the compound, so that *Catu-velauna* is here read *Cat-vallauna*. (3.) VELAVNI, the name of an Alpine people ("Corpus Insc. Lat.," v. No. 7817, 45). (4.) VELAVNIS, the nominative of a man's name in two inscriptions found in Spain (iii. Nos. 1589, 1590). (5.) VALAMNI, the genitive of a man's name on an Ogam-inscribed stone from the county of Cork, and written in Mod. Irish *Follamhain* in the family name *O'Follamhain*, which English spelling simplifies into *O'Fallon*. The forms with *val* for *vel* represent probably a somewhat later stage of pronunciation among the Brythons than the others do, and the Goidelic *Valamni* further suggests that they and the Gauls had already begun to soften *mn* into *vn*, so that it would, perhaps, be more correct to write Cassivelavnos. Welsh tradition before the time of Geoffrey of Monmouth probably knew little of Cassivelaunos: his name was shaped after the analogy of *Cadwallon* and the like into *Caswallon*, which was then not unfrequently substituted for the former in quasi-historical writings. *Cadwallon*, however, is most likely a name very differently formed, standing, as it may be supposed for *Catuveljo*, genitive *Catuveljonos*; and a Brythonic *veljo*, *veljonos*, would in Irish be somewhat of the form *feliu*, *felenn* or *foliu*, *folann*, and we have a derivative from it in the Irish verb *follnaim*, I reign. Besides an early Brythonic *veljo*, there was probably a *velatros*, of the same meaning, which is postulated by the Welsh name *Cadwaladr*. They are, most likely, to be kept distinct from the *valos* implied by such a name as *Cadwal*, identical with the German *Hathovulf*, and meaning battle-wolf, where Welsh *wal* is to be equated

with the *wolf* so common in German and English proper names: this *wal*, in its turn, yields derivatives in *an*, such as *Buddwalan*.

CATTI, p. 29. The view that *Catți* was the name of a people depends on its being identical with *Cassi;* for *Catti* might also be the genitive singular of a personal name, since we have the nominative *Cattos* on a Gaulish coin: see *Cassi*.

CATURIGES, p. 30. The word is made up of *rīges*, the plural of *rīx*, a king, and *catu*, of the same origin as the An.-Sax. *heatho-*, war. In Irish it is *cath*, and in Mod. Welsh *cad*, where it means a battle. The name would accordingly signify battle-kings or war-kings.

CATUVELAUNI: see *Cassivelaunos* and *Caturiges*.

CELTÆ, p. 2. This word is sometimes explained as the equivalent of the Latin *celsi*, in the sense of tall men; but *celse nati* would be a preferable interpretation. Still better would be the German word *held*, a hero, but the O. Norse *hild-r* seems preferable to all the others: it not only meant war, but was also the name of one of the Valkyrias, who were regarded as the handmaids of Odin, and it entered into many proper names like *Hildibrandr* and *Brynhildr*. Among the cognate forms may be mentioned Lith. *kalti*, to strike, to hammer as a smith, *perkalti*, to strike through, Latin *percellere*, to strike, smite, to beat down.

CENIMAGNI, pp. 17, 28. The conjecture that *Cenimagni* stands for *Ecenimagni* would require, to establish it, that one should assign the meanings of both parts, *eceni* and *magni*. This is unfortunately difficult to do; but it may be supposed that *ecen-* is to be equated with the Welsh word *egin*, which now has no other signification than that of germs; but it may have acquired it in the same sort of fashion as the English word *blade* has, when one speaks of a blade of grass. One may further surmise that it originally

connoted sharpness, as its etymology is probably the same as that of the Latin *acuere*, to sharpen, *acies*, edge, sharp edge. In that case *Eceni* originally referred to some sort of sword or knife, and the word *magni* was added to particularize it; but in what sense is not evident. For *magn-* would probably yield either *maen* or *mān* in Welsh, of which the former means *stone* and the latter *small* or *fine*. Provisionally taking the latter, the whole term would mean the Men of the Small Knives; in that case it is clear that the adjective might frequently be dispensed with, and then the people would be simply called *Eceni*. This, in fact, would be a sort of Celtic parallel to that of the Teutonic Saxons, supposed to be so called from their use of the knife they called *seax*. A part of the Gaulish people of the Aulerci were also called *Cenomani*; so perhaps, after all, the safest view to take is to consider this to be practically the same name as that of our *Cenimagni*, and that the British people so called were a Belgic tribe, to whom no other allusion has been found. Lastly, if we treat *Eceni* as the whole name, it would probably be more correct to consider it to have been of the more derivative form *Ecenii*, meaning men armed with blades or swordsmen.

COGIDUMNOS, p. 78. Tacitus has *Cogidumno* in the dative, and all that is legible of the name in the inscription is GIDVBNI for the genitive Cogidubni, with *bn* for the *mn* of the MSS., an interchange which is very common, and suggests that both *mn* and *bn* were already softened into *vn*.

COMMIOS, p. 9. The meaning of this name is uncertain, but we have a simpler form of the same origin in the Gaulish *Comus*, with which the *Comux* of an old British coin is probably identical, with *x* for *s*: see *Tincommios*.

CONBELLINI, p. 249. The reading of this name is

somewhat difficult, but it seems to be the same name as Cunobelinos, but in a later stage and in a Goidelic dialect.

CONCANGION, p. 230. The other names with which this seems to range itself are Gangani and Decangi, the latter being possibly the same legend that is read *De Ceangi* on pigs of lead found in Cheshire and Staffordshire: see the Berlin "Corp. Insc. Lat.," vii. Nos. 1,204 1,205, 1,206, with which compare No. 1,207 found in the country of the Brigantes, in the West Riding of Yorkshire: it is said to read *Brig.* and not *De Brig.*

CORITANI, p. 30. This name may have been derived from the pre-Celtic inhabitants who possibly survived in the inaccessible districts near the Wash, which reached formerly almost to where Cambridge now stands. Add to this that we are reminded of the Pict so far south as the Kettering district in Northants, where there is a place called Pytchley, formerly *Pihtes leá:* see Kemble's "Codex Diplom." 443 (Ap. to vol. iii); also Wilson's "Prehistoric Annals of Scotland," i. p. 287.

CORNAVII, pp. 30, 217. The Welsh *corn*, a horn, was probably a *U* stem like the Latin *cornu*, but in the cases the *u* may have been diphthongized so that the genitive, for instance, may have been *cornav-os*, from which an adjective was formed, making in the singular *Cornavjo-s*, and in the plural *Cornavji*. In Cormac's Glossary the Cornavii of Dumnonia are called *Bretan Corn*, or the Brythons of the Horn, if, indeed, the south-west corner of Wales be not what was meant. In an account of the Danes and Norsemen flocking together for the struggle which ended with the battle of Clontarf, some are said to have come from the Corn-Britons of St. David's, and allusion is there made to another *Corn*, which may

possibly have been the headland between the Dee and the Mersey, which was in the possession of the Danes at one time. See Skene's "Celtic Scotland," i. p. 387.

CUNEGLASOS, p. 120. This is given by Gildas in the vocative as Cuneglase, which he asserts to have meant in Latin *lanio fulve*, the tawny butcher. But this is difficult to accept, for though *glas* may have meant any colour that might be described as blue, green, or gray, there is no indication that the word ever denoted any colour inclining to red or yellow. The other element, *cune*, is more usually met with as *cuno* or *cuna*, as in *Cuno-belinos* and *Cuna-lipi*. The reason for the variation is that the formative vowel was already but slightly pronounced: later it disappeared altogether, leaving these names in the forms *Conglas* and *Conbelin*, whence later *Cynlas* and *Cynfelyn*. The meaning and origin of *cuno* are obscure; but Gildas may have had in his mind the Welsh word for a dog, which is now *ci*, plural *cwn*, though in his time it was probably *cū*, genitive *cŭno(s)*, and what he renders *lanio* may well have meant, considering the mood he was in, a champion or great warrior. The corresponding Teutonic vocable was *hun*, the meaning of which is also obscure, though that of giant has been suggested. The following Celtic names in point have their exact equivalents in the list of Old German ones:— *Cunoval-i* (Mod. Welsh, *Cynwal*), *Cunalip-i* (which would be in Mod. Welsh *Cynllib*), and *Cunomor-i* (Mod. Welsh, *Cynfor*) = *Hunulf*, *Hunlaif*, and *Hunmar*.

CUNOBELINOS, p. 26. This name has been in vogue among the Welsh, by whom it has been successively written *Conbelin* and *Cynfelyn*. The first element, *cuno*, is mentioned under *Cuneglasos*,

and the other seems to consist of the name of the god *Belenos* or *Belinos*. Continental inscriptions equate Belinos with Apollo; he was worshipped by the Gauls, and probably also by the Brythons, though we do not happen to have any votive tablets which would prove it. But this view is favoured by the fact of his name entering into that of *Cunobelinos*, which hardly stands alone, as the well-known Welsh name *Llewelyn* probably represents an early compound *Lugubelinos*.

DECANGI, p. 79. The reading formerly adopted was *inde Cangos*, while the sense requires *in Decangos*, which seems to yield the same name as that read *De Ceangi* on the pigs of lead already alluded to. The question of the locality of this people is a very difficult one; but one thing which makes for the district between the Dee and the Clwyd is that it produces lead, and bears in Welsh the name of *Tegeingl*, which shows some similarity to the names here in question. It would still further remove some of the difficulty if we could suppose the Decangi to have inhabited the country on both sides of the estuary of the Dee.

DECANTÆ, DECANTI, pp. 221, 228. These are virtually, no doubt, one and the same name; but that of the people from whom Degannwy is called *arx Decantorum* in the Welsh Chronicle differs slightly from *Degannwy*, since this last appears to represent a related form *Decantovion*, or the like. It is possible that Tacitus's words *in Decangos* should be emendated into *in Decantos:* this would bring the Roman army to the neighbourhood of the Conwy.

DEIRA, p. 112. The origin of the Welsh *Deivr*, from which this word was made, is obscure, but it may be presumed to come directly from a form *Debria* or *Dobria:* the latter, if connected with the Welsh word *dwfr* (formerly *dubr*), water, would lead

one to suppose that the district got its name from its south-eastern portion being nearly surrounded by water; not to say, that the whole, with the exception of the part between the Derwent and the Tees, or the Derwent and the coast of the North Sea, may have been marked off by a water boundary.

DEMETÆ, p. 80. The name is of unknown meaning; the district is called in Modern Welsh *Dyved*, written *Dyfed*; besides the personal name *Dimet*, in the Nennian Pedigrees, we have the genitive Demeti in an early inscription near Haverfordwest. Rhys's "Lect. on Welsh Phil.," p. 277.

DERVENTIO, p. 39. Names of this kind were once very common, and became in Welsh literature Derwennydd; their origin is represented by the Welsh word *derw*, oak.

DEVA, p. 68. This word originally meant the river or rather the goddess of the river, for *Deva* is only the feminine corresponding to a masculine dēvo-s, a god; but, when the old terminations were dropped, *dēvos* and *dēva* assumed the same form, and this, according to rule, yielded in Old Welsh *doiu* or *duiu*: later it was written *dwyw*, as in *dwywwol*, divine, which is now *dwyfol*, of the same meaning. The semi-vowel was either dropped or made into *v* (written *f*): so Modern Welsh has *meudwy*, a hermit, meaning literally (like the Irish *céle dé* or Culdee), God's servant, and the river is *Dyfrdwy*, rarely *Dyfrdwyf*, which means the goddess's water. In the Harleian MS., 3859, one finds this river mentioned at fol. 195 a, as a part of the boundary of the dominion of Cunedda and his Sons—"Hic est terminus eorum a flumine quod vocatur *dubr duiu*. Usque ad aliud flumen *tebi*." In another version, inaccurately printed in the "Lives of the Cambro-Brit. SS." (pp. 97–101), it is similarly

called *dubyr dviv*. How Deva came to be the name of Chester or the *Castra Legionis* (whence the Welsh *Caer Lleon*, Chester) is not clear; possibly it was at first the camp *Ad Devam*, or "by the Dee," just as another station was called *Ad Ansam*; but for the camp of the legion on the Dee, Ptolemy, at any rate, had a distinct name, consisting of the derivative *Dēvana*, though he was somewhat wide of the mark in his idea of the position of the place. Here *Ad Ansam* deserves more than a passing mention. The measurements bring it to the neighbourhood of the Little Ouse, and the name Ouse[1] is undoubtedly the continuator of *Ansa*: compare the German *gans* with its English equivalent *goose*, or the English *tooth* with the early Teutonic *tanth*, which it is supposed to represent. As so many English rivers are called Ouse, the word *Ansa* must have denoted some familiar idea, but what was it? This cannot be answered with certainty, but the idea which naturally suggests itself is, that we have in *Ansa* a Celtic word of the same origin as the Old Norse *áss*, plural *æsir*, the name of the most ancient gods of Scandinavian lands, and the *ansis* of the Goths, as to whom Jordanes (ed. Mommsen) says: . . . . *iam proceres suos, quorum quasi fortuna vincebant, non puros homines, sed semideos id est Ansis vocaverunt.* The *ans* of these words may have meant spirit, and may be regarded as derived from the root *an*, to breathe, whence Welsh has *anadl*, breath, and *enaid*, soul. Thus, unless my guesses lead me astray, our *Ansa* would be the spirit or divinity of the river or rivers so called, and the form of the word would lead one to conclude that it was regarded as a female spirit like

[1] Kemble gives the old form of the name as *Use* or *Usa*, genitive *Usan*, and it probably enters into that of Osney, near Oxford.

Dera. This would not exclude the idea of her being at the same time an ancestral divinity; for an instance of a distinguished Welsh family, tracing its origin to a lake divinity, see the "Welsh Fairy Tales" in the "Cymmrodor," vol. iv. pp. 168, 173–176.

DICALIDONÆ, p. 164. See Δουηκαληδόνιος.

DIVICIACOS, p. 31. The name appears in the manuscripts of Cæsar as Divitiacus, but Gaulish coins prove the name to have been Diviciacos, and of the same origin, doubtless, as the Gaulish name Divico (Cæsar de Bell. Gall., i. 13).

DOBUNNI, p. 29. This name occurs in the genitive singular as that of a man mentioned on an early-inscribed stone at Tavistock: see "Lect. on Welsh Phil.," p. 400.

DOMNOCOVEROS, DOMNOVEROS, p. 40. The difference between these two terms was probably one of form alone, *domnoco* being a derivative from *domno*: see the remarks on *Durolipons*, where a possibly parallel case is mentioned. Compare also *Cantiori* (for early *Cantiorix*) and *Cantorix* (Welsh Phil., pp. 369, 397). The *domno* of *Domnoveros*, more usually *dumno* or *dubno*, is probably the same word as the O. Irish *domun*, world; but it is possible that it meant the smaller world of the tribe before meaning the world in a wider sense. That this was the case seems to be favoured by its fitting into the place of *cassi* in such names as *Cassivelaunos*, by the side of which we have *Dubnovelaunos*: compare also *Dumnorix*, which seems synonymous with *Toutiorix*, Welsh, *Tudri*, king of the tribe or of the people. The second element, *vero-s*, in *Domno-vero-s*, is probably the word for man, Welsh *gwr*, O. Irish *fer*, Latin *vir*. So it is possible that *Domnoveros* meant the man of the people; but the point cannot be established by means of our present data.

Δουηκαληδόνιος, p. 164. This form is particularly interesting, as showing the Celtic pronunciation of the feminine numeral, which appears in the MSS. of Am. Marcellinus, as the *di* of his *Dicalidonæ:* in Modern Welsh it is *dwy*, and in Irish, *dí*, Sanskrit, *dve*, two. So much as to the form of the word. When it is asked what was meant by the two Caledonias which this term implied, two answers may be given: possibly the two portions of the country, as divided by the River Ness and the lochs connected with it, were intended; but it is more probable that the division was then regarded as made by the mountain chains as in later times, and this at one period probably coincided fairly well with the racial one into Celts and Picts.

DUBNOVELAUNOS, p. 27. The forms in the Ancyra inscription are incomplete, both in the Greek and the Latin versions: the former still shows ΔΟΜ//Ο///-ΛΛΑΥΝΟΣ, which was probably Δομνοβέλλαυνος, with the Greek softened β for the Celtic *v*. This would explain why the Latin is found to read DVMNO-BELLA////, and it is a good instance of the utter impossibility of saying, from the use of Latin *b* in foreign names, whether *v* or *b* was meant. See *Ivernia* and *Trinovantes;* also *Domnoveros* and *Cassivelaunos.*

DUMNONII, pp. 43, 152, 158. There were two peoples so called, the one in the south-west of the island, and the other in the north. The latter are frequently called Damnonii, but their real name is clearly identical with that of the other, as two Roman inscriptions exist, in which the northern Dumnonii are referred to as *Civitas Dumnon* and *Civitas Dumni* ("Corpus Inscr. Lat.," vii. Nos. 775, 776), the latter of which would seem to imply a genitive plural *Dumnionum*, with a nominative singular *Dumnio*, while the Welsh *Dyfneint*, Devon, appears to represent a form

like *Dumnuntiorum*, which occurs in one of the MSS. of the Antonine Itinerary. It is quite possible that these names are derived from the same word, *domno-s*, which we have already mentioned; and another explanation may, perhaps, be supplied by the Welsh word *dwfn* (for an older *dumn-*, or *dubn-*), deep. But it is remarkable that the southern and the northern Dumnonii occupied districts bordering on two seas each; and it is not impossible that in the syllable *du* we have a reduced form of the second numeral, and that the rest of the word is of the same origin as *Manaw*, the name of a part of their conntry, also as *Manawyddan*, that of the sea-god, called in Irish *Manannan;* but all this is guess-work, which the reader must take for what it is worth.

DUMNORIX, p. 40. See *Domnoveros* and *Dubnovelaunos.*

DUNION, p. 214. If it be not an accident that the MSS. of Ptolemy read Δούνιον, not Δοῦνον, the *i* of the former is of importance, as showing that we have here to do with a form differing from the Gallo-Brythonic *dūnon*, which makes in Welsh *din*, a fort or town. It could be explained only by the O. Irish word *dún*, genitive *dúne* of the same meaning. Now *dún* has been ascertained to represent an early neuter stem in *es*, nominative dūnos, genitive dunesos, which, when the *s* came to disappear, would be *dūneo*, liable to become *dūnio.* This last may be compared with the *latio* of an early inscription in South Wales, which would be the rule-right equivalent of the Latin genitive *lateris* (Rhys's "Lect. on Welsh Phil.," p. 398). From *dune* or *duni*, which would thus be the base for the oblique cases, nothing would be more natural than for the word, seeing that it was neuter, to take the form δούνιον and *dunium*, in the writings of Greek and Latin authors, in case it had not been

Brythonicized into *dunon* before reaching them. The Peutinger Table gives the name of a town fifteen miles from *Isca Dumnoniorum* as *Ridumo*, to be read an ablative *Ridunio;* but the name is probably incomplete, and it has been proposed to take it as standing for *Moridunio*, and as indicating Wareham. *Moridunion* would mean the sea-fort; and it was probably also the name of Carmarthen on the tidal part of the Towy, though it is only called *Maridunon* by Ptolemy. A *Moridunion* at Wareham would just fit the order in which the anonymous geographer of Ravenna gives the name of a town he calls *Moridunum*. But, if any regard be had for the positions indicated in the Peutinger Table, *Ridunio* should rather be a mutilated form of the full name of our *Dunion*, and this should then perhaps be completed into *Duridunion*, as the antecedent of the modern name Dorchester. This matters little, of course, so far as regards the question of *dunion* versus *dunon:* see also *Uxelodunon*.

DUROLIPONS, p. 225. This has been supposed to have been situated at one of the three places, Ramsay, Cambridge, or Huntingdon. The Antonine Itinerary gives it in the ablative as Duroliponte, so that there cannot be a doubt that the Romans thought they detected in the name their word *pons*, a bridge; but it is quite possible that this was only a guess; and it is remarkable that the next station in the Itinerary has the name *Durobrivæ*, which, seeing that *briv-* meant a bridge (being, in fact, the Celtic cognate of that English word, An.-Saxon *brycg*, just as Welsh *wy* is in English *egg*), would have had, practically, the same meaning as *Durolipons*, for *duroli* would have to be regarded as a derivative from *duro;* and *Durobrivæ* is all but the same name as that mentioned in another route as *Durocobriv-is*, with a derivative *duroco* by the side of *duro:* compare *Domnoveros* and

Domnocoveros. So it is quite possible that the Romans were mistaken, and that the name in question is to be divided *Duro-lipons*, with the same *lip* as in the personal name *Cunalipi*, a genitive in an early inscription recently discovered in Carnarvonshire. As to the other element *duro*, so often met with in Celtic names of places in Britain and Gaul, it appears to mean door, gate, or porch, and to be of the same origin as the Welsh *dōr* and *drws*, Irish *dorus*, a door, and the English word and its congeners. *Duroco* in *Durocobrivis* is probably the same word as the highly interesting *duorico* of a Gaulish inscription, in which it seems to have meant some kind of a portico (De Belloguet's "Ethnogénie Gauloise," i. p. 300). But, though the etymology of *duro* in Celtic names is tolerably clear, it is not very evident what it exactly meant: did it refer mostly to the gates or entrances of strongholds, or to those of temples, as in the case of the Gaulish Iron-Door mentioned in the life of Eugendus (Act. SS., Jan. 1, vol. i. p. 50, and "Lect. on Welsh Phil.," p. 26)? Lastly, the etymology of the word suggests the possibility of some of the *duro* names being of the same kind as *Forum Juli*, *Forum Voconi*, and the like in Gaul, Spain, and Italy.

DUROTRIGES, pp. 20, 214. The meaning of the name is obscure, but the compound would seem to resolve itself into *Duro-* and *trig-es*: it is remarkable, however, that the name seems to admit of being equated with that of the Irish people, called *Dartraighi*, who have left their name to Dartry, in the county of Leitrim.

EBUDÆ, p. 221. This name has been so treated in later times that it has passed through *Hebudes* into *Hebrides*, and attached itself to the islands north-west of Scotland.

ECENI, p. 28, see *Cenimagni.*

EPATICCOS, p. 26. This name seems derived from the Gallo-Brythonic word for a horse, which must have been *epo-s*, whence the Welsh *ebol*, a colt; but the termination, with its double *c*, reminds one of *Boudicca.*

EPEIACON, p. 225. The affix *āc* would give the name the force of a word meaning a place abounding in, or in some way associated with, that which is denoted by the preceding syllables. The base, which we have here as *epei*, would imply a noun *epeio-s*, *-a*, or *-n* derived from *epo-s*, a horse; but whether the derivative meant some kind of a horse, or a horseman, is impossible to decide. The word *Vereda* (of which *Voreda*, the other form in the MSS., would be a somewhat later one) also referred to horses, as it cannot be severed from the Welsh word *gorwydd*, a horse, which would imply a masculine *verɛdos.* Possibly, while *veredos* was a horse, a feminine *vereda* meant a collection of horses or cavalry. Compare the Greek, ἵππος, horse, and ἡ ἵππος, cavalry. *Veredos* is the word which became in Late-Latin *veredus*, whence the hybrid *paraveredus*, the original of *palfrey* and the German *pferd*, a horse.

EPPILLOS, p. 23. It is remarkable that in this name the *p* appears always double, which can hardly be deemed etymological, as it is probably a derivative from *epo-s.* The double *l* in such names seem to stand for *l* plus *j* (the semi-vowel *y*). *Epillos* would be exactly the Welsh word *ebill*, an auger, a chisel, the key of a harp, though literally it ought to mean a little horse.

GALLI, pp. 2, 263. Here the double *l* is of the same origin as in *Eppillos* and *Allobrox:* the nominative singular would be *Gallos* for *Galjos.* The root-word *gal* meant any thrill, passion, or rage, no nice

distinction between violence and valour having been attempted. The feminine *Gallia* is probably of Roman creation.

GANGANI, p. 230. Ptolemy mentions the headland of the Gangani as Γαγγανῶν ἄκρον, and this is to be traced later in *Pentir Ganion*, or the headland of Ganion, the home of a Goidel, mentioned in a passage in the "Mabinogion" (ii. pp. 208, 209), where he is spoken of under the title of *arderchawg Prydein*, or prince of Prydein. Here *Prydein* is, as elsewhere not unfrequently, an error for *Prydyn* or Pictland, and so the name must have been once applicable to a part of Carnarvonshire. Besides the Pictish Gangani of Wales, Ptolemy places a people of the same name in the west of Ireland. I should gather from the Welsh *Ganion* that the early form was *Gangnanes* or *Gangnones*.

GERONTIOS, p. 95. This is the name which has yielded in Welsh *Gereint* and *Geraint*, borne by the man alluded to on p. 107. Compare *Ambrosius* becoming *Emreis*, though more commonly *Emrys*.

IVERNA, p. 262. Dr. Neubauer, who looked for the graffito last year, found that it had been effaced by the weather; but a few years before it was carefully examined by the Rev. John Wordsworth, who has kindly communicated the following reading to me:—*Bassus Cherronesiia et Tertius Hadrumetinus et Concessus Iverna*. The names appear to be those of three slaves, and the fact that the one from Ireland was called Concessus is remarkable; for, though that name seems to have been uncommon in Britain, it can be matched by a *Concessa*, to the use of which, in this country, we have testimony in the later form *Conchess*, the traditional name of St. Patrick's mother. *Concessus*, *Concessa*, *Concessanus*, and the like, are found to have been by no means unusual names in other parts of the Roman world; but the only form of

this group known in the Roman inscriptions of Britain is *Concessinius* on a stone found at Hexham (vii. No. 481).

MÆATÆ, pp. 90, 155, 159, 168. The name, as it occurs in Reeves's "Adamnan's Life of St. Columba" is *Miati* and *Miathi*. The meaning of the word is unknown, but there is no reason whatever to think that it has anything to do with the Goidelic word *mag*, a plain or field, as some take for granted, who have no notion of perspective in language.

MAGLOCUNOS, p. 120. This name has been successively softened down into Mailcon or Mailcun, and Maelgwn—not Maelgwyn. It is not to be confounded with the Irish Maelchon, for Brythonic *maglo-*, is in Irish *mál*, a prince or hero, while Irish *mael*, a tonsured (slave), is in Welsh *moel*, bald or bare. The elements of this compound also made a name *Cunomaglos:* the genitive *Conomagli* occurs ("Lect. on Welsh Phil.," p. 369), and the Modern Welsh is *Cynvael*. The accentuation would seem from the modern forms to have once been *Maglocúnos* and *Cunomáglos*, which is confirmed by the doubling of the *l* in such Irish forms as *Conall* of the same composition as the Welsh *Cynwal*, and represented by the *Cunovali* of an inscription found in Cornwall (Hübner's "Inscr. Brit. Christ.," No. 2).

MAPONOS, p. 225. The monument to Apollo Maponos, found at Hexham, stands about four feet high, and the lettering is said to be of the finest description; but there are two other inscriptions which refer to this god. The one was found in the parish of Ainstable, in Cumberland (vii. No. 332), while the other had been cut on a fine piece of sculpture, made *pro salute* of the persons concerned, and discovered at Ribchester, near Blackburn, in Lancashire (vii. No. 218). The name *Maponos* or *Mabon* is de-

rived from *mapo-s*, in Old Welsh *map*, now *mab*, a boy, a youth, a son, and is formed like Welsh *gwron*, a hero (from *gwr*, a man). *Mabon* means a boy, and is best understood by looking at the Greek representations of Apollo, according to which he was ever young and vigorous, and by calling to mind that in Irish mythology the solar hero Cúchulainn was always beardless, which his admirers of the other sex sometimes excused by pretending to believe that he was young. Beside the youth of Maponos and his concern for the health and safety of his worshippers, we learn the following things of him as the Mabon of the "Mabinogion":—He is a great hunter, who has a wonderful hound, and he rides on a steed as swift as a sea-wave; when he was three nights old he had been stolen from between his mother and the wall, no one knew whither; numberless ages afterwards it was ascertained by Arthur that he was in a stone prison at Gloucester, uttering heart-rending groans and undergoing a treatment with which Apollo's bondage in the house of Admetus could not compare; Arthur and his men succeeded in releasing him to engage in the great hunt of Twrch Trwyth, that could not take place without him ("Mabinogion," ii. pp. 225–6, 234–5, 286–7, 300–1); and, lastly, he is always called son of Modron, the name probably of his mother, since *Modron* implies a stem *modr*, the reflex of the Latin *mater*, Eng. *mother*. Moreover, *Modron* is the exact equivalent of the Gaulish word *Matrŏna*, the name of the river (more correctly perhaps of the goddess of the river) now called the Marne. Apollo and Leto or Latona, with the corresponding Celtic duad Mabon and Modron, suggest the interesting question, how far the place of the Madonna and Infant Jesus in Greek and Latin Christianity had been prepared for them by the Aryan paganism that went before.

Welsh hagiology has very little to say about saints of the name of Mabon: it is quite possible that one or another of them is simply Apollo Maponos in a Christian garb. From the order in which *Maponi* comes in the lists of British places given by the anonymous Ravennas, his temple would appear to have been somewhere in the south of Scotland or the north of England.

ORDOVICES, pp. 80, 215. The plural *Ordovices* seems to be an adjectival formation from a simpler word *Ordovo-s*, which is Latinized into *Ordous* in Corbalengi's epitaph: the plural would be Ordovi, which we seem to have in the name of a farmhouse, near Rhyl, in Flintshire, to wit, *Rhyd Orddwy* or the ford of the Ordovi. The further advance of the Ordovices is also marked by the strong position now called Dinorwig in the neighbourhood of Carnarvon, being, as it seems, formerly called *Dinorddwig*, the fortress of the Ordovices: see Duppa's "Johnson's Tour in N. Wales," p. 198, where it is spelt *Dinorrddwig*. But still more significant is the fact learnt from the fragment on boundaries in the "Iolo MSS," pp. 86, 477, which gives the district between the Dovey and Gwynedd the name *Cantref Orddwyf* or the Hundred of the Ordovi: I have not succeeded in tracing the original, which Iolo calls a book of Mr. Cobb's, of Cardiff; but the fact speaks for its genuineness, that neither he nor his son, who undertook the translation into English, understood it; and the addition of *f* to *Orddwy*, as it were after the analogy of *Dyfrdwyf*, is probably due to the former. The district appears to have got to be called *Y Cantref* or the Hundred *par excellence*, so that the distinctive word Orddwy ceased to be repeated. Others would explain these names by means of the Welsh word *gorddwy*, violence or oppression; but violence was so general in former

days as considerably to disqualify the word for topographical use. The etymon is probably to be found in the Welsh word *gordd*, a hammer or sledge-hammer, which was in Old Welsh *ord* as it has always been in Irish. The Ordovices were originally the hammerers, and the kind of hammer meant was probably the formidable axe-hammer of stone, of which specimens have been found in different localities in Britain: archæologists believe it to have been meant for war and used down into the Iron Age.

PENNOCRUCION, p. 226. This name consists of *penno-s*, head or top, *crūcio-*, which became in Welsh *crūc*, now *crūg*, a heap or mound; the whole would mean the top or head of the mound or barrow, possibly the top mound. It is now Penkridge, and an intermediate form Pencrik occurs in an eighth century charter of Æthilhard of Wessex: see Kemble's "Codex Diplomaticus," No. lxxvi. *Pencrik* represents the Welsh pronunciation which would then have been *Pencrūc*, just as nearly as regards the narrow *ū* as Bæda's *Dinoot* does the personal name, which in his time was *Dūnōt*, later Dunawd, being no other than the Latin *Donatus* in a Welsh form. The English having afterwards made *Pencrik* into *Penkridge*, nothing was more natural than to divide it in the wrong place into Penk-ridge: hence it is that the river close by is called the Penk.

PETUARIA, pp. 39, 226. This would be the word for fourth, agreeing with a feminine noun which is not given: the exact modern equivalent is the Welsh pedwaredd, *quarta*, which suggests that the old form was pronounced *petwarija*.

REGNI, p. 23. If the word is of the origin suggested, it would probably have been more correctly written *Regnii*, a derivative from the Latin word *regnum*. To the Regni most likely belonged the

town of *Regentium* mentioned by the anonymous Ravennas.

REMI, p. 29. *Remi* was the name of the leading Belgic people, and it would seem to be of the same origin as the Welsh word *rhwyf*, a king, Irish *riam*, before, and the Latin *primus*, first; compare the English *first* and the German *fürst*, a prince. The name of the Remi would thus be of the same flattering description as that of the Caturiges and others.

SCITLIVISSI, p. 249. The *viss* of this word is probably of the same origin as the Irish verb *fess*, was known, *fissi*, "sciendum," and the English word *wise*. The other part, *scitli*, is closely allied with the Old Irish *scél*, a story, news, and *so-scéle*, good news, gospel. The Welsh equivalent is *chwedl*, for an older *chwetl*, of the same meaning as the Irish *scél*. The two taken together prove the common Celtic stem to have once been *sqvetl*. If this is to be treated as standing for *s(e)qvetl*, as suggested by Prof. Zimmer, we have to do with a word of the same origin as the English *say* and the German *sagen*. The reduction by the Goidels of original *sqv* to *sc*, and by the Brythons to *sv* (whence Welsh *chw*) may prove of some use in distinguishing between the Celts of the two branches of the family; since we have it in other Welsh words, such as *chwydu*, to vomit, Irish *sceith*, and Welsh *cy-chwyn*, to start, Irish, *scind*, flew, sprang, started.

SEGANTII, p. 217. The alternative *Setantii* is given in Ptolemy's Geography, and, similarly, the name of the river is either *Segeia* or *Seteia*: probably the former is to be preferred, as of the same origin as other genuine old names such as that of the *Segontiac* Hercules at Silchester, and of the people called—

SEGONTIACI, p. 17, who came to make peace with Cæsar. To them must also be added the name of the Roman fortress near Carnarvon, called *Segontion*,

which is made in Welsh into Seiont, and even into Saint in the name of the river flowing by. The syllable *seg* in these words is probably of the same origin as the German *sieg*, victory, and its congeners, and *Segeia* was most likely not so much the name of the Ribble as of the divinity of the river.

SELGOVÆ, p. 218. This is explained by the Irish word *selg*, hunting, the chase, as in *coin seilge*, a pack of hounds, Welsh *cwn hela*: the Old Welsh word for *hunt* was *helgha*, now *helia* and *hela*.

SENOTIGIRNOS, p. 41. No coin gives more than *Seno* in one part and *tigir* (or *tigip*) in another. *Senotigirnos* would be in Welsh *hen-dēyrn*, from *hen*, old, O. Irish, *sen*, and *tēyrn*, a lord or prince; Irish *tigern* and *tigerna*, lord.

SILURES, pp. 42, 80. The origin and meaning of this word are utterly unknown, but it is worth while noting that the name of the chief man connected with the temple of Nodens at Lydney Park on the western bank of the Severn in the country of the Silures is there read SILVLANVS (Berlin, "Corpus Inscr. Brit. Lat.," No. 140), and that there is no need to make it into *Silvianus*, or *Silvanus*: it should rather be read *Silulanus*, *silul-* being equated with the *silur-* of the name of the Silures. Probably the name given by Solinus as that of an island, Silura, whether he meant the land of the Silures, or the Scilly Isles, is of the same origin. Sulpicius Severus, however, who flourished about the end of the fourth century, leads one to conclude that the Scilly Islands did bear a name cognate with that of the Silures, for, in his "Chronica" (ed. Halm), ii. 51, he uses the words, *in Sylinancim insulam, quæ ultra Britannias sita est, deportatus*, and again, *in Sylinancim insulam datus*. The MS. which gives this reading is of the eleventh century, but most editors go their own way, and print "*Sylinam insulam*." *Sylinancis* is not

an easy form to deal with, but it seems to be the only one attested, and it is possibly derived from some such a one as *Silulancis*, with the same *silul* as *Silulanus;* perhaps the termination *anc* is also to be identified with the *ang* of *De Ceangi*, *Decangi*, *Concangion*, and the like.

TÆXALI, pp. 161, 229. The headland of the same name is called Ταίξαλον ἄκρον in Ptolemy's Geography, and I am persuaded that the *Trucculensis Portus* mentioned by Tacitus (Agr., 38) meant a place on the same part of the coast; but it is impossible to say which of the two, *Tæxalon* or *Trucculensis*, makes the nearest approach to the real name meant to be reproduced. The fleet may have wintered at Keith Inch, the small island at Peterhead.

TASCIOVANS, p. 26. Some of the various forms of the genitive on the coins are TASCIOVANI, TASCIIOVANII, TASCIIOVANTIS, together with such abbreviations as *Tasciov*, *Tasciav*, *Tascio*, *Tascia*, *Taxcia Taxci*. The double I is probably to be read E, which is found to be one of the ways of representing the semivowel *j* (*y* in *yes*) in such Gaulish names as Οὐιλλόνεος and the like; the vowel ε being perhaps the nearest approach to the semi-vowel which Greek spelling suggested. That this can, however, have been the value of the II at the end of TASCIIOVANII is doubtful; it probably argues a genitive corresponding to a nominative *Tascjovanjos*. Whether the x in some of these forms had any value different from *s* is also doubtful; but the use here of *x* reminds one of the name of the Cantian king whom Cæsar calls Taximagulus. The meaning of the word *tascjo* is not known, but it may be provisionally taken to be that of battle-array or the like. The other syllable, *van* or *vant*, is probably to be connected with the Welsh *gwan-u*, to pierce or stab, to spear.

The hesitation between *Tascjovan-* and *Tascjavan-* shows that the formative vowel was but slightly pronounced, and ready to disappear; the old inscriptions of Wales give parallels in such names as *Senomagli* and *Senemagli*, *Trenegussi* and *Trenagusu*, &c.

TINCOMMIOS, p. 23. This name looks as if compounded of *Commios* and another element, *tin*, which is possibly the same word as the Welsh preposition, *tàn*, as in *tan y fainc*, under the bench, *tan y foru*, till to-morrow, literally, "under or up to the morrow." One cannot help identifying with this the Latin *tenus*, as far as, up to, and connecting the Irish word *tánaise*, second, also the tanist or heir apparent in Goidelic succession. Thus Tincommios was not only a son of Commios, but he bore a name meaning a "second Commios," a man like Commios, or Commios's representative. This would place the name within a well-known category of Welsh epithets or surnames with *eil* or *ail*, second, as in *Morvran eil Tegid*, and *Cadwallawn eil Cadfan*, "Cadwallon, second-Cadvan," —Cadvan was his father (see the "Mabinogion," ii. 206, and Gee's "Myv. Arch.," p. 408, triad 80). *Tin-commios* did not stand alone, for the name accompanying that of Dubnovelaunos on the Augustan monument at Ancyra begins with *Tim* or *Tin*, and such Welsh names as *Tyssul* and *Tyssilio* may be for *Tyn-sul* and *Tyn-silio*; nor is it improbable that such a Gaulish name as *Tessignius* or *Τεσσίκνιος* stands for an earlier Tensignios, while the same initial element without the *s* is to be detected in the feminine *Tenigenonia*, of the same origin, and occurring in an inscription from Cisalpine Gaul ("Corp. Inscr.," v. No. 3345). These would have to be interpreted after the analogy of such Latin names as Secundinus, Secundianus, Secundillus, &c.

TOGODUMNOS, p. 35. At first compound names

doubtless had a definite and clear meaning; but, for the purpose of multiplying those with a common element in the same family they were manipulated freely, as in the case of a Carmarthenshire inscription commemorating *Barrivendi*, son of *Vendubar*[*r*]*i*: compare the Greek Δωρόθεος and Θεόδωρος, Ἵππαρχος and Ἄρχιππος, and many more; also the An.-Saxon royal names. Perhaps in this case the compound *Togodumnos* was suggested by another *Dumnotogos*: the latter, according to what was surmised under *Domnoveros*, would mean the protection of the people or the defender of the state, *togo* being of the same origin as O. Welsh *to*, a cover or roof, Irish *tuige*, and the English *thatch*, German *dach*, Latin *tegere*, to cover, to protect. *Cogidumnos* would have to be explained similarly, *cogi* being possibly the word which is in Mod. Welsh *cae*, a fastening of any kind, such as a brooch, a hedge, and thence also a field. It is probably of the same origin (as well as meaning) as the English *hedge*, German, *hag*.

TRINOVANTES, p. 17. It is hard to decide whether we should write *Trinovantes* or *Trinobantes*, but *Tasciovant's* name, together with Ptolemy's Τρινόαντες, inclines me to prefer the former. In *trino-* we seem to have the Welsh word *trin*, a battle or conflict, which enters into the proper name *Tringad*, the *Trenacatus* of a Cardiganshire inscription. The whole word *Trinovantes* would then mean battle-stabbers, or battle-spearers: I use these English compounds because I do not know what the exact meaning may have been; but a sort of distinction, possibly here in point, seems to be indicated by the name *Trenacatus* battle-warrior, and *Dunocatus*, a fortress-warrior.

TRUCCULENSIS or TRUTULENSIS, p. 88. See *Tœxali*.

UXELODUNON, p. 230. *Uxelodunon* is found written mostly with *ll*, which is, however, contrary to the

evidence of the living words, Welsh, *uchel*, high; Irish, *uasal*, high-born, noble. But on a bronze cup this name, that should be in the ablative, is found engraved VXELODVMC, which is best explained by supposing that the spelling meant to be written was *Uxelodunio* ("Corpus Insc. Lat.," vii. No. 1291). The anonymous geographer of Ravenna wrote *Uxelludamo*, which in its *m* bears witness to an *ni*, while the MSS. of the *Notitia Dignitatum* make for one *l*, as they read *Axeloduno* and *Axdoduno*. As to how *dunon* would be Brythonic, and *dunion* more Goidelic than Brythonic, see *Dunion*. In either case the compound would mean the high town or the lofty fortress.

UXACONA, USOCCONA, USCOCONA, p. 230. When one looks at the manuscript forms of the name *Uriconion*, which goes immediately before in the Itinerary, and finds that they are *Urioconico*, *Uriconio*, and the like, a suspicion arises that we have here to do with an error, and that the two sets represent but one place: this is confirmed by the fact that the number of miles in both cases is the same, namely, eleven.

UXELLA, UXELLON, pp. 229, 230. These may be either inaccurately written for Uxela, Uxelon, which would be the adjectives in the feminine and neuter singular, agreeing with nouns not given; or else they may be derived forms, standing for *Uxelja*, *Uxeljon*. The stem *uxel-*, meaning high, is the same as that of the Greek ὑψηλός, high, and both are probably from the same root as the English word *up*, but the Celts have changed the labial into a guttural, as in Irish *secht*, "*septem*." Greek, however, has no parallel to ὑψηλός, but the Celts have one in the Welsh adjective *isel*, Irish, *ísel*, low, from the old preposition *in* (in Mod. Welsh *yn*), of the same origin and meaning as the English *in* and the Latin *in*, which in its deri-

vative *īmus* (for *insmus ?*), lowest, comes to the same meaning as the Welsh superlative of *isel*, to wit, *isaf*, lowest, the comparative being *is*, lower. This element enters possibly into the name of the *Insubres*, and of the British towns of *Isurion* and *Isubrigantion*. The *s* of the stems *up-s* and *in-s* implied in the Greek and Celtic words raises an interesting question which cannot be discussed here.

VENETI, p. 9. The word is most likely of the same origin as the Anglo-Saxon *wine*, a friend, and meant allies: the Irish *fine*, a tribe or sept, is most likely related, and so may be the Welsh *Gwynedd;* but the latter is inseparable from *Gwyndod*, which is of the same meaning. They probably represent an early form *Venedas*, genitive *Venedātos* or *Venedōtos*, *Gwynedd* being from the nominative, and *Gwyndod* from the stem of the oblique cases. *Venedōtos* is made in Latin into *Venedōtis* in an inscription at Penmachno, near Bettws y Coed: Hübner's *Inscr. Brit. Christ.*, No. 135. The Veneti have left their name to the part of Brittany called by the Bretons *Guened*, Vannes, and it is this name probably that laid the foundation for the tales which trace an army of Kymry from Gwynedd to Guened.

VEREDA, p. 225: see *Epeiacon.*

VERGOBRETOS, p. 59. The analysis of the compound would suggest an adjective qualifying the name of the magistrate, and meaning *efficax judicii*, working or executing judgment. For the first part, *vergo*, seems identical with the Old Breton word *guerg* "efficax," and akin with Welsh, *cy-weirio*, to mend, to dress, or put in working order, Irish, *do-airc-i* or *tairci* "efficit, parat," a verb of the same origin and conjugation as the Greek ῥέζω, ἔρδω, I do or make, Gothic *vaurkjan*, to *work*. The other part *breto-* is identical with the Welsh *bryd*, mind, intention,

ded-fryd, a verdict; Irish, *breth*, judgment, *brithem*, genitive *brithemon*, a judge, Anglicised *brehon*. All these words are connected with the root *ber*, to bear, and the standing Irish law phrase for giving judgment is to "bear a *breth*," which literally means to *bear a bearing*, or *bear a birth*, and seems to point to some kind of supposed inspiration, brought about in a way similar, perhaps, to that whereby the Irish druids were believed to obtain visions of things to come. See Cormac's Glossary, s. v. *imbas forosnai*, and O'Donovan's "Battle of Magh Rath," pp. 46, 47, also Scott's "Lady of the Lake," canto iv. 5.

VERTURIONES, pp. 93, 220. This is usually written *Vecturiones*, which I had tried in vain to understand, but on converting it into Goidelic, according to the usual rules of phonology, I found that it would yield *Fechtrenn* or *Fochtrenn*, which at once suggested a real name *Fortrenn*. On turning to Eyssenhardt's edition of Amm. Marcellinus, I was delighted to find that *Vecturiones* only comes from Gelenius, who lived in the sixteenth century, and that it has no manuscript authority whatever. The name is of the same origin as *Verteræ*, mentioned as one of the places where the Dux Britanniarum had some of his men quartered. It is found to have been at Brough-under-Stanmore, in Westmoreland; and *Brough* (*i.e. burh*, a fortress) is a translation, probably, of *Verteræ*, for the latter is represented in Welsh by the word *gwerthyr*, a fortification, and *Y Werthyr* occurs as the name of a house in Anglesey, situated near the remains of considerable earthworks and a large cromlech. The Chronicles usually speak only of the Plain of Fortrenn or of the Men of Fortrenn; so *Fortrenn*, which is a genitive, is almost the only case of the word which they give: the nominative in its old form would probably have been *Fortriu* or *Foirtriu*, later *Foirtre*.

INDEX.

ADAMNAN, 71, 89, 155, 165, 239, 266, 297
Aððedomaros, 36, 273, 274
Adminius, 34, 274
Adrian, Pope, 238
Aed Finn, 176, 177
Aedan, 154, 155, 156, 168, 173
Aedui, 38, 40, 58, 59
Aenach, Ir., 65
Aerven, 68
Æschylus, 201
Æternus, 116
Æthelfrith, 112, 124, 127, 129
Æthelstan, 107, 184
Æthelweard, 142
Æthilhard, 300
Agricola, 84–86, 160, 163, 225, 253
Agrippa, M. Mænius, 241
Aircol, 253
Airem, 263
Airer Dalriatai, 269
Airer Gaethel, 269
Alauna, 158, 274
Alban, 183, 202, 203
Albion, 200, 201, 202
Albiona, 201
Alclyde, 143, 145
Allectus, 92, 235
All-fro, W., 137
Allobrox, 137, 274
Alpin, 172–178, 196
Alun, 274
Ambiorix, 61
Ambresburh, 105
Ambrosius, 103, 104 296
Ammianus Marcellinus, 90, 93, 164. 237, 291, 308
Amminus, 34, 274
Ancalites, 17, 28, 29
Anderida, 104
Angus, 173, 193
Anlach, 242
Anlaf Cuaran, 185, 243
Ansa, 289
Anteðrigus, 38, 275
Antonine It., 225, 229, 292, 293
Antoninus, 89
Antony, 22
Apollo, 19, 33, 67, 225, 287, 297, 298
Ἀρχιππος, 305
Ardderyd, 143, 252
Argyle, 269
Armorica, 224
Arregaethel, 269
Artbranan, 268
Arthur, 72, 133, 231, 298
Arthuret, Knows of, 143
Assedomari, 273
Atbret Iudeu, 131
Atecotti, 56, 90, 92, 111, 218, 230, 235, 275

Atrebates, 9, 23, 24, 25, 29, 43, 275, 276
Augustine, 124
Augustus Cæsar, 25, 26, 27, 33, 203
Aulerci, 284
Aulus Didius, 81
Aulus Plautius, 30, 35, 75, 78
Aurelius Conan, 106
Avienus, 47, 261

BÆDA, 96, 129–136, 167, 169, 171, 195, 269, 270, 300
Baetan mac Cairill, 155
Banatia, 161
Barrivendi, 305
Beadwolf, 147
Belerion, 45, 214, 276
Belgæ, 42, 79, 276
Belinos, 287
Belisama, 68, 276
Bellovaci, 23
Bergyon, 201, 281
Bericos, 37, 38, 75
Bernicia, 89, 111, 112, 126
Berroc, 29
Beth, 260
Bibroci, 17, 28, 29
Biceot, 259
Biturix, 65, 276
Blaton Bulgion, 265, 277, 278
Boia, 226, 252
Bol, Cors y, 265
Bolge, 265
Bolgyne, 265
Boresti, 88, 93, 160, 219, 277
Boudicca, 66, 83, 226, 278, 295
Bourges, 277
Boyd Dawkins, Prof., 21, 48, 256
Boyne, 67, 259, 265
Bran, 277
Bran Dub, 277, 278
Branwen, 277, 278
Brëennych, 111
Breiz, 210
Brennus, 279
Bret, An.-Sax., 135
Bretain, 204, 285
Brethonec, 205
Βρεττανοί, 204
Bretwalda, 134, 135
Brezonek, 205
Brigantes, 30, 40, 63, 66, 80, 84, 89, 90, 111, 116, 278, 279, 285
Brigit, 279
Britain, 135, 203, 206
—— Lesser, 205
—— Lower, 96, 98
—— Upper, 96, 98, 109, 113
Britannia, 200, 203, 204, 206, 279
Briton, 3, 205
Brittia, 210
Brittones, 205–208, 210, 278
Brochvael, 124
Brough, 308
Brude, MacMalchon, 154, 165, 176, 189, 194, 267
Brude, son of Bile, 169
—— son of Ungust, 174
Brychan, 156, 250, 256
Bryten, An.-Sax., 135
Brythoneg, 205
Buddwalan, 283

CADAVAEL, 131, 132
Caðði, 29
Cadoc, 120, 254
Cadommedd, 131, 132
Cadvan, 125, 126, 128, 304
Cadwal, 282
Cadwaladr, 125, 130, 132, 140, 141, 282

Cadwallon, 126, 130, 245, 282, 304
Cæsarians, 133, 136
Caledonian Forest, 39, 222, 277, 279
Calgacos, 88, 225, 279
Calidones, 163, 227
Caligula, 34
Calleva, 24, 29, 279, 280
Calvus Patricii, 72
Cambrensis, 142
Cambria, 138, 141, 142
Camulodunon, 26, 27, 77, 80, 84, 105, 280
Camuloris, 280
Camulos, 280
Caugos, 287
Cantii, 23, 24, 43, 104, 280
Cantiori, 290
Caratâcos, 35, 38, 76, 80, 280
Carausius, 92, 235, 280
Carbantorigon, 228, 281
Carini, 221
Carnoban, 146
Carnonacæ, 221
Carron, 157
Carthach, Ir., 280
Carthaginians, 5, 47, 51
Cartismandua, 39, 66, 81, 82, 281
Cassi, 17, 28, 35, 281, 283
Cassiterides, 5, 46
Cassivelaunos, 15–17, 18, 27, 28, 281, 282, 290, 291
Castra Exploratorum, 241
Caswallon, 243, 282
Catscaul, 130
Catti, 29, 35, 37, 62, 283
Caturiges, 30, 283, 301
Catuvelauni, 15, 20, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 35, 38, 76, 77, 283
Ce, 268
Ceawlin, 106, 107, 138
Celtæ, 2, 283
Celtchar, 279
Celyddon, 222, 279
Cenimagni, 17, 28, 283
Cénwalh, 107
Cerones, 221, 268
Cicero, 20, 204
Cimber, 141
Cimbri, 275
Cinaeth, 176, 179
Cinglas, 120
Claudian, 237
Claudius Cæsar, 18, 35, 39, 43, 76, 77
Clôta, 145
Clwyd, 85, 145, 287
Clyde, 87, 143, 145
Cnut, 186, 192
Cocboy, 130
Coel, 116, 142
Cogidumnos, 284, 305
Columba, St., 71, 154, 165, 166, 168, 171, 179, 194, 266–269
Conall, 177, 297
Conbellini, 249, 284
Concangion, 230, 285, 303
Concessus, 296
Conchess, 296
Condidan, 106
Congus, 174
Conmægl, 106, 135
Constantine, 94, 95, 97, 177
Constantius Chlorus, 164
Coritani, 30, 105, 217, 285
Cornavii, 30, 217, 221, 285
Corstopiton, 225
Creones, 221
Cruithne, 149, 153, 236, 268
Cruithnig, 236, 237, 240, 241
Cúchulainn, 298
Culdee, 288
Culen, 191
Cumbria, 138, 141, 142, 145

Cunalipi, 286, 294
Cunchar, 191
Cunedda, 116, 117, 122, 123, 140, 243–245, 249, 250
Cuneglasos, 120, 286
Cunobelinos, 26–28, 32–35, 38, 76, 77, 80, 285–287
Cunomori, 286
Cunovali, 286, 297
Cuthberht, 144
Cymbeline, 27
Cymraes, 275
Cymro, 137, 275
Cynfelyn, 286
Cynvael, 297
Cynwal, 286, 297

DAIRI, 260
Dál-Araide, 241, 264
Dál-Cais, 90
Dál-Cairbre, 90
Dál-Fiatach, 155, 241, 264
Dál-Riada, 90, 154, 240, 264
Dalar, 268
Daniel, 245
Dartraighi, 294
Dartry, 294
Decangi, 79, 80, 230, 285, 287, 303
Decantæ, 227, 258, 287
Degsastán, 156
Deira, 112, 126, 184, 185, 287
Delgovicia, 39
Demetæ, 80, 85, 121, 215, 288
Derventio, 39, 288
Deva, 68, 288, 289
Dicalidonæ, 93, 164, 290
Digoll, 129
Dinoot, 24, 300
Dinorwig, 299
Diodorus, 8, 45, 46, 53, 203
Dion Cassius, 37, 56, 76, 91, 96
Diviciacos, 31, 290
Divico, 290
Dobunni, 29, 30, 35–38, 42, 43, 76, 251, 291
Domnall Brecc, 156, 173
Domnoveros, 40, 290, 305
Donald, 181
Donald Bán, 198
Dorchester, 293
Δουηκαληδόνιος, 290
Δούνιον, 214, 292, 293, 306
Drumalban, 154, 157, 222
Drust, 172–174, 177, 178
Dub, 191
Dubnovelaunos, 27, 290–292, 304
Dubthach, 73, 74
Dumbarton, 143
Dumnonii, 44, 48, 52, 106, 112, 152, 158, 214, 229, 291, 292
Dumnorix, 40, 58, 65, 290, 292
Dunawd, 124, 300
Dúnbolg, 265, 277, 278
Dúnbrettan, 143
Duncan, 187, 260
Dungal, 174
Dunkeld, 162, 164, 177, 223, 279
Dunocatus, 305
Dún Nechtain, 143, 170
Durobrivæ, 293
Durocobrivis, 293
Durolipons, 290, 293
Durotriges, 20, 43, 44, 52, 214, 294
Dux Britanniarum, 102, 115, 117, 132–136, 141, 308
Dyfrdwy, 288, 299

EADBERHT, 174, 175, 180, 182
Eadgar Ætheling, 198

Eadmund, 184, 197
Eadred, 185
Eadwine, 108, 126, 129, 130, 134–136, 151
Eanfrith, 129, 130
Earn, 262
Eber, 263
Ebudæ, 56, 221, 227, 294
Eburacon, 86
Eceni, 28, 37–39, 62, 66, 79, 83, 105, 226, 283, 284, 295
Ecgbyrht, 107, 134
Ecgfrith, 143–144, 152, 169
Ellmyn, 137
Elmet, 127, 128
Elphin, 173
Elton, Mr., 5, 8, 45, 46
Emer, 263, 264
Emrys, 103, 296
Eochaid, 173, 182
Epaticcos, 26, 29, 295
Epeiacon, 225, 295
Epidii, 220, 221, 227
Epidion, 221
Eppillos, 23, 24, 26, 28, 31, 33, 295
Er, 263, 264
Erc, sons of, 177
Érinn, 66, 74, 262
Erne, 262
Ersch, 148
Eumenius, 235
Evans, Mr. John, 7, 19, 23, 206

FARINMÆGL, 106, 135
Féineachus, 263
Féini, 263, 276
Fergus, 173
Ffichti, 236
Ffinan, 171
Fiacc, 74
Fidach, 153
Finlaig, 192
Finn, 234
Finnola, 191
Firbolg, 264, 276
Flavia Cæsariens's, 98
Follamhain, O', 282
Fortrenn, 158, 177, 179, 190, 191, 195, 308
Forum Juli, &c., 294
Four Masters, the, 64, 277

GAEL, 3
Gai's Field, 131
Galli, 2, 263, 295
Gangani, 230, 285, 296
Gartnait, 166, 170, 265
Geloni, 55, 240
Genunia, 89, 218
Geofrey, 118, 134, 141, 282
Geona Cohors, 89, 268
Geraint, 107, 232, 296
Gerontios, 95, 96, 296
Geryon, 201
Gildas, 96, 103, 105, 120, 132, 133, 165, 232, 241, 254, 253, 286
Giraldus, 64
Girg, 182
Glannog, 129
Glasgow, 196
Glastonbury, 202, 261
Goddeu, 153
Gododin, 110, 116
Goidel, 3, 239, 240
Golam, 263
Granpius Mons, 88, 225
Green, Mr., 107
Griffith, son of Rhys, 64
Grote, Mr., 65
Guened, Bret., 307
Guidi, urbs, 149
Guortepir, 120, 253
Gwaun, 119
Gwledig, 103, 119, 135, 159
Gwyddel, 239, 240

Gwynasedd, 273, 274
Gwyndod, 121, 307
Gwynedd, 272, 307

HADDAN AND STUBBS, 73, 106, 120. 238
Hadrian, 89
Haslemere, 24, 280
Hatfield, 129
Hathovulf, 282
Heber, 263, 264
Hefenfelth, 130
Helvetii, 58
Hengist, 96
Herodotus, 5, 55, 261, 262
Hethfeld, 129
Hibernia, 239, 262
Himilco, 47
Hirend, 262
Honorius, 94, 95
Hübner, Dr., 76, 86, 93, 97, 210, 244, 249, 251, 255, 307

IER, 262, 263
Indulph, 185
Insubres, 307
Iolo MSS., 117. 120, 122, 248, 252, 299
Irische, 148
Isca, 85, 293
Isidore, 237, 239
Isubrigantion, 307
Isurion, 307
Ith, 264
Ithel, 249
Iudeu, 131, 149, 264
Iverna, 262, 296
Ivernia, 291
Ivernii, 262

JAMBRES, 70
Jannes, 70
Jarla Saga, 188
Jerome, St., 93
Joceline, 141
Johnson's Tour, 259
Jordanes, 289
Jubainville, M. d'Arbois de, 274
Julius Cæsar, 8–23, 27, 28, 203, 235, 243, 290, 301, 303
Julius Frontinus, 84
Juvenal, 206, 262, 274

KEITH INCH, 303
Kells, 177
Kemble's Codex Dip., 285, 300
Kenneth mac A., 179, 189, 193, 194, 196, 198, 199
Kentigern, 141, 143, 170, 171, 195, 245
Keredig, 118, 121, 216, 245, 250
Kessarogion, 133
Kilrymont, 176, 183
Kinneil, 151, 195
Kymry, the, 110, 114, 120, 121, 142, 275
Kynesii, 261

LATONA, 298
Leucopibia, 227
Liger, 201
Liguria, 200
Llanffinan, 171
Lloegr, 141
Locrine, 141
Loidis, 127, 131
Loigaire mac Néill, 73
Lollius Urbicus, 89
Lossie, 229
Loxa, 229
Lugi, 221

MABINOGION, 72, 225, 296, 298, 304
Mabon, 225, 297, 298

MacCarthy, *see* Carthach.
Mac-Con, 261
Mac-Decet, 228
Macbeth, 187, 188, 193, 194, 196, 260, 265, 270
Maccu Deceti, 258
Mæatæ, 90, 93. 155, 156, 159, 161, 163, 168, 220
Maelbæaðe, *see* Maelbeth
Maelbeth, 260
Maelchon, 261
Maelcoluim, *see* Malcolm
Maelgwn, 117, 120–123. 132, 133, 139, 140, 243, 245, 254, 297
Maelphadraic, 72, 259
Maelumi, 156, 258
Magh-Girginn, 155
Magh-Rath, battle of, 308
Mag-Ithe, 264
Magi, 69
Maglocunos, *see* Maelgwn
Maid of Norway, 196
Malcolm, 145, 184, 188, 189, 193–198
Manann, 152, 219
Manannan, 292
Manaw, 110, 116, 117, 131, 140, 152, 219, 292
Manawyddan, 292
Mandubratios, 17, 18, 27, 281
Mapbrith, W., 207
Maponi, 225, 299
Maponos, 225, 297, 298
Margaret, 197, 198
Maridunon, 293
Marne, 298
Mars, 67
Martial, 206
Maserfelth, 130
Matrona, 298
Maxima Cæsariensis, 98
Maximus, 94, 101, 142
Mawddach, 85, 215
Mearn, 168, 190
Meiceran, 129
Meirion, 118
Meldi, 14
Menapii, 22, 49
Menevia, 150
Mercia, 105, 108
Mercury, 67
Merlin, 133
Mernis, 156
Mervyn, 140
Miathi, *see* Mæatæ
Miati, *see* Mæatæ
Miled, 263, 264
Milesian, 263
Milton, 141
Minerva, 67, 68
Mocetauc, 144
Modron, 298
Moerne, 155
Mog-Corb, 260
Mog-Nét, &c., 259
Mog-Nuadat, 259
Μοῖρα, 89
Mommsen, Prof., 56, 98, 289
Mona, 86, 128, 215
Moneit, 259
Moni Iudeorum, 150, 226, 254
Moridunum, 293
Morini, 9, 10, 22, 49
Morvran, 304
Mounth, 189
Mowat, M., 59
Mulpatrick, 259
Murbolg, 265
Mynyw, 226
Myvyrian Arch., 126, 129, 146

NAITON, 171
Narbonne, 47
Nechtan, 170–174, 176, 190, 260
Nectarides, 103
Neithon, 171

Nennius, 96, 103, 116, 117, 128, 130, 131, 232, 243, 251, 252, 264
Nero, 82
Nét, 259, 260, 266
Neubauer, Dr., 296
Niduari, 111, 218
Nigra, Com., 72
Ninnian, 170
Nith, 196, 218, 267
Nodens, 67, 259, 302
Notitia Dignitatum, 98, 100, 226, 231, 242, 306
Novantæ, 217, 228, 230, 242
Novios, 196, 217
Nuada, 63, 259
Nudd, 259
Nynias, 147, 170

O'Curry, 67, 263, 275
O'Donovan's "Four Masters," 277
Ochil Hills, 229
Octapitaron, 226
Odin, 283
Offa, 138, 139
Ogam, 212, 247, 248, 260
Oirir Gaithel, 269
Oisín, 234
Olympiodorus, 96
Ondemone, 266
Orddwy, Rhyd, 299
Ordous, 216, 299
Ordovices, 80, 85, 86, 119, 215, 244, 245, 249, 251, 258, 271, 299
Orgetorix, 58, 65
Orrea, 161
Osney, 289
Osric, 129
Ostorius Scapula, 35, 79, 80, 81
Oswald, 130, 135
Oswine, 130
Oswiu, 130, 131, 132, 135, 138, 139, 143, 147, 152, 169
Otadini, 112, 153, 219
Οὐιλλόνεος, 303
Ouse, 289
Owen, 178

Padarn, 255
Paraveredus, Lat., 295
Parisi, 39, 217, 226
Parisii, 14, 39, 41, 62
Paternus, 116, 216
Patrick, St., 70, 74
Paulinus, 128
Pausanias, 89
Peanfahel, 151, 195
Penbol, 265
Pencrik, 300
Penda, 108, 129, 130, 131, 132, 138, 151
Penguaul, 151
Pengwern, 107, 139
Penkridge, 226, 300
Pennocrucion, 226, 229, 230, 300
Pentland, 150
Petilius Cerealis, 84
Petrianæ, 224
Petroc, 141
Petuaria, 39, 226, 300
Petueriensis, 226
Philip II., 19
Piccardach, 236
Pictavi, 236
Pictavia, 183
Pictavis, 240
Picti, 235
Pictones, 236, 240
Pictores, 236
Picts, 110, 118, 194, 235, 236, 240, 264
Pihtes leá, 285
Pliny, 69, 200, 201, 210
Pomponius Mela, 41, 201, 202

Portus Itius, 14
Posidonius, 8, 45, 46
Powys, 139, 251, 272
Præsidium, 224
Prætorium, 224
Prasutagos, 83, 226
Procolitia, 224
Procopius, 210
Prydain, 203, 296
Prydyn, 236, 296
Ptolemy, 152, 161, 164, 196, 225, 226, 229, 230, 242, 262–268, 276, 289, 293, 303–305
Publius Crassus, 48
Pytchley, 285
Pytheas, 5–8, 204

RÆDWALD, 126
Ravennas, 293–299, 301–306
Reeves, Dean, 73, 149, 202, 262
Regentium, 301
Regni, 23, 300
Remi, 29, 301
Rex Brittonum, 133, 140
Rhodri, 140
Rhun, 123, 128, 182
Rhydderch, 142, 143
Rhygyvarch, 252
Rhys ab Tewdwr, 140
Ri, 260, 266
Ridumo, 293
Ritupiæ, 226
Roi, 260
Rutupiæ, 226

SAINT, 302
Sais, 104, 229
Samson, 249
Sanctus, 253
Savaddon, 64
Scipio, 5
Scitlivissi, 301
Scotia, 194, 239
Scott, 197, 308
Scotti, 56, 92, 236–238, 240, 243, 270
Scythia, 239
Segantii, 217, 219, 301
Segeia, 217, 301, 302
Segontiaci, 17, 28, 29, 301
Segontion, 301
Seiont, 302
Selgovæ, 218, 228, 230, 242, 265, 267, 302
Sen-Cheneoil, Tuath, 275
Sen-Erann, Tuath, 275
Senchus Mór, 63, 64, 263, 276
Senemagli, 304
Senomagli, 304
Senotigirnos, 41, 302
Serrigi, 243
Setantii, 301
Seteia, 301
Servus Dei, 72
Severn Sea, 52
Severus, 91, 92, 163
Sidonius, 55
Silchester, 24, 29, 280
Silulanus, 302
Silura, 302
Silures, 42, 52, 64, 80, 82, 215, 302
Silvianus, 302
Simeon of Durham, 187, 195
Simon Magus, 70, 73
Sirigi, 243
Sitric, 243
Skene, Mr., 69, 93, 107, 111, 172, 221, 286
Smertæ, 221
Solinus, 42, 56, 302
Spinis, 224
Stilicho, 94
Stokes, Mr., 57, 70, 74, 207, 255, 263
Stonehenge, 247
Strabo, 5, 7, 50, 54, 56

Strageath, 158
Strathbolgie, 265
Strathclyde, 145
Stratherne, 262
Stuart Glennie, Mr., 233
Suetonius, 32, 34, 78, 82, 83
Sulpicius Severus, 302
Sweet, Mr., 134
Sylinancis, 302

TACITUS, 79, 82, 87, 88, 303
Tæxali, 161, 163, 220, 229, 303
Tæxalon, 161
Talargan, 144, 174–178
Tamea, 161
Tasciovant, 26, 27, 29, 303, 305
Taximagulus, 303
Teŏdicnius, 304
Tegeingl, 287
Tegid, 304
Teilo, 226
Tenigenonia, 304
Tessignius, 304
Teviotdale, 146
Teyrnllwg, 136
Thanet, 52, 205
Θεόδωρος, 258, 305
Theodosius, 93, 94, 230
Theodric, 142
Thersites, 64
Thorfinn, 187, 188
Thule, 56
Tiberius, 34
Tincommios, 23–25, 304
Tiree, 264
Togodumnos, 35, 76, 304, 305
Toliapis, 226
Toutiorix, 290
Trenacatus, 305
Trenagusu, 304
Trenegussi, 304
Treveri, 22
Triads, the, 129
Tringad, 305
Trinovantes, 17, 18, 20 27, 28, 84, 291, 305
Triphun, 253
Trucculensis Portus, 88, 303, 305
Trutulensis Portus, 88, 305
Tuessis, 161
Twrch Trwyth, 298
Tyssilio, 304
Tyssul, 304

UNGUST, 173–178, 260
Uriconion, 229, 306
Urien, 128, 142, 251, 252
Use, Usan, 289
Uven, 178
Uxacona, &c., 230, 306
Uxama, 276
Uxelodunon, 230, 241, 281, 293
Uxella, 229, 305
Uxellon, 230, 306

VACOMAGI, 160, 162, 163, 220, 222, 229
Valamni, 282
Valentia, 93, 98
Velauni, 282
Velaunos, 281
Vellavnivs, 281
Vellocatus, 39
Venedot, 121, 272, 307
Veneti, 9, 47–50, 307
Venicones, 161
Venutios, 39
Vepotalos, 41
Vereda, 225, 295, 307
Vergobretos, 59, 307
Verica, 24
Verlamion, 26

Vernicomes, 161, 162, 220
Verteræ, 308
Verturiones, 93, 158, 164, 181, 195, 219, 229, 277, 308
Vespasian, 76, 78, 84
Vexalla, 229
Vibius Sequester, 201
Victorina, 278
Vigfusson, Dr., 68, 188
Virgil, 240
Viromandui, 281
Visurix, 65
Volisios, 40
Volusenus, 9, 10
Vortigern, 96, 133
Vortiporios, 120, 121, 253
WERTERMORUM, 195
Werthyr, Y., 308
Westwood, Prof., 249
Whitehorne, 147
Windisch, Prof., 67, 279
Winged Camp, 161
Winwæd, 131
Wordsworth, Rev. John, 296
Wredech, 176
Wthol, 178
Wurgust, 173

ZIMMER, Prof., 70, 301
Zonaras, 91
Zosimus, 96

THE END.

WYMAN AND SONS, PRINTERS, GREAT QUEEN STREET, LONDON.

PUBLICATIONS

OF THE

Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.

THE

FATHERS FOR ENGLISH READERS.

A Series of Monographs on the Chief Fathers of the Church, the Fathers selected being centres of influence at important periods of Church History and in important spheres of action.

Fcap. 8vo., cloth boards, 2s. each.

LEO THE GREAT.
By the Rev. CHARLES GORE, M.A.

GREGORY THE GREAT.
By the Rev. J. BARMBY, B.D.

SAINT AMBROSE: his Life, Times, and Teaching.
By the Rev. ROBINSON THORNTON, D.D.

SAINT AUGUSTINE.
By the Rev. E. L. CUTTS, B.A.

SAINT BASIL THE GREAT.
By the Rev. RICHARD T. SMITH, B.D.

SAINT JEROME.
By the Rev. EDWARD L. CUTTS, B.A.

THE APOSTOLIC FATHERS.
By the Rev. H. S. HOLLAND.

THE DEFENDERS OF THE FAITH; or, The Christian Apologists of the Second and Third Centuries.
By the Rev. F. WATSON, M.A.

THE VENERABLE BEDE.
By the Rev. G. F. BROWNE.

	s.	d.
Alone with God; or, Helps to Thought and Prayer. For the Use of the Sick; based on short passages of Scripture. By the Rev. F. BOURDILLON, M.A., Author of "Lesser Lights." 12mo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	6
Being of God, Six Addresses on the. By C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D., Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. Small post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	6
Bible Places; or, The Topography of the Holy Land. By the Rev. Canon TRISTRAM. With Map and numerous Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. *Cloth boards*	4	0
Christians under the Crescent in Asia. By the Rev. E. L. CUTTS, B.A., Author of "Turning-Points of Church History," &c. With numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	5	0
Church History, Sketches of, During the first Six Centuries. By the Rev. J. C. ROBERTSON. Part I. With Map. 12mo. *Cloth boards*	1	0
---------- Part II., From the Seventh Century to the Reformation. 12mo. *Cloth bds.*	1	0
Parts I. and II. in a volume..... *Cloth boards*	2	0
Daily Readings for a Year. By ELIZABETH SPOONER. Crown 8vo. ... *Cloth boards*	3	6
Englishman's Brief, The, On behalf of his National Church. New, revised, and enlarged edition. Small post 8vo..... *Cloth boards*	2	0
Gospels, The Four, Arranged in the Form of an English Harmony, from the Text of the Authorized Version. By the Rev. J. M. FULLER, M.A. With Analytical Table of Contents and four Maps. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	6
History of the English Church. In Short Biographical Sketches. By the Rev. JULIUS LLOYD, M.A., Author of "Sketches of Church History in Scotland." Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	2	0
History of the Jewish Nation, A, From the Earliest Times to the Present Day. By E. H. PALMER, Esq., M.A. With Map of Palestine and numerous Illustrations. Crown 8vo. *Cloth boards*	5	0

	s.	d.
Land of Israel, The. A Journal of Travel in Palestine, undertaken with special reference to its Physical Character. By the Rev. Canon TRISTRAM. Third edition, revised. With two Maps and numerous Illustrations. Large post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	10	6
Litany, The. With an Introduction, Explanation of Words and Phrases, together with Illustrative and Devotional Paraphrase. By the Rev. E. J. BOYCE, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	0
Narrative of a Modern Pilgrimage through Palestine on Horseback, and with Tents. By the Rev. ALFRED C. SMITH, M.A. Numerous Illustrations, and four Coloured Plates. Crown 8vo. *Cl. bds.*	5	0
Paley's Evidences. A New Edition, with Notes, Appendix, and Preface. By the Rev. E. A. LITTON. Post 8vo. *Cloth boards*	4	0
Paley's Horæ Paulinæ. A New Edition, with Notes, Appendix, and Preface. By the Rev. J. S. HOWSON, D.D., Dean of Chester. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	3	0
Peace with God. A Manual for the Sick. By the Rev. E. BURBIDGE, M.A. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	6
Plain Reasons against Joining the Church of Rome. By the Rev. R. F. LITTLEDALE, LL.D., &c. Revised and enlarged edition. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	0
Plain Words for Christ. Being a Series of Readings for Working Men. By R. G. DUTTON, B.A. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	2	0
Prophecies and Types of Messiah. Four Lectures to Pupil-Teachers. By the Rev. G. P. OTTEY, M.A. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	0
St. Chrysostom's Picture of his Age. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	2	0
St. Chrysostom's Picture of the Religion of his Age. Post 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards*	1	6

Scenes in the East. s. d.
Consisting of Twelve Coloured Photographic Views of Places mentioned in the Bible, beautifully executed, with Descriptive Letterpress. By the Rev. Canon TRISTRAM. *Cloth, bevelled boards, gilt edges* 7 6

Seek and Find.
A Double Series of Short Studies of the Benedicite. By CHRISTINA G. ROSSETTI. Post 8vo. *Cloth boards* 2 6

Servants of Scripture, The.
By the Rev. JOHN W. BURGON, B.D. Post 8vo. *Cloth boards* 1 6

Sinai and Jerusalem; or, Scenes from Bible Lands.
Consisting of Coloured Photographic Views of Places mentioned in the Bible, including a Panoramic View of Jerusalem, with Descriptive Letterpress. By the Rev. F. W. HOLLAND, M.A. Demy 4to. *Cloth, bevelled boards, gilt edges* 7 6

Some Chief Truths of Religion.
By the Rev. EDWARD L. CUTTS, B.A., Author of "St. Cedd's Cross," &c. Crown 8vo.....*Cloth boards* 3 0

Thoughts for Working Days.
Original and Selected. By EMILY C. ORR. Post 8vo. *Limp cloth* 1 0

Turning-Points of English Church History.
By the Rev. EDWARD L. CUTTS, B.A., Vicar of Holy Trinity, Haverstock Hill. Crown 8vo....*Cloth boards* 3 6

Turning-Points of General Church History.
By the Rev. E. L. CUTTS, B.A., Author of "Pastoral Counsels," &c. Crown 8vo. ..... *Cloth boards* 5 0

Under His Banner.
Papers on Missionary Work of Modern Times. By the Rev. W. H. TUCKER. With Map. Crown 8vo. New Edition .....*Cloth boards* 5 0

Ventures of Faith; or, Deeds of Christian Heroes.
By the Rev. J. J. HALCOMBE. With six Illustrations on toned paper. Crown 8vo. .....*Cloth boards* 2 6

DEPOSITORIES:

NORTHUMBERLAND AVENUE, CHARING CROSS, W.C.;
43, QUEEN VICTORIA STREET, E.C.; 48, PICCADILLY, W.;
AND 135, NORTH STREET, BRIGHTON.